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## Preface

CoCo is a program for estimation, test and model search among hierarchical interaction models for large complete contingency tables. The name CoCo is derivated of "Co"mplete "Co"ntingency tables, since the initial program could only handle complete tables, but the program has been enhanced to handle incomplete tables.

CoCo works especially efficiently on graphical models, and some of the commands are designed to handle graphical models.

Graphical models are log-linear interaction models for contingency tables that can be represented by a simple undirected graph with as many vertices as the table has dimension. Further all these models can be given an interpretation in terms of conditional independence and the interpretation can be read directly off the graph in the form of a Markov property. The class of graphical model is a proper subclass of the hierarchical models, but the class strictly contains the decomposable models, e.g., Haberman (1974).

See Darroch, Lauritzen \& Speed (1980) for how graphical models are defined by the close connection between the theory of Markov fields and that of log-linear interaction models for contingency tables.

Besides incomplete tables and tables with incomplete observations can be handled and exact tests between any two nested decomposable models computed.

CoCo is a program designed to perform estimation and tests in large contingency tables. By using graph-theoretical results (Rose, Tarjan \& Lueker 1976, Tarjan \& Yannakakis 1984, Tarjan 1985, Leimer 1993) the hierarchical log-linear interaction models are decomposed. See also chapter 3 of Part I. The IPS-algorithm is not used on the full table, but only on the non-decomposable irreducible components (chapter 2 of Part I). Furthermore, the optimized version of the IPS-algorithm of Jiroušek (1991) is used on these non-decomposable atoms.

If one model is tested against another and the two models have common decompositions, then the test can be partitioned in tests on smaller tables (Goodman 1971). Collapsibility (Asmussen \& Edwards 1983) of models and tests is used. If two large sparse models (many factors but few interactions) have no common decompositions, they can be tested against each other by computing the deviance for each model, not by summing over all cells in the full table, but by summing over only cells in sufficient marginal tables (chapter 2 of Part I).

Tests between models with an unlimited number of factors can then be performed on a PC, if the largest clique in the fill-in graphs of non-decomposable atoms of graphs for the models has no more than 14 binary vertices ( 24 on workstations).

The likelihood ratio test statistic, Pearson's $\chi^{2}$ test statistic and the power divergence statistics can be computed. In sparse tables an adjusted number of degrees of freedom is computed. Exact tests between any two nested decomposable models can be performed.

Tables with "structural zeros" (Incomplete tables) can be declared, and a modified version of the IPS-algorithm is used on these.

Commands for interactive model search among graphical models are implemented. A semi-automatic model search is possible by backward elimination and forward selection. The model search from Edwards \& Havránek (1985) is included in the program.

Besides functions for tests and model search CoCo also has some procedures for model control. The test of one decomposable model against another decomposable model can be factorized into a sequence of tests with one edge (Sundberg 1975, Frydenberg \& Lauritzen 1989), and the test between any two submodels can be factorized in tests with one interaction. Functions for producing these factorizations are available in CoCo. CoCo also has a function for computing a wide range of measures of associations on 2-dimensional tables.

Observed counts, estimated counts and probabilities and residual (absolute, adjusted, standard, Freeman-Tukey, etc.) can be listed, plotted pairwise, printed in tables and given an univariate description with mean, variance, median, range, etc.

Finally, to make the use of CoCo somewhat easier and more flexible some data selection is possible and cases with missing values (incomplete observations) can be excluded at various levels in CoCo or the EM-algorithm applied. CoCo can exclude all observations with any variable marked as unobserved, when reading the observations, exclude observations with variables unobserved in a given set, after reading the observations, or exclude observations with relevant variables for a given test marked as unobserved, when performing the test.

CoCo can be loaded into New S (S-Plus) and XLISP-STAT. Functions for returning statistics and residuals from CoCo, e.g., high-resolution plotting are provided.

The program is originally designed to test methods described in the dissertation Badsberg (1986).

## Size of Tables

The range of the sizes of the tables CoCo can handle can be classified as follows:
Tiny tables: 2 and 3 dimensional tables;
In these tables a wide range of measures of associations on the 2-dimensional tables given other variables can be computed.

Small tables: tables with up to between 7 and 10 variables;
On small tables the global model search procedure of Edwards \& Havránek (1985) is useful, and will terminate after an acceptable computing time. Also exact tests by Monte Carlo simulation and the EM-algorithm are useful on these tables.

Before any computation all the marginal tables are found to reduce the computing time (unless some cases have unobserved variables).

Medium tables: tables with up to 20 variables;
Any test between two hierarchical models can be performed. The procedures for backward elimination and forward selection of edges in graphical models are useful.
In these tables only sufficient tables of observed counts and tables of estimated probabilities for few tables can be stored internally in the computer.
Large tables: tables with more than 20 variables;
We do not say that all models on large tables are large, e.g., a model with only main effects is called a small model. If all the tables of the sufficient marginals of a model cannot fit in the computer memory at one time together with the state spaces of all the non-decomposable components of the model, then the model is large. A model is very large, if any of the sufficient marginal tables cannot fit in the computer memory. We cannot handle very large models, if we cannot at least store the state spaces of the non-decomposable components of the model one by one. Such models are called huge models. Thus, in very large models the largest clique of the fill-in graphs of non-decomposable atoms of the graph of the very large model cannot have more than 14 binary vertices (24 on workstations).
One model can be tested against another by using partitioning and collapsibility of tests, or by computing the deviance for each model by summing over only non-zero cells in the sufficient marginal tables as described in chapter 2 of Part I. These tests can be performed between any two large (or very large) models, but if the parts of the test involve tests on large tables, then only the deviance can be computed, and the adjusted degrees of freedom cannot be computed, if the parts involve large models.

The procedures for backward elimination and forward selection of edges in graphical models are still useful on large tables. (Various runs of model selection by respectively forward selection and backward elimination has been performed on the 121 dimensional table with 10.000 cases of Wedelin (1993).)
In large tables the observations are placed as a case list on an internal file.

This crude classification of tables follows the classification of datasets by Huber (1994): Tiny datasets are suitable for black-boards, a small set can be printed on a few pages, a medium set fits on a floppy disk, a large dataset requires a tape, and a huge dataset requires many tapes.

## Other programs

Other programs for analysis of log-linear interaction models for contingency tables are DIGRAM (Kreiner 1989) and MIM (Edwards 1989). CoCo finds closed form expres-
sion for estimates in decomposable models, handles incomplete tables and tables with incomplete observations, computes exact tests between any two nested decomposable models, handles larger tables and has commands for semi-automatic and automatic search. DIGRAM handles recursive graphical models on contingency tables. MIM also handles continuous variables in mixed interaction models, CG-distributions. Both DIGRAM and MIM runs only on Personal Computers, and are on these machines able to present graphical models as graphs.

## Using this Tutorial

This guide is the second volume of the thesis "A Environment for Graphical Models". Volume 3, "Xlisp+CoCo - A Tool for Graphical Models", of the thesis is a guide to CoCo within XLISP-STAT.

Chapter 1 of this guide is a Tutorial Introduction to CoCo. A semi-automatic model search with exact tests is performed on the data from Reinis̆, Pokorný, Bas̆iká, Tišerová, Goričan, Horáková, Stuchliková, Havránek \& Hrabovský (1981), and some tables are printed, plotted and described. This part of the guide is most useful for users, who are familiar with analysis of discrete data, and who want a quick introduction to CoCo.

Chapters 3 to 12 make up the User Manual. Commands are described in detail, arranged according to the step in the analysis to which they belong. Some examples are given. Some of the used methods (algorithms) are described.

The appendix contains parts of a Reference Manual: a Quick Reference Card and an Installation Guide.

The program CoCo is not only useful for working statisticians and other scientists analyzing discrete data by contingency tables, but also in courses teaching analysis of discrete data and graphical models. The guide is probably useful in such courses, but the guide to CoCo is not a text-book on contingency table, and should only be used in courses assisted by text-books as, e.g., Lauritzen (1982).

## Availability

The source code in C, executable for Sun 4 (Sparc) and executable of the standalone version of CoCo for PC's and Macintosh for this version of CoCo is available free of charge for non-commercial use.

The source code may only be read and edited for the purpose of porting CoCo to other machines. No new features may be added to CoCo and no parts of the program may be included in other systems or new interface-procedures to New S, SPlus, XLISP-STAT or any other extendable system may be made without the written permission from the author.

The source code in C and executable for Sun 4 (Sparc), both with Lisp-code for the graphics, and executable of the standalone version of CoCo for PC's and Macintosh can be obtained by anonymous ftp over internet from ftp.iesd.auc.dk, or by $W W W$ from $h t t p: / / w w w . i e s d . a u c . d k / p u b / p a c k a g e s / C o C o$. Or CoCo is available on disks (3.5" or 5.25 " High density). You should, however, by prepared to bear the costs of copying, e.g., by supplying a disk or tape and a stamped mailing envelope. This guide and the
guide to CoCo is also available in TeX and postscript code from the ftp site or printed from Aalborg University.

## Disclaimer

CoCo is an experimental program. It has not been extensively tested. The author of CoCo, the University of Aalborg and any other part assisting the author of CoCo in distributing CoCo take no responsibility for losses or damages resulting directly or indirectly from the use of this program.

CoCo is an evolving system. Over the time new features will be introduced, and existing features that do not work may be changed. Every effort will be made to keep CoCo consistent with the information in this guide, but if this is not possible, the help information in CoCo and XLISP-STAT should give accurate information about the current use of a command.

## Acknowledgments

Many thanks go to Professor Steffen Lilholt Lauritzen who inspired the creation of CoCo. Also thanks to David Edwards and Svend Kreiner for useful comments and suggestions to new features in CoCo. Thanks to Flemming Skjøth, Søren Højsgaard, Bo Thiesson and Jørgen Greve, especially Flemming Skjøth, who in their study patiently used and tested CoCo, and found numerous errors.

Thanks to my sister Annette Badsberg for correcting the English language of (earlier versions of) this guide.

Aalborg, Danmark, March 15th 1995,
Jens Henrik Badsberg

## Contents

I Tutorial ..... 1
1 Introduction to CoCo ..... 3
1.1 Starting and Finishing ..... 3
1.2 An Example ..... 3
II User's Manual ..... 30
2 Commands ..... 32
2.1 Online HELP ..... 32
2.2 Comments ..... 33
2.3 Make, Delete and Print Alias ..... 33
2.4 Reading a Local Parser ..... 34
2.5 Sets and Models ..... 34
2.5.1 Variable names of length longer than one character ..... 35
2.6 Pausing and Front End Processor: History Mechanism ..... 35
2.7 Print-formats, Tests, Diary, Source, Sink, Log, etc. ..... 36
2.8 Interrupts ..... 36
3 Reading Data ..... 37
3.1 Keyboard and Files ..... 37
3.2 Specification ..... 38
3.2.1 Factor by Factor: Factors ..... 38
3.2.2 Attributes one by one: Names ..... 39
3.3 Ordinal Variables ..... 39
3.4 Observations ..... 39
3.4.1 Table ..... 40
3.4.2 List ..... 40
3.4.3 Accumulated List ..... 40
3.5 Replacing the Observations with a Random Data Set ..... 41
3.6 Initial values to the IPS-algorithm ..... 41
3.6.1 Structural Zeros = Incomplete Tables ..... 41
3.7 Grouping of Factor Levels - Cutpoints and Redefine Factors ..... 43
3.8 Data Selection ..... 47
3.8.1 Select or Reject Cases During the Reading of Data ..... 47
3.8.2 Read only a Subset of Variables ..... 48
3.9 Missing Values: Incomplete Observations ..... 48
3.9.1 Skip Missing: Read only Cases with Complete Information ..... 49
3.9.2 Exclude Missing On/Off: Use Maximal Number of Cases with Complete Information in each Test ..... 49
3.9.3 Exclude Missing in "Subset": Use only Cases with Complete Information for a Specific Subset of Factors ..... 49
3.9.4 EM-algorithm ..... 50
4 Description of Data and Fitted Values ..... 52
4.1 Notation and Computation of Marginal Values ..... 52
4.2 Values ..... 54
4.3 Printing Tables ..... 56
4.4 Printing Sparse Tables ..... 58
4.5 Describing Tables ..... 58
4.6 Plots ..... 59
4.7 Lists ..... 60
4.8 Exporting Table Values ..... 63
5 Models ..... 64
5.1 Reading Models ..... 64
5.2 The Model List: Shifting Base and Current ..... 65
5.3 Printing, Describing and Disposing of Models ..... 65
5.4 Formulas ..... 66
5.5 Is Graphical?, Is Decomposable?, Is Submodel? and Is In One Clique? ..... 70
5.6 Common Decompositions ..... 70
6 Tests ..... 71
6.1 The Main Test Procedure ..... 71
6.1.1 $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$, Pearson's $\chi^{2}$ and Power Divergence ..... 71
6.1.2 Goodman and Kruskal's Gamma ..... 72
6.1.3 Dimension and Degrees of Freedom ..... 72
6.1.4 Adjusted D.F. ..... 73
6.2 Exact Tests ..... 75
6.3 Measures of Associations ..... 78
6.4 Computation of $\log (\mathrm{L})$ in Large Models ..... 79
6.5 Miscellaneous Commands for Model Control ..... 82
6.5.1 Partitioning and Common Decompositions ..... 82
6.5.2 Testing One Edge ..... 85
6.5.3 Factorization of Test into Tests of One Edge Missing ..... 86
6.5.4 Factorization of Test into Tests of One Missing Interaction ..... 89
6.6 Reuse of Tests ..... 91
7 Editing Models with Tests ..... 92
7.1 Generate Graphical Model ..... 93
7.2 Add Fill-In: Generate Decomposable Model ..... 93
7.3 Drop Edges ..... 93
7.4 Add Edges ..... 93
7.5 Drop Interaction ..... 94
7.6 Add Interaction ..... 94
7.7 Meet of Models ..... 94
7.8 Join of Models ..... 95
7.9 Miscellaneous Deprecated Commands ..... 96
7.10 Edit the Models without Test: Only ..... 96
8 Controlling the Computed Test Statistics in the Model Selection Pro- cedures ..... 97
8.1 Decomposable Mode(ls) ..... 97
8.2 Computed Test-Statistics and Choosing Tests and Significance Level ..... 98
8.2.1 Computing Test-Statistics ..... 98
8.2.2 Selecting Test Statistic and Significance Level ..... 98
8.3 Exact Tests in Tests and Model Selection ..... 101
8.4 Partitioning ..... 102
9 Stepwise Model-selection: Backward and Forward ..... 103
9.1 Selecting Test Statistic and Significance Level ..... 104
9.2 Fixing of Edges and Interactions ..... 104
9.3 Backward Elimination ..... 104
9.3.1 Controlling the Output ..... 105
9.3.2 Recursive Search ..... 106
9.3.3 Graphical or Non-Graphical Models ..... 107
9.3.4 Model Control ..... 108
9.4 Forward Selection ..... 108
9.4.1 Controlling the Output ..... 108
9.4.2 Recursive Search ..... 109
9.4.3 Graphical or Non-Graphical Models ..... 110
9.4.4 Model Control ..... 111
9.5 Asymmetry between Backward and Forward ..... 111
10 The EH-procedure ..... 112
10.1 Computed Tests and Selection Criteria ..... 114
10.2 Fix Edges/Interactions: ..... 114
10.2.1 FixIn ..... 114
10.2.2 FixOut ..... 114
10.2.3 Read Base Model ..... 115
10.2.4 Interaction between FixIn, FixOut and SearchBase ..... 115
10.2.5 Add FixIn and FixOut ..... 116
10.2.6 Redo FixIn and FixOut ..... 116
10.3 Selecting Model Class and Search Strategy ..... 116
10.3.1 Graphical Search ..... 116
10.3.2 Decomposable Mode ..... 117
10.3.3 Hierarchical Search ..... 117
10.4 Dispose of the Model Classes and Duals ..... 118
10.5 Read and Fit Models or Force Models into Classes ..... 118
10.5.1 Fit Some Models ..... 118
10.5.2 Reading Accepted and Rejected Models ..... 118
10.6 Copy Models Between the Models-List and the Search Classes ..... 119
10.6.1 Models from List to Search Classes ..... 119
10.6.2 Models from Search Classes to Model List ..... 119
10.7 Find Duals ..... 119
10.8 Directed Search ..... 119
10.8.1 Fit Smallest Dual ..... 120
10.8.2 Fit Largest Dual ..... 120
10.8.3 Fit R-Dual ..... 120
10.8.4 Fit A-Dual ..... 120
10.8.5 Fit Both Duals ..... 120
10.9 Automatic Search ..... 120
10.9.1 Smallest Automatic ..... 121
10.9.2 Rough Automatic ..... 121
10.9.3 Alternating Automatic ..... 121
10.10Force a Dual into a Model Class ..... 122
11 Miscellaneous Options for Controlling Input, Output and Algo- rithms ..... 123
11.1 Print formats ..... 123
11.1.1 Pausing ..... 124
11.2 Input files ..... 125
11.2.1 Input from keyboard or file ..... 125
11.2.2 Data-files ..... 125
11.2.3 Standard Input: Source ..... 125
11.3 Output files ..... 125
11.3.1 Standard Output: Sink ..... 126
11.3.2 Diary ..... 126
11.3.3 Log-file ..... 126
11.3.4 Dump-file ..... 127
11.3.5 Report-file ..... 127
11.4 Timer ..... 127
11.5 Controlling Algorithms ..... 127
11.5.1 Controlling the IPS-algorithm ..... 128
11.5.2 Controlling the EM-algorithm ..... 128
11.5.3 Data structure ..... 128
11.5.4 DOS: Overlays ..... 130
11.5.5 Unix: Size of $\mathrm{N}-, \mathrm{P}$ - and Q-arrays ..... 130
11.5.6 The workspace in the heap ..... 131
11.6 Miscellaneous Options for Tracing and Debugging ..... 131
11.6.1 Echo and Note ..... 131
11.6.2 Report, Trace and Debug ..... 131
11.6.3 Graph mode ..... 132
11.7 Interrupts ..... 132
12 CoCo in other systems ..... 133
$12.1 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{CoCo}$ ..... 134
12.2 XLISP-STAT + CoCo ..... 137
12.2.1 Association Diagrams ..... 137
12.2.2 Block Recursive Models ..... 138
12.2.3 Miscellaneous ..... 138
12.2.4 A Tutorial Example ..... 139
III Appendix ..... 143
A Installing CoCo ..... 145
A. 1 Availability ..... 145
A. 2 Installation ..... 146
A.2.1 DOS: On PC ..... 146
A.2.2 Macintosh ..... 146
A.2.3 Unix: On Workstations ..... 146
A. 3 Limitations and Precision ..... 149
A.3.1 DOS: PC ..... 149
A.3.2 Macintosh ..... 150
A.3.3 Unix: Workstations ..... 150
A. 4 CoCo Datasets and Examples ..... 150
A. 5 Reporting Errors ..... 150
A. 6 Warranty ..... 151
B Reference Manual section ..... 152
B. 1 Quick Reference Card ..... 152
B.1.1 End and Restart ..... 152
B.1.2 Help and Quick-reference-card ..... 152
B.1.3 Abbreviations ..... 152
B.1.4 Status ..... 152
B.1.5 Input from keyboard or file ..... 152
B.1.6 Input files ..... 153
B.1.7 Output files ..... 153
B.1.8 Diary, Timer etc. ..... 153
B.1.9 Print Formats ..... 153
B.1.10 Controlling the IPS- and EM-algorithm ..... 154
B.1.11 Partitioning and Factorizes ..... 154
B.1.12 Do only Graph Stuffs, only for Debugging ..... 154
B.1.13 Only Decomposable Models in Stepwise Model Selection and in the Global EH Search ..... 154
B.1.14 Computed Test-Statistics and Choosing Tests and Significance Level for Model Selection ..... 154
B.1.15 Exact Tests in Tests and Model Selection ..... 154
B.1.16 Read Data without selection etc. ..... 155
B.1.17 Read Data: Specification ..... 155
B.1.18 Read Data: Selection ..... 155
B.1.19 Skip Cases with Missing Values During Reading Observations ..... 155
B.1.20 Read Data: Observations ..... 155
B.1.21 Read Data: Incomplete table = Structural Zeros ..... 156
B.1.22 Select use only cases with complete observations after reading observations ..... 156
B.1.23 Request the EM-algorithm ..... 156
B.1.24 Data Description ..... 156
B.1.25 Read Model ..... 156
B.1.26 Edit Model ..... 156
B.1.27 Moving pointers in the Model-list ..... 156
B.1.28 Describe, Print and Dispose of Models ..... 157
B.1.29 Common decompositions of models ..... 157
B.1.30 Tests ..... 157
B.1.31 Test-list ..... 157
B.1.32 Dispose of Tables ..... 158
B.1.33 Editing Models with Tests ..... 158
B.1.34 Stepwise Edge or Interaction Selection and Elimination ..... 158
B.1.35 Global Search: The EH-procedure ..... 158
B.1.36 EH: Fix Edges/Interactions ..... 158
B.1.37 EH: Choose Model Class and Strategy ..... 158
B.1.38 EH: Dispose of Model-Classes and Duals ..... 159
B.1.39 EH: Read and Fit Models or Force Models into Classes ..... 159
B.1.40 EH: Copy Models between the Model-List and Search Classes ..... 159
B.1.41 EH: Find Duals ..... 159
B.1.42 EH: Directed Search ..... 159
B.1.43 EH: Automatic Search ..... 159
B.1.44 EH: Force a Dual into a Model Class ..... 159
B.1.45 Arguments to commands ..... 160
B.1.46 Codes of Table-values for S-Plus ..... 161
Bibliography ..... 165

## Part I

Tutorial

## Chapter 1

## Introduction to CoCo

### 1.1 Starting and Finishing

DOS: On a PC: Install CoCo as described in Appendix A, make sure that your PATH is pointing to the directory with CoCo , and just type COCO.

Macintosh: On Macs: If not installed: Install CoCo as described in Appendix A. Click the CoCo folder.

Unix: On UNIX-Workstations: If not installed: Install CoCo as described in Appendix A, make sure that the directory with the shell script CoCo is in your PATH. Type CoCo (or fep CoCo).

CoCo will then start with something like:

```
CoCo - A program for estimation, test and model search
in very large 'Co'mplete and 'InCo'mplete 'Co'ntingency tables.
Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
>
```

$>$ is the normal prompt from CoCo. If CoCo is expecting integer or real numbers, file-names, factor-names, sets, generating classes, data, etc. the prompt is changed. Give the expected data to CoCo, or try some numbers, names or ; .

CoCo is ended with quit.

### 1.2 An Example

The data of table 1.1 from Reinis̆ et al. (1981) is also used in Edwards \& Havránek (1985).

| F | E | D | C ${ }_{\text {B }} \begin{gathered}\text { A }\end{gathered}$ | No |  | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No | Yes | No | Yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Negative | $<3$ | <140 | No | 44 | 40 | 112 | 67 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 129 | 145 | 12 | 23 |
|  |  | >140 | No | 35 | 12 | 80 | 33 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 109 | 67 | 7 | 9 |
|  | $>3$ | <140 | No | 23 | 32 | 70 | 66 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 50 | 80 | 7 | 13 |
|  |  | >140 | No | 24 | 25 | 73 | 57 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 51 | 63 | 7 | 16 |
| Positive | $<3$ | <140 | No | 5 | 7 | 21 | 9 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 9 | 17 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  | >140 | No | 4 | 3 | 11 | 8 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 14 | 17 | 5 | 2 |
|  | >3 | <140 | No | 7 | 3 | 14 | 14 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 9 | 16 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | >140 | No | 4 | 0 | 13 | 11 |
|  |  |  | Yes | 5 | 14 | 4 | 4 |

A, smoking; B, strenuous mental work; C, strenuous physical work; D, systolic blood pressure; E , ratio of $\alpha$ to $\beta$ lipoproteins;

F , family anamnesis of coronary heart disease.
Table 1.1: Risk factors for coronary heart disease.

Start CoCo and give the command SET KEYBOARD On; to indicate that you want to read data from the keyboard. Then type READ DATA; CoCo will respond with the prompt DATA-> indicating that CoCo is expecting data. Declare the table with Factors A2/B2/C2/D2/E2/F2// and give the keyword Table to tell CoCo that the data is to be entered in table-form. Then type the 64 cell-counts and end with /.

```
>keyboard;
    Keyboard set ON
>read data;
    DATA->Factors A 2 / B 2 / C 2 / D 2 / E 2 / F 2 //
    DATA->Table
    DATA-> 44 40 112 67 129
    DATA-> 23 32 70 66 50 80 70 13 13 24 25 73 57 50
    DATA-> 5
    DATA-> 7lllllllllllllllllllll
    64 cells with 1841 cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
>
```

The table can also be entered as a list of observations. See chapter 3 for details on
this and for details on the declaration of factors.
The declaration of factors and the table can be placed on a file, e.g., reinis81.dat:

| Factors Table |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 40 | 112 | 67 |
| 129 | 145 | 12 | 23 |
| 35 | 12 | 80 | 33 |
| 109 | 67 | 7 | 9 |
| 23 | 32 | 70 | 66 |
| 50 | 80 | 7 | 13 |
| 24 | 25 | 73 | 57 |
| 51 | 63 | 7 | 16 |
| 5 | 7 | 21 | 9 |
| 9 | 17 | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | 3 | 11 | 8 |
| 14 | 17 | 5 | 2 |
| 7 | 3 | 14 | 14 |
| 9 | 16 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 13 | 11 |
| 5 | 14 | 4 | 4 |

This file is then declared to CoCo by SET INPUTFILE DATA reinis81.dat; and then read by READ DATA; without arguments:

```
    CoCo - A program for estimation, test and model search
    in very large 'Co'mplete and 'InCo'mplete 'Co'ntingency tables.
    Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
    Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
    Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
>set inputfile data reinis81.dat;
>read data;
    64 cells with }1841\mathrm{ cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
```

>

The declaration of factors and the table or list of cases can be placed on separate files. See chapter 3. Chapter 3 also describes how to read incomplete tables, group levels, make data selection and handle missing values in lists of observations.

After reading the data any marginal table of observations can be printed with the command PRINT OBSERVED $\langle s e t\rangle$;, where $\langle s e t\rangle$ is a subset of the declared factors. The asterisk $*$ is an abbreviation of the set containing all factors, so in the following PRINT OBSERVED ABCDEF.; is equivalent to PRINT OBSERVED *;:
＞print observed ABCDEF．；
［ABCDEF］

＞print observed AB．；
［AB］
A 12
B
$1 \quad 522 \quad 541$
$2 \quad 439339$
$>$
DESCRIBE OBSERVED $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；，where $\langle$ set $\rangle$ is a subset of the declared factors，will give a univariate description of the values in the marginal table．The SET PAGE FORMATS $\langle$ line－length〉〈page－length〉；is used to make the plot fit into this guide．

```
# Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
# Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
# Compile-time: Mar 17 1995, 13:00:00.
# Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
# Licensed to ...
Diary set ON
>read data;
    6 4 ~ c e l l s ~ w i t h ~ 1 8 4 1 ~ c a s e s ~ r e a d .
Finding all marginals.
TIME: 0.083secs.
```

```
>#
># Change the size of a page to fit into this guide:
>#
>set page formats 72 52;
    TIME: 0.000secs.
>#
># Describe the observed counts in the saturated table
># (print also a uniform plot):
>#
>describe table uniform observed * ;
    DESCRIBE TABLE: Observed
    NUMBER OF CELLS IN TABLE: 64
NUMBER OF INVALID CELLS:
    0
NUMBER OF CELLS TO DESCRIBE: 64
SORTED LIST
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr}
0.0000 & 1.0000 & 2.0000 & 2.0000 & 3.0000 & 3.0000 & 3.0000 \\
4.0000 & 4.0000 & 4.0000 & 4.0000 & 4.0000 & 5.0000 & 5.0000 \\
5.0000 & 7.0000 & 7.0000 & 7.0000 & 7.0000 & 7.0000 & 8.0000 \\
9.0000 & 9.0000 & 9.0000 & 9.0000 & 11.0000 & 11.0000 & 12.0000 \\
12.0000 & 13.0000 & 13.0000 & 14.0000 & 14.0000 & 14.0000 & 14.0000 \\
16.0000 & 16.0000 & 17.0000 & 17.0000 & 21.0000 & 23.0000 & 23.0000 \\
24.0000 & 25.0000 & 32.0000 & 33.0000 & 35.0000 & 40.0000 & 44.0000 \\
50.0000 & 51.0000 & 57.0000 & 63.0000 & 66.0000 & 67.0000 & 67.0000 \\
70.0000 & 73.0000 & 80.0000 & 80.0000 & 109.0000 & 112.0000 & 129.0000 \\
145.0000 & & & & & & \\
& & & & & &
\end{tabular}
NUMBER OF VALUES: 64
\begin{tabular}{lllrlll}
\(25 \%:\) & RANK: & 16 VALUE: & 7.0000 & RANK: & 17 VALUE: & 7.0000 \\
\(50 \%:\) & RANK: & 32 VALUE: & 14.0000 & RANK: & 33 VALUE: & 14.0000 \\
\(75 \%:\) & RANK: & 48 VALUE: & 40.0000 & RANK: & 49 VALUE: & 44.0000
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrlrlr} 
MINIMUM: & 0.0000 & MAXIMUM: & 145.0000 & RANGE: & 145.0000 \\
MAX RUN: & 5 & MODE: & 4.0000 & \# < Eps.: & 1
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llrlr} 
SUM (X) : & 1841.0000 & MEAN : & 28.7656 \\
SUM (1/X) : & - & HARMONIC MEAN : & - \\
PROD (X) : & 0.0000 & GEOMETRIC MEAN : & 0.0000 \\
SUM (X-MEAN) ^2 \(:\) & \(7.096 E+04\) & VARIANCE: & 1108.6794 \\
SUM (X-MEAN) \(\wedge 3\) & \(:\) & \(3.956 E+06\) & SKEWNESS: & 1.6744 \\
SUM (X-MEAN) ^4 \(:\) & \(4.113 E+08\) & KURTOSIS: & 5.2282
\end{tabular}
```

UNIFORM PLOT

```
Unit horizontal: - = 2.5000
```



HISTOGRAM
$\begin{array}{llr}\text { Unit horizontal: } & *= & 1 \\ \text { Unit vertical: } & != & 10.0000\end{array}$

```
    0.0000 -> ! *************
    10.0000 -> ! ************************
    20.0000 -> ! ********
    30.0000 -> ! ***
    40.0000 -> ! ***
    50.0000 -> ! **
    60.0000 -> ! **
    70.0000 -> ! *****
    80.0000 -> ! **
    90.0000 -> !
    100.0000 -> !
    110.0000 -> ! **
    120.0000 -> !
    130.0000 -> ! *
    140.0000 -> !
    150.0000 -> ! *
    160.0000
```

COUNTS

| ! Cell <br> ! count ! |  |  | Number of cells with count | ! | Cumm. <br> number <br> cells | of | ! | \% of total number of cells | ! | Cumm. \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! | 0 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 1 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.0156 |
| ! | 1 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 2 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.0312 |
| $!$ | 2 | ! | 2 | ! |  | 4 | $!$ | 0.0312 | ! | 0.0625 |
| ! | 3 | ! | 3 | ! |  | 7 | ! | 0.0469 | ! | 0.1094 |
| ! | 4 | ! | 5 | ! |  | 12 | ! | 0.0781 | ! | 0.1875 |
| ! | 5 | ! | 3 | ! |  | 15 | ! | 0.0469 | ! | 0.2344 |
| ! | 7 | ! | 5 | ! |  | 20 | ! | 0.0781 | ! | 0.3125 |
| ! | 8 | ! | 1 | $!$ |  | 21 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.3281 |
| ! | 9 | ! | 4 | ! |  | 25 | ! | 0.0625 | ! | 0.3906 |
| ! | 11 | ! | 2 | ! |  | 27 | ! | 0.0312 | ! | 0.4219 |
| ! | . | ! |  | ! |  | . | ! | - | ! |  |
| ! | . | ! | . | ! |  | - | ! | . | ! |  |
| $!$ | 67 | ! | 2 | ! |  | 56 | ! | 0.0312 | ! | 0.8750 |
| $!$ | 70 | ! | 1 | $!$ |  | 57 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.8906 |
| ! | 73 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 58 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.9062 |
| ! | 80 | ! | 2 | ! |  | 60 | ! | 0.0312 | ! | 0.9375 |
| ! | 109 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 61 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.9531 |
| ! | 112 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 62 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.9688 |
| ! | 129 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 63 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 0.9844 |
| ! | 145 | ! | 1 | ! |  | 64 | ! | 0.0156 | ! | 1.0000 ! |

TIME: $\quad 0.126 \mathrm{secs}$.
The hierarchical log-linear interaction model described by the generating class $\langle g c\rangle$ is read by READ MODEL $\langle g c\rangle ;$; for example,

```
>read model AB,BC.;
```

Observed counts, estimated counts, probabilities and residuals (absolute, adjusted, standard, Freeman-Tukey, etc.) can be printed in tables or described with the commands, e.g., PRINT TABLE Probabilities 〈set〉; and DESCRIBE TABLE Adjusted Residuals $\langle s e t\rangle$; or the values can be listed or plotted against each other with LIST $\langle s e t\rangle$; and PLOT $\langle x$-value-name $\rangle\langle y$-value-name $\rangle\langle s e t\rangle$; See chapter 4 for details.
>\#
>\# Read model ACE, ADE, BC,F.
>\#
>read model ACE, ADE, BC,F. ;
TIME: 0.017 secs .
\#
\# Change the page-size
\#
>set page formats 7236 ;
TIME: 0.000secs.
>\#
>\# Describe the adjusted residuals in the marginal table BCDEF.:
>\#
>describe table rankit adjusted BCDEF. ;
DESCRIBE TABLE: Adjusted

| NUMBER OF CELLS IN TABLE: |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF INVALID CELLS: |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF CELLS TO DESCRIBE: |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| SORTED LIST |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -3.4465 | -2. 2064 | -2.1418 | -0.9380 | -0.8524 | -0.7695 | -0.6893 |
| -0.4999 | -0.4912 | -0.4444 | -0.4315 | -0.2857 | -0.2795 | -0.2417 |
| -0.1614 | -0.1219 | -0.0463 | 0.1210 | 0.1490 | 0.2556 | 0.3147 |
| 0.7317 | 0.8584 | 0.9000 | 0.9719 | 1.1956 | 1.2852 | 1.3426 |
| 1.4399 | 1.7381 | 1.9406 | 4.1246 |  |  |  |

STATISTICS

NUMBER OF VALUES: 32

| 25\% : | RANK: | 8 | VALUE: | -0.4999 | RANK: | 9 | VALUE: | -0.4912 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50\% : | RANK: | 16 | VALUE: | -0.1219 | RANK: | 17 | VALUE: | -0.0463 |
| 75\% : | RANK : | 24 | VALUE: | 0.9000 | RANK: | 25 | VALUE: | 0.9719 |




TIME:
0.104 secs.
(A histogram is omitted from the above output.)

```
>#
># Make a plot of the adjusted residuals against observed counts
>#
>plot observed adjusted * ;
    PLOT OF: Adjusted BY Observed
    PLOT
    Unit horizontal: - = 2.5000
Unit vertical: ! = 0.2500
Adjusted
```



```
EXCLUDED: 0
TIME:
0.066secs.
```

The likelihood ratio test statistic $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$, the $\chi^{2}$ test statistic and the power divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ for one model under another model is computed by the command TEST; The power $\langle\lambda\rangle$ in computing the power divergence is by default set to $2 / 3$. CoCo has to know two models to perform the test: the current model and a base model.

The model first read is both base and current and is given the model number 1. The first model stays base until another model is declared as base. Additional read models are given an increasing number. The last read model is the current model. The current model can be declared as base with the command BASE; . Model number
$\langle a\rangle$ is named base or current with the commands MAKE BASE $\langle a\rangle$; and MAKE CURRENT $\langle a\rangle$; respectively.

In the example, the model $\{\{\mathrm{ACE}\},\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{F}\}\}$ can be tested against $\{\{$ ABCDEF $\}\}$ by:

```
>read model *;
>base;
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
>test;
    Test of [[F][BC][ADE] [ACE]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
```

|  | Statistic |  | Asymptotic |  |  | Adjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$ | $=$ | 62.0779 | $\mathrm{P}=$ | 0.0994 | / | 0.0994 |
| Power | = | 59.7593 | $\mathrm{P}=$ | 0.1396 | / | 0.1396 |
| X 2 | = | 59.9956 | $\mathrm{P}=$ | 0.1350 | / | 0.1350 |
| DF. | = |  |  | 49 | / | 49 |

The first column of figures is the test statistic, the second is the asymptotic $p$-values based on an unadjusted number of degrees of freedom and the third is the $p$-values based on an adjusted number of degrees of freedom.

With SET EXACT TEST On; exact test is turned on. The exact test for one decomposable model against another decomposable model containing the model is then computed by EXACT TEST;:

```
>set exact test on;
    EXACT TEST SET ON
>exact test;
    Test of [[F][BC][ADE][ACE]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
```

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} & \text { Statistic } & & \text { Asymptotic } & \text { Adjusted } & \text { Exact } \\ \text {-2log }(\mathrm{Q}) & = & 62.0779 & \mathrm{P}= & 0.0994 & / & 0.0994\end{array}\right) 0.1550$

The following is an example of a model-search with the commands BACKWARD; and FORWARD; Comments are inserted in the source-file with the character \#. The following is a copy of the diary, edited a little: some blank lines removed, echo of comments removed, page-breaks removed and inserted:

```
# Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
# Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
# Compile-time: Mar 17 1995, 13:00:00.
# Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
# Licensed to ...
```

```
>#
># Set diary on:
>#
>set diary on;
    Diary set ON
>#
># Keep the log:
>#
>set keep log;
    Keep Log set ON
>#
># Set timer on:
>#
>set timer on;
    Timer set ON
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>#
># Set the input-file:
>#
>set input data reinis81.dat ;
    TIME: 0.003secs.
>#
># Read data
>#
>read data;
    64 cells with }1841\mathrm{ cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
    TIME: 0.025secs.
>#
># Read the saturated model:
>#
>read model *;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>#
># Name this model "current" and then the "current" model "base"
>#
>current;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>base;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
```

```
>#
># Choose exact test and
># compute also exact test P-value for Pearson's chi-spuare:
>#
>set exact test all;
    Exact test set ON
    Only Exact Deviance set OFF
    TIME: 0.001secs
>#
># Let CoCo decide on the number of tables to generate:
>#
>set number of tables null;
    TIME
                        0.001secs.
>#
># Ignore non-decomposable models:
>#
>set decomposable mode on;
    Decomposable mode set ON
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>#
># Do not compute the adjusted number of degrees of freedom:
>#
>set adjusted df off;
    Adjusted df set OFF
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>#
># Do not compute power divergence
>#
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>#
># Change the size of a page to fit into this guide:
>#
>set page formats 72 256;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>#
># Change the test formats:
>#
>set test formats 8 3 6 4;
    TIME: 0.000secs.
```

```
>#
># Do a recursive backward-elimination,
># where tests are done against the previously accepted model (follow)
># and edge exclusions once rejected remain rejected (coherent).
># Print only the sorted list of tests (only sorted):
>#
>only sorted recursive coherent follow backward;
Sorted list
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Edge & DF & \(-2 \log (Q)\) & P & X~2 & P & & Models & \\
\hline [AC] & 16 & 42.804 & 0.0005 & 41.617 & 0.0007 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ABDEF] [BCDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.0000 & & 0.0000 & & & \\
\hline [BC] & 16 & 684.989 & 0.0000 & 631.304 & 0.0000 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ABDEF] [ACDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.0000 & & 0.0000 & & & \\
\hline [AE] & 16 & 40.024 & 0.0010 & 38.762 & 0.0015 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDF] [BCDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.0030 & & 0.0020 & & & \\
\hline [DE] & 16 & 31.059 & 0.0132 & 30.222 & 0.0168 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDF] [ABCEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.0310 & & 0.0230 & & & \\
\hline [AD] & 16 & 28.724 & 0.0257 & 27.465 & 0.0363 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ABCEF] [BCDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.0420 & & 0.0380 & & & \\
\hline [AB] & 16 & 22.652 & 0.1232 & 21.213 & 0.1702 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ACDEF] [BCDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.1740 & & 0.1770 & & & \\
\hline [CF] & 16 & 22.153 & 0.1381 & 20.746 & 0.1881 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDE] [ABDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 00) & 0.1800 & & 0.1400 & & & \\
\hline [BF] & 16 & 22.788 & 0.1193 & 23.124 & 0.1102 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ABCDE] [ACDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 000 ) & 0.1890 & & 0.1100 & & & \\
\hline [AF] & 16 & 21.305 & 0.1668 & 19.710 & 0.2331 & R & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDE] [BCDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 00 ) & 0.2700 & & 0.2600 & & & \\
\hline [CE] & 16 & 18.629 & 0.2878 & 17.517 & 0.3526 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDF] [ABDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 00 ) & 0.3700 & & 0.3800 & & & \\
\hline [DF] & 16 & 18.345 & 0.3035 & 17.196 & 0.3728 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDE] [ABCEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 00 ) & 0.3700 & & 0.3700 & & & \\
\hline [EF] & 16 & 18.316 & 0.3052 & 17.820 & 0.3341 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDE] [ABCDF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 200 ) & 0.4000 & & 0.3450 & & & \\
\hline [BE] & 16 & 17.226 & 0.3709 & 16.113 & 0.4454 & & [[ABCDEF]] / & [ [ABCDF] [ACDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 00 ) & 0.4600 & & 0.4600 & & & \\
\hline [CD] & 16 & 14.808 & 0.5389 & 13.427 & 0.6422 & R & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ABCEF] [ABDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & & 20 ) & 0.6000 & & 0.6500 & & & \\
\hline [BD] & 16 & 12.226 & 0.7293 & 10.985 & 0.8109 & R & [[ABCDEF]] / & [[ABCEF] [ACDEF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 2 & 20 ) & 0.8500 & & 0.9500 & & & \\
\hline Edge sel & cted & & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{[BD]} \\
\hline Rejected & edg & es: [ & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{[ AD\(][\mathrm{DE}][\mathrm{AE}][\mathrm{BC}][\mathrm{AC}]\) ]} \\
\hline Accepted & edge & es: [ & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{[ BD\(][\mathrm{CD}][\mathrm{BE}][\mathrm{EF}][\mathrm{DF}][\mathrm{CE}][\mathrm{AF}][\mathrm{BF}][\mathrm{CF}][\mathrm{AB}]]\)} \\
\hline Model: & & & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{[ ABCEF ] [ACDEF]]} \\
\hline Edges el & gibl & be: [ & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{[ AB\(][\mathrm{AF}][\mathrm{BE}][\mathrm{BF}][\mathrm{CD}][\mathrm{CE}][\mathrm{CF}][\mathrm{DF}][\mathrm{EF}]]\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

[AF] [[ABCE] [ACDE] [BCEF] [CDEF]] is not decomposable
[CE] [[ABCF] [ABEF] [ACDF] [ADEF]] is not decomposable
[CF] [[ABCE] [ABEF] [ACDE] [ADEF]] is not decomposable
[EF] [[ABCE] [ABCF] [ACDE] [ACDF]] is not decomposable

Sorted list


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{[\mathrm{EF}]} & {[[\mathrm{ABCE}][\mathrm{ABCF}][\mathrm{ADE}][\mathrm{ADF}]] \text { is not decomposable }} \\
{[\mathrm{AF}]} & {[[\mathrm{ABCE}][\mathrm{ADE}][\mathrm{BCEF}][\mathrm{DEF}]] \text { is not decomposable }}
\end{array}
$$

Sorted list

| Edge | DF - $2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$ | P | X 2 | P | Models |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [AB] | $8 \quad 15.574$ | 0.0484 | 15.364 | 0.0519 | R [[ABCEF]] | [[ACEF] [BCEF]] |
| Exact ( | 1000 ) | 0.0530 |  | 0.0560 |  |  |
| [BF] | $8 \quad 15.744$ | 0.0457 | 16.733 | 0.0327 | R [[ABCEF]] | [ [ABCE] [ACEF]] |
| Exact ( | 200 ) | 0.0850 |  | 0.0450 |  |  |
| [CF] | $8 \quad 12.237$ | 0.1403 | 11.687 | 0.1651 | R [[ABCEF]] | [ [ABCE] [ABEF]] |
| Exact ( | 100 ) | 0.1300 |  | 0.1300 |  |  |
| [BE] | $8 \quad 11.364$ | 0.1812 | 11.268 | 0.1863 | R [[ABCEF]] | [ [ABCF] [ACEF]] |
| Exact ( | 200 ) | 0.1850 |  | 0.1850 |  |  |
| [DF] | 46.368 | 0.1733 | 6.583 | 0.1596 | R [ [ADEF]] / | [[ADE] [AEF]] |
| Exact ( | 200 ) | 0.1950 |  | 0.1750 |  |  |
| [CE] | $8 \quad 12.735$ | 0.1207 | 12.666 | 0.1233 | R [ [ABCEF]] | [ [ABCF] [ABEF]] |
| Exact ( | 200 ) | 0.2000 |  | 0.1950 |  |  |
| Edge sel | ected: [ | [CE] |  |  |  |  |
| Rejected | edges: [ | [ AD$][\mathrm{DE}][\mathrm{AE}][\mathrm{BC}][\mathrm{AC}]]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Accepted | edges: [ | [ [BD] [CD] [BE] [EF] [DF] [CE] [AF] [BF] [CF] [AB]] |  |  |  |  |
| Model: |  | [ ABCF ] [ABEF] [ADEF]] |  |  |  |  |
| Edges el | igible: [ | [ AB$][\mathrm{AF}][\mathrm{BE}][\mathrm{BF}][\mathrm{CF}][\mathrm{DF}][\mathrm{EF}]$ ] |  |  |  |  |


| [AB] | [ [ACF] [ADEF] [BCF] [BEF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [AF] | [ [ABC] [ABE] [ADE] [BCF] [BEF] [DEF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |
| [BF] | [ [ABC] [ABE] [ACF] [ADEF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |
| [EF] | [ [ABCF] [ABE] [ADE] [ADF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sorted list |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Edge | DF -2log(Q) | ) $P$ | X^2 | P | Models |  |
| [BE] | 421.159 | 90.0003 | 21.168 | 0.0003 | R [[ABEF]] | / [ [ABF] [AEF]] |
| Exact ( | 1000 ) | 0.0000 |  | 0.0000 |  |  |
| [CF] | 47.018 | 80.1349 | 7.459 | 0.1135 | R [ [ABCF]] | / [ [ABC] [ABF]] |
| Exact ( | 1000 ) | 0.1320 |  | 0.0930 |  |  |
| [DF] | 46.368 | 80.1733 | 6.583 | 0.1596 | R [[ADEF]] | / [[ADE] [AEF]] |
| Exact ( | 200 ) | 0.1950 |  | 0.1750 |  |  |
| Edge selected: [DF] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rejected edges: [ [bE] [AD] [DE] [AE] [BC] [AC]] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accepted edges: [ BD ] [CD] [BE] [EF] [DF] [CE] [AF] [BF] [CF] [AB]] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model: |  | [ [ABCF] [ABEF] [ADE]] |  |  |  |  |
| Edges eligible: |  | [ [EF] [CF] [bF] [AF] [AB]] |  |  |  |  |
| [BF] [ [ABC] [ABE] [ACF] [ADE] [AEF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [AF] | [ [ABC] [ABE] [ADE] [BCF] [BEF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |
| [AB] | [ [ACF] [ADE] [AEF] [BCF] [BEF]] is not decomposable |  |  |  |  |  |

Sorted list

| Edge | DF -2 | $2 \log (Q)$ | P | x ^2 | P | Models |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [CF] | 4 | 7.018 | 0.1349 | 7.459 | 0.1135 | R [ [ABCF]] | / [ [ABC] [ABF]] |
| Exact ( | 1000 | ) | 0.1320 |  | 0.0930 |  |  |
| [EF] | 4 | 3.951 | 0.4127 | 4.075 | 0.3959 | R [[ABEF]] | / [ [ABE] [ABF]] |
| Exact ( | 100 | 0 ) | 0.3900 |  | 0.3800 |  |  |
| Edge selected: |  |  | [EF] |  |  |  |  |
| Rejected edges: [ |  |  | [ [BE] [AD] [DE] [AE] [BC] [AC] ] |  |  |  |  |
| Accepted edges: |  |  | [ [BD] [CD] [BE] [EF] [DF] [CE] [AF] [BF] [CF] [AB]] |  |  |  |  |
| Model: [ |  |  | [ [ABCF] [ABE] [ADE]] |  |  |  |  |
| Edges el | igible |  | [ [AB] [AF] [BF] [CF]] |  |  |  |  |

[AB] [[ACF] [ADE] [BCF][BE]] is not decomposable
Sorted list

| Edge | DF -2log(Q) | P | X ${ }^{\sim} 2$ | P | Models |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [BF] | $4 \quad 11.151$ | 0.0249 | 13.302 | 0.0099 | R [[ABCF]] / | [ [ABC] [ACF]] |
| Exact ( | 1000 ) | 0.0270 |  | 0.0060 |  |  |
| [AF] | 47.386 | 0.1169 | 7.336 | 0.1192 | R [ [ABCF]] / | [ [ABC] [BCF]] |
| Exact ( | 200 ) | 0.1200 |  | 0.1200 |  |  |

```
    [CF] 4 7.018 0.1349 7.459 0.1135 R [[ABCF]] / [[ABC][ABF]]
Exact ( 1000 ) 0.1320 0.0930
Edge selected: [CF]
Rejected edges: [[BF][BE] [AD][DE][AE] [BC] [AC]]
Accepted edges: [[BD][CD][BE][EF][DF][CE][AF][BF][CF][AB]]
Model: [[ABC][ABE][ABF][ADE]]
Edges eligible: [[AF][AB]]
[AB] [[AC][ADE][AF][BC][BE][BF]] is not decomposable
Sorted list
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Edge & DF -2log(Q) & P & X 2 & P & Models \\
\hline [AF] & \(2 \quad 2.658\) & 8 0.2647 & 2.648 & 0.2661 & R [ [ ABF\(]\) ] / [ [ AB\(][\mathrm{BF}]]\) \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 ) & 0.2300 & & 0.2300 & \\
\hline Edge sel & ected: [ & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{[AF]} \\
\hline Rejected & edges: [ & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{[ BF\(][\mathrm{BE}][\mathrm{AD}][\mathrm{DE}][\mathrm{AE}][\mathrm{BC}][\mathrm{AC}]\) ]} \\
\hline Accepted & edges: [ & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{[ BD\(][\mathrm{CD}][\mathrm{BE}][\mathrm{EF}][\mathrm{DF}][\mathrm{CE}][\mathrm{AF}][\mathrm{BF}][\mathrm{CF}][\mathrm{AB}]\) ]} \\
\hline Model: & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{[ [ABC] [ABE] [ADE] [BF]]} \\
\hline Edges el & igible: [ & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{[ AB\(]\) ]} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
    [AB] [[AC][ADE][BC][BE][BF]] is not decomposable
TIME: 12.096secs.
>#
># Print all models, the sequence of accepted models:
>#
>print all models;
    Model no. 8 [[ABC][ABE][ADE][BF]]
    Model no. 7 [[ABC] [ABE] [ABF] [ADE]]
    Model no. 6 [[ABCF][ABE][ADE]]
    Model no. 5 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADE]]
    Model no. 4 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADEF]]
    Model no. 3 [[ABCEF] [ADEF]]
    Model no. 2 [[ABCEF][ACDEF]]
    Model no. 1 [[ABCDEF]] /BASE/ /CURRENT/
    TIME: 0.005secs.
>#
># Name the last accepted model "current"
>#
>current;
    TIME: 0.001secs
```

```
>#
># Name "current" model "base"
>#
>base;
    TIME: 0.000secs.
>#
># Print all models:
>#
>print all models;
    Model no. 8 [[ABC][ABE][ADE][BF]] /BASE/ /CURRENT/
    Model no. 7 [[ABC] [ABE][ABF][ADE]]
    Model no. 6 [[ABCF][ABE] [ADE]]
    Model no. 5 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADE]]
    Model no. 4 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADEF]]
    Model no. 3 [[ABCEF] [ADEF]]
    Model no. 2 [[ABCEF][ACDEF]]
    Model no. 1 [[ABCDEF]]
    TIME: 0.005secs.
>#
># Can an edge rejected by 'coherence' now be rejected:
>#
>backward;
```



```
>#
># Did accept wrong edges in the start:
>#
>forward;
```

```
    Edge DF -2log(Q) P X^2 P Models
```



```
    [CD] [[ABC] [ABE] [ACD] [ADE] [BF]] is not decomposable
    [CE] 4 7.516 0.1110 7.602 0.1073 [[ABCE]] / [[ABC] [ABE]]
Exact ( 1000 ) 0.1010 0.0940
    [CF] 2 2.290 0.3182 2.426 0.2974 [[BCF]] / [[BC][BF]]
Exact ( 100 ) 0.3300 0.3100
    [DF] [[ABC] [ABE] [ADE] [BF] [DF]] is not decomposable
    [EF] 2 2.405 0.3004 2.427 0.2972 [[BEF]] / [[BE][BF]]
Exact ( 100 ) 0.2700 0.2700
TIME:
    0.523secs.
>#
># Set DecomposableMode off to compute
># asymptotic P-values for non-decomposable models:
>#
>set decomposable mode off;
    Decomposable mode set OFF
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>#
># Compute asymptotic P-values
>#
>backward;
```




```
>#
># Name the last accepted model "current"
>#
>current;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>#
># Name "current" model "base"
>#
>base;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>#
># Print all models:
>#
>print all models;
    Model no. 10 [[AC][ADE][BC][BE][BF]] /BASE/ /CURRENT/
    Model no. 9 [[BF][BC][AC][ABE][ADE]]
    Model no. 8 [[ABC][ABE][ADE] [BF]]
    Model no. 7 [[ABC][ABE][ABF][ADE]]
    Model no. 6 [[ABCF][ABE][ADE]]
    Model no. 5 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADE]]
    Model no. 4 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADEF]]
    Model no. 3 [[ABCEF][ADEF]]
    Model no. 2 [[ABCEF][ACDEF]]
    Model no. 1 [[ABCDEF]]
    TIME: 0.006secs.
>#
># No edges can be removed from this model:
>#
>backward;
```



```
>#
># No edges should be added to the found model:
>#
>forward;
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Edge & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{DF - \(21 \mathrm{log}(\mathrm{Q})\)} & P & X^2 & P & Models \\
\hline [AB] & 3 & 6.177 & 0.1033 & 6.162 & 0.1040 & [ [ABE] [ABC]] / [ [BE] [BC] [AE] [AC]] \\
\hline [AF] & 1 & 1.388 & 0.2388 & 1.389 & 0.2385 & \begin{tabular}{l}
[ [AC] [AE] [AF] [BC] [BE] [BF]] \\
/ [ [AC] [AE] [BC] [BE] [BF]]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline [BD] & 2 & 1.397 & 0.4974 & 1.396 & 0.4976 & \begin{tabular}{l}
[ [AC] [ADE] [BC] [BDE]] \\
/ [ [AC] [ADE] [BC] [BE]]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline [CD] & 2 & 1.082 & 0.5823 & 1.082 & 0.5823 & \begin{tabular}{l}
[ [ACD] [ADE] [BC] [BE]] \\
/ [ [AC] [ADE] [BC] [BE]]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline [CE] & 3 & 7.365 & 0.0611 & 7.456 & 0.0587 & [ [BCE] [ACE]] / [[BE] [BC] [AE] [AC]] \\
\hline [CF] & 2 & 2.290 & 0.3182 & 2.426 & 0.2974 & [ [BCF]] / [ [BC] [BF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 & ) & 0.3300 & & 0.3100 & \\
\hline [DF] & 1 & 1.057 & 0.3038 & 1.062 & 0.3027 & \begin{tabular}{l}
[ [DF] [BF] [BE] [BC] \\
[ADE] [AC]] / [ [BF] [BE] [BC] [ADE] [AC]]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline [EF] & 2 & 2.405 & 0.3004 & 2.427 & 0.2972 & [ [BEF]] / [ [BE] [BF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 & ) & 0.2700 & & 0.2700 & \\
\hline TIME: & & 0.21 & 4secs. & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
>#
># Print all models:
>#
>print all models;
    Model no. 10 [[AC] [ADE] [BC][BE][BF]] /BASE/ /CURRENT/
    Model no. 9 [[BF][BC][AC][ABE][ADE]]
    Model no. 8 [[ABC] [ABE][ADE] [BF]]
    Model no. 7 [[ABC][ABE][ABF][ADE]]
    Model no. 6 [[ABCF] [ABE] [ADE]]
    Model no. 5 [[ABCF] [ABEF][ADE]]
    Model no. 4 [[ABCF][ABEF][ADEF]]
    Model no. 3 [[ABCEF][ADEF]]
    Model no. 2 [[ABCEF][ACDEF]]
    Model no. 1 [[ABCDEF]]
    TIME: 0.007secs.
>#
># Exit CoCo
>#
>quit;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
    TOTAL TIME: 15.017secs.
```

The model $\{\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{AC}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{BE}\},\{\mathrm{BF}\}\}$ found by coherent backward elimination with exact and local tests on the data from Reinis et al. (1981) adds the


Figure 1.1: A final model from recursive coherent backward elimination with local and exact tests on data from Reinis et al. 81. The TeX-code of the figure is written by Xlisp+CoCo.
edge $<B F>$ to the first of the two models $\{\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{AC}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{BE}\},\{\mathrm{F}\}\}$ and $\{\{A C E\},\{A D E\},\{B C\},\{F\}\}$ found in Edwards \& Havránek (1985). Note also that we in the search observed that the interaction $\langle A B C>$ is significant.

The model $\{\{A C E\},\{\operatorname{ADE}\},\{B C\},\{F\}\}$ from Edwards \& Havránek (1985) will in the next part of the guide often be used as an example. This model is selected mainly because it is decomposable.

The log-file after the run of CoCo to make output in this chapter looks like:

```
#
# Name the diary-file:
#
set output diary diary.2 ;
#
# Set diary on:
#
set diary on;
#
# Keep the log:
#
set keep log;
```

```
#
# Set timer on:
#
set timer on;
#
# Set the input-file:
#
set input data reinis81.dat ;
#
# Read data
#
read data;
#Data: Factors A2/B2/C2/D2/E2/F2//
#Data:
#Data: Table
#Data: 44 40}10112 67
#Data: 129 145 12 23
#Data: 35 12 80 33
#Data: 109 67 7}
#Data: 23 32 70 66
#Data: 50 80 7 13
#Data: }\begin{array}{lllll}{24}&{25}&{73}&{57}
#Data: 51 63 % 7 16
#Data: 5 7 7 21 9
#Data: }\begin{array}{lllll}{9}&{17}&{1}&{4}
#Data: 4 3 11 8
#Data: 14 17 5 2
#Data: 
#Data: }9016 2 3
#Data: 4 0
#Data: 
#
# Change the print-width used when printing tables:
#
set table formats 5 2 2 2;
#
# Print counts in the full table:
#
print observed ABCDEF.;
#
# Print counts in the marginal table AB:
#
print observed AB.;
#
# Print the total count:
#
print observed .;
#
# Change the size of a page to fit into this guide:
#
set page formats 72 52;
```

```
>#
># Describe the observed counts in the saturated table
># (print also a uniform plot):
>#
>describe table uniform observed * ;
#
# Read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.
#
read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
#
# Change the page-size
#
set page formats 72 36;
#
# Describe the adjusted residuals in the marginal table BCDEF.
# Print a 'rankit'-plot:
#
describe table rankit adjusted BCDEF.;
#
# Make a plot of the adjusted residuals against observed counts
#
plot observed adjusted *;
#
# Read the saturated model:
#
read model *;
#
# Name this model "current" and then the "current" model "base"
#
current;
base;
#
# Choose exact test and
# compute also exact test P-value for Pearson's chi-spuare:
#
set exact test all;
#
# Let CoCo decide on the number of tables to generate:
#
set number of tables null;
#
# Ignore non-decomposable models:
#
set decomposable mode on;
#
# Do not compute the adjusted number of degrees of freedom:
#
set adjusted df off;
```

```
#
# Do not compute power divergence
#
set power lambda null;
#
# Change the size of a page to fit into this guide:
#
set page formats 72 256;
#
# Change the test formats:
#
set test formats 8 3 6 4;
#
# Do a recursive backward-elimination,
# where tests are done against the previously accepted model (follow)
# and edge exclusions once rejected remain rejected (coherent).
# Print only the sorted list of tests (only sorted):
#
only sorted recursive coherent follow backward;
#
# Print all models, the sequence of accepted models:
#
print all models;
#
# Name the last accepted model "current"
#
current;
#
# Name "current" model "base"
#
base;
#
# Print all models:
#
print all models;
#
# Can an edge rejected by 'coherence' now be rejected:
#
backward;
#
# Did accept wrong edges in the start:
#
forward;
#
# Set DecomposableMode off to compute
# asymptotic P-values for non-decomposable models:
#
set decomposable mode off;
```

```
1.2. An Example
#
# Compute asymptotic P-values
#
backward;
#
# Compute asymptotic P-values
#
forward;
#
# Try to drop the significant interaction ABC:
#
drop interaction ABC.;
#
# Drop the edge AB, and accept a non-decomposable model:
#
drop edge AB.;
#
# Name the last accepted model "current"
#
current;
#
# Name "current" model "base"
#
base;
#
# Print all models:
#
print all models;
#
# No edges can be removed from this model:
#
backward;
#
# No edges should be added to the found model:
#
forward;
#
# Print all models:
#
print all models;
#
# Exit CoCo
#
quit;
```

It might be read as input to CoCo by coco $<$ log or the command SOURCE log; in CoCo .

## Part II

## User's Manual

## Chapter 2

## Commands

Command－names in this guide are written in upper－case，like in the command HELP； Arguments to CoCo－commands are capitalized，if they are keywords，like in the com－ mand SET DIARY［ On｜Off ］；or，if they are numbers，names，sets，models，etc． surrounded by $\langle$ and $\rangle$ ，like in MENU NUMBER $\langle a\rangle$ ；

Keywords and arguments surrounded by［ and ］are optional．If keywords are surrounded by［ and ］and separated by｜either one of the keywords can be given． If keywords or arguments are surrounded by \｛ and \} and separated by I one of the keywords or arguments must be given．

When reading commands，CoCo does not distinguish between lower and upper－ case letters．But note that CoCo distinguishes between lower and upper－case letters when reading factor names．Several commands separated by ；can be entered on the same line．A command consisting of more than one word can be divided between two lines with \＆．

The current version of CoCo only uses the first 4 characters of each word of a command name to recognize the command．Commands do not have to be ended by the character ；EndOfLine，＂Return＂，works as well．

## 2．1 Online HELP

HELP［ 〈command－name〉｜〈abbreviation〉］；
MENU NUMBER $\langle a\rangle$ ；
NEXT MENU；
CURRENT MENU；
PREVIOUS MENU；
An introduction to CoCo is given by the command HELP；without any arguments． The command HELP；can be given a command－name as an argument：The command HELP 〈command－name〉；will print the full command－name with arguments，and a description of the command with name＜command－name〉 is printed（when this de－ scription is entered in the help－file）．HELP help；will give a description of how to use＂help＂．

If only the beginning of a command－name is given to the help－command，HELP〈start of command－name〉；，then CoCo will show expected continuation of the begin－ ning of command－names，e．g．，HELP read；or HELP set；and some documentation for that beginning might be printed．

HELP $\langle$ value－name〉；will give documentation for the table－value $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle$ ． HELP $\langle$ keyword $\rangle$ ；is used to print documentation for special topics like limits，overlays， data，etc．，See HELP help；

MENU NUMBER 0；will print a quick－reference－card．With MENU NUMBER $\langle a\rangle$ ；，NEXT MENU；CURRENT MENU；，PREVIOUS MENU；it is possible to step through the quick－ reference－card．

If a command is removed from CoCo，then HELP 〈command－name〉；will not be able to reach help information on how the command is replaced．See HELP help；or HELP removed；

## 2．2 Comments

If \＃is the first character of a command，then characters from \＃to ；or end of line are skipped．

## 2．3 Make，Delete and Print Alias

PRINT ALIAS \｛ 〈command－name〉｜〈abbreviation〉\}; MAKE ALIAS 〈command－name〉〈abbreviation〉； DELETE ALIAS 〈abbreviation〉；

Abbreviations for commands with long names can be seen with the com－ mand PRINT ALIAS 〈command－name〉；The command HELP 〈abbreviation〉；will print the full command－name with arguments for the command with abbreviation $\langle a b b r e v i a t i o n\rangle$ ．New abbreviations can be declared with the command MAKE ALIAS $\langle$ command－name〉 〈abbreviation〉；An abbreviation is deleted with DELETE ALIAS $\langle a b b r e v i a t i o n\rangle ;$

The author uses the following abbreviations：

```
make alias quit end ;
make alias end e ;
make alias set inputfile data sid ;
make alias set outputfile diary sod ;
make alias set outputfile report sor ;
make alias read data rd ;
make alias read specification rs ;
make alias read observations ro ;
make alias read model rm ;
make alias print formula pf ;
make alias print all models pam ;
```

These abbreviations can be placed on the file，e．g．，my．alias，and read by the command SOURCE $\langle$ file－name〉；：SOURCE my．alias；．See also the next section about
the local parser. The file . cocorc (COCO.SRC under DOS) is read, when CoCo is started. See also the chapter 11 about source files.

### 2.4 Reading a Local Parser

## READ LOCAL PARSER;

If you are running a public CoCo on workstations and declaring new abbreviations, a local version of the parser-table is generated in the current directory. Next time you start CoCo up in that directory, the local version of the parser table with your abbreviations can be read in with READ LOCAL PARSER;

The following two commands are of no interest to the normal user:
INIT PARSER;
NO ACTION;
INIT PARSER; is used by the author to create a new parser-table from the file INIT.TAB. With the command NO ACTION; CoCo enters a mode, where commands are read, but no action is performed. Exit this mode by END; .

### 2.5 Sets and Models

A set is entered as a list of factor names enclosed in sharp parentheses; e.g., the set with the three variables A, B and C is entered as
[ABC]
A model (generating class, e.g., in the command READ MODEL $\langle g c\rangle ;$ ) is entered as a list of sets enclosed in sharp parentheses; for example, the model with the two generators $<\mathrm{AB}\rangle$ and $<\mathrm{BC}\rangle$ is entered as

## [ $[\mathrm{AB}][\mathrm{BC}]]$

A shorter form can be used. Comma (,) ends sets whereas period (.) ends both sets and generating classes so the set above set with the three variables A, B and C can be also be entered as
$A B C$.
and the above model can be entered as
$A B, B C$.
EndOfLine, "Return", ends sets, generating classes and sets of generating classes unless the EndOfLine is presided by the character \&

The asterisk $*$ is an abbreviation for the set containing all declared factors and for the saturated model. Period (., with no factors preceding) is an abbreviation for the model with only main-effects. The uniform model (model with no effects) can be entered as ; or [[]].

## 2．5．1 Variable names of length longer than one character

If variable names longer than one character are used，then the first character of each name is ：，and sets are entered as，e．g．，
［：Sex：Smoking：Drinking］
or
：Sex：Smoking：Drinking．
and models can be entered as，e．g．，
［［：Sex：Smoking］［：Smoking：Drinking］］
or
：Sex：Smoking，：Smoking：Drinking ．

## 2．6 Pausing and Front End Processor：History Mechanism

No history－mechanism is implemented in CoCo．On Unix：Use＇fep＇（If not available on your system，get your system administrator to install it），or run CoCo as a sub－ process in＇emacs＇．On DOS：use the F3－key．

SET PAUSE［ On｜Off ］；
SET PAGING LENGTH 〈number of lines〉；
At some commands，and especially on some fast machines，it has become a problem to reach to read output from CoCo before it scrolls out of the top of the screen．

With Pausing set on by SET PAUSE［ On｜Off ］；（Default：Off）the output is paused for each written 22 lines（after last NewPage），if EndOfLine is found after the total command is read by CoCo．E．g．，if Pausing is on，then CoCo will pause for each printed 22 lines after STATUS；．If Pausing is on，then CoCo will not pause during the status command，if STATUS；；or STATUS；READ MODEL A，B．；is entered．The next 22 lines are printed by pressing＂Return＂．If a ；is entered when pausing，then the command will finish without pausing．The number of lines to print between pausing are set by SET PAGING LENGTH 〈number of lines〉；（Default：22）．

Note that not only printing but also computation in CoCo are paused．It is not recommended to pipe the output from CoCo into pagers like＇more＇or＇less＇since you will not see entered characters to CoCo before you have typed＇return＇．Use＇cmdtool＇， run CoCo as a sub－process in＇emacs＇，use Control－S and Control－Q or set the diary on，and use some＇pager＇on the diary．

A log of the CoCo－session is kept．Unless you use the command SET KEEP LOG On； or change the LogFileName，the LogFile is removed on Unix when CoCo terminates normally．See chapter 11 about the LogFile and use the command STATUS Files； to see default file－names．

### 2.7 Print-formats, Tests, Diary, Source, Sink, Log, etc.

See chapter 11: Options.

### 2.8 Interrupts

On Unix a Control-C will terminate the IPS-algorithm and the computation of an exact test. Control-\ or two close Control-C's will terminate the EM-algorithm, factorization of a test, a BACKWARD;-command, a FORWARD;-command or the computation of a dual in the EH-procedure. See section 11.7: "Interrupts" in chapter 11: "Miscellaneous Options for ..." for how to set interrupts off.

## Chapter 3

## Reading Data

The command READ DATA；is used to read observations and specifications of factors from input or from a file．

## 3．1 Keyboard and Files

```
SET KEYBOARD [ On | Off ];
SET INPUTFILE DATA 〈file-name〉;
SET INPUTFILE SPECIFICATION <file-name〉;
SET INPUTFILE OBSERVATIONS 〈file-name〉;
READ DATA [ <specification\rangle\langleobservations\rangle];
READ SPECIFICATION [ <specification\rangle];
READ FACTORS [ <factor-list\rangle];
READ NAMES [ <name-list\rangle];
READ OBSERVATIONS [ \langleobservations\rangle];
READ TABLE [ \langletable\rangle ];
READ LIST [ 〈list of cases\rangle];
```

The data consists of two parts：specification and observations．The specification is the definition of variables and table．The observations are the cell counts in the table． Data can either be read from keyboard or file．This is controlled by the command SET KEYBOARD［ On｜Off ］；The following sections will describe how the specification and observations have to be formatted．

When reading from file，the file with data（specification and observations）is named （and reset）with the command SET INPUTFILE DATA 〈file－name〉；．If specification and observations are not in the same file，the two files are named and reset with SET INPUTFILE SPECIFICATION〈file－name〉；and SET INPUTFILE OBSERVATIONS〈file－ name $\rangle$ ；

After naming files，the specification and observations are read with READ DATA； without arguments．If data are read from key－board，the specification and observations are expected as arguments to READ DATA［ 〈specification〉〈observations〉］；．

If data selection is to be done，it has to be declared between reading specifica－ tion and observations，so READ DATA［ 〈specification〉〈observations〉］；has to be divided in to the two actions READ SPECIFICATION［ 〈specification〉］；and READ OBSERVATIONS［ 〈observations〉］；See section 3.8 on data selection．

The command READ LIST［ 〈list of cases〉］；is the same command as READ OBSERVATIONS［ 〈observations〉］；except that the key－word LIST；is not expected． Analogous to READ NAMES［ 〈name－list〉］；，READ FACTORS［ 〈factor－list〉］；and READ TABLE［ $\langle$ table $\rangle$ ］；On the key－words Names，List，Factors and Table，see the following sections．

Specifications and observations are defaults read from the file COCO．DAT in the home directory for CoCo．

SET DATASTRUCTURE \｛ All｜Necessary｜File｜Large \};
SET LARGE［ On｜Off ］；
SET SORTED［ On｜Off ］；
These commands control the selected data structure，see the chapter 11 ＂Options＂．

## 3．2 Specification

The specification declares the number of factors，order of factors and name and number of levels（non－missing and missing levels）for each factor．Factor－names in CoCo consist either all of a single character，or names of any length can be used．If factor－names consist of only a single character，then the name can be any character except slash （／）．E．g．，a ．．z，A ．Z， $0 \ldots 9$ ，（and ？，！，©，\＄，\＃，\％，$\wedge_{, ~, ~}^{\text {，}}$ ，＋，＝，।，\，$\sim$ ，＇，＇ and＂）．（Some of the special characters may be reserved in later versions of CoCo．） Note that CoCo distinguishes between lower－case and upper－case letters when reading factor names．The characters \＃，＊，，，．，；，：，／，and parentheses（（，），［，］，＜，＞， \｛ and \} ) can be used as factor names, but should not, since it would cause trouble when reading sets and models．

If names consisting of more than one character are to be used，then all names must be declared with ：as the first character．

The specification starts either with the key－word Factors or with the key－word Names：

## 3．2．1 Factor by Factor：Factors

If Factors is found，a list of items separated by／and ended by／／is expected．The number of items defines the number of factors，and the order of the items defines the order of factors used when reading data．Each item consists of a name（a character）， number of non－missing levels（an integer）and an optional number of levels to be in－ terpreted as missing values（see section 3．9 Missing Values：Incomplete observations）； for example，
or

## 3．2．2 Attributes one by one：Names

These specification could also be entered as
Names A B C／ $222 / 001$
and
Names ：Sex ：Smoking ：Drinking／ 222 ／ 001
respectively．
After the key－word Names a list of characters giving names and order of factors is expected．Then a list of integers giving number of non－missing levels for each factor is expected．An optional list of number of levels for each factor to be marked as missing can be entered．If this list is not entered，end the list of numbers of non－missing levels with／／；for example

## Names A B C／ 22 ／／

Factor－levels are integers from 1 up to the total number of levels（number of non－ missing levels plus the number of levels marked as missing）．

New users are often confused by the above two ways of declaring the variables． The form Factors is useful to specify the factors one by one．Names is useful if the data is entered as a list．The names，number of levels and number of levels to marked as missing can then be written as headings to the columns of levels for each factor． The form Names is also used when defining a table from S－Plus or XLISP－STAT，see chapter 12 ．

Use STATUS Specification；to see the entered specification．

## 3．3 Ordinal Variables

SET ORDINAL $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；
With this command the factors of the subset $\langle$ set $\rangle$ of the factors are declared to be ordinal．Then when two factors are tested conditionally independent Goodman and Kruskal＇s Gamma coefficient is also computed．The argument $\langle s e t\rangle$ has to be a set with the names of the ordinal factors．

## 3．4 Observations

Observations can either be entered as a table or as a list．The table is read cell by cell， in a standard cell order．A list is a list of cases，each case consisting of the levels of the factors in the same order as the factors are defined or some values to be recoded by the command CUTPOINTS 〈factor－name〉 〈cutpoints〉；．Characters（including ．and ， when no cutpoints for the variable reading is declared）not a digit are interpreted as separators between integers．（The characters ．and＊are also abbreviations for the lowest level marked as missing．）The integers must be greater than or equal to 1 and less than 30 （if not CUTPOINTS 〈factor－name〉〈cutpoints〉；is used，see section 3．7）． The 6 cases in the $2 \times 2 \times 3$ table 3.1 （factors declared with one of the first two specifications shown in the previous section）can be entered as

|  | $\mathrm{A}=1$ | $\mathrm{~A}=2$ | $\mathrm{~A}=1$ | $\mathrm{~A}=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}=1$ | $\mathrm{~B}=1$ | $\mathrm{~B}=2$ | $\mathrm{~B}=2$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{C}=2$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{C}=3$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3.1: A simple data set.

### 3.4.1 Table

Table
1000
0011
1200 /
or as

### 3.4.2 List

```
List
1 1
2 2
113
2 1.
2 1*
12 /
```

When reading from key-board the list or table has to be ended with a slash. The characters . and $*$ are abbreviations for the lowest level marked as missing. Thus, at the factor C 3, . and $*$ are all codes for the level marked as missing.

If List is replaced by Accumulated-list, then an accumulated list is expected: Each identification of a cell has to be preceded by the number of cases in that cell.

### 3.4.3 Accumulated List

| Accumulated-list |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | $*$ |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $/$ |

The accumulated list for data entered by List or Table can be printed by CASE LIST *; see section 4.4.

## 3．5 Replacing the Observations with a Random Data Set

## SUBSTITUTE DATA WITH GENERATED TABLE；

This command will replace the table of observed counts with a random table of counts， where the sufficient marginals for the current model are unchanged．The current model has to be decomposable．The table is generated as when computing an exact $p$－value．

## 3．6 Initial values to the IPS－algorithm

READ Q－TABLE $\langle$ set $\rangle\langle$ table $\rangle$ ；
READ Q－LIST 〈set〉〈list of cells〉；
CLEAN DATA；
Initial values（integers）to the IPS－algorithm are entered by READ Q－TABLE $\langle s e t\rangle\langle t a b l e\rangle ;^{1}$ ．Zero， 0 ，is entered for cells to be zero by structure．Cells to be zero by structure can also be entered by the command READ Q－LIST 〈set〉〈list of cells $\rangle$ ；By cells to be zero by structure incomplete tables can be handled．

## 3．6．1 Structural Zeros＝Incomplete Tables

If the cells $(B, C)=(2,1),(B, C)=(1,2)$ and $(B, C)=(2,3)$ in the previously used ex－ ample are structurally zero，this can be specified by using the character－for structural zero cells when entering the observations as a table：

```
Table
10--
- - 1 1
12 - - /
```

Or after reading the observations without specifying structural zero cells，the struc－ tural zero cells can be specified by the READ Q－TABLE $\langle s e t\rangle\langle t a b l e\rangle$ ；－command：

```
>READ Q-TABLE ABC. 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 /
```

0 or－is entered for structural zero cells， 1 for non－structural zero cells．
Since a cell in this table is structural zero if，and only if，the corresponding cell in the BC－marginal table is zero，this table can be specified by：

```
>READ Q-TABLE BC. 1 0 0 1 1 0 /
```

If more than one marginal table for structural zero cells is specified，then a cell in the full table is set to structural zero by CoCo，if，and only if，the corresponding cell in one of the marginal tables is zero．Thus

[^1]```
>READ Q-TABLE AB. 1 0 1 1/
>READ Q-TABLE BC. 1 0 0 1 1 0 /
```

is equivalent to：

```
>READ Q-TABLE ABC. 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 /
```

or since all the counts in the non－zero cells in the example are 1 ：

```
Table
1 - - -
- - 1 1
1 - - /
```

If a Q－table can be factorized into a product of Q－tables，it should be，since it saves space and computing time．

If the $\langle a\rangle$－marginal Q－table exists，when READ Q－TABLE $\langle a\rangle\langle t a b l e\rangle$ ；is used，then the existing Q－table is overwritten．If the specified table contains or is a marginal table in an existing Q－table，a warning message is printed．The used Q－table will still be the product of all defined Q－tables．

The cell $(A, B, C)=(2,1,3)$ is structural zero，but the observed count in this cell was 2．Such illegal observations are removed with the command CLEAN DATA；．（If illegal observations are not removed，computed statistics，probabilities，expected counts， residuals，etc．will be incorrect．A simple test is that READ MODEL＊；PRINT TABLE Probabilities ．；should return the value 1）

The PRINT TABLE $\langle$ value－name〉 $\langle a\rangle$ ；and DESCRIBE TABLE $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ； commands are described in later chapters．In tables with structural zeros the fol－ lowing is useful：

PRINT TABLE Complete Observed $\langle a\rangle$ ；will print a table with the observed count in the cell，if the cell is not structurally zero，else－．

PRINT TABLE Zero $\langle a\rangle$ ；will print a table with 0 in the cell，if the cell is structurally zero，else 1.

PRINT TABLE Errors $\langle a\rangle$ ；will print a table with the observed counts in the cell，if the cell is structurally zero，else－．

DESCRIBE TABLE Errors $\langle a\rangle$ ；will give a description of the observed counts in cells with structural zeroes．

The command READ Q－LIST 〈set〉〈list of cells〉；declares individual cells in the $\langle s e t\rangle$－marginal table to be structural zero，i．e．，adds structurally zero cells to the $\langle s e t\rangle$－ marginal Q－table．Thus，

```
>READ Q-TABLE AB. 1 0 1 1/
>READ Q-TABLE BC. 1 0 0 1 1 0 /
```

is equivalent to：

```
>READ Q-TABLE BC. 1 0 0 1 1 0 /
>READ Q-LIST AB. 2 1/
```

or

```
>READ Q-LIST ABC.
    Data->2 1 1
    Data->1 2 1
    Data->2 2 1
    Data->1 1 2
    Data->2 1 2
    Data->2 1 3
    Data->1 2 3
    Data->2 2 3
    Data->/
```

If the $\langle a\rangle$－marginal Q－table exists when READ Q－LIST $\langle a\rangle\langle l i s t ~ o f ~ c e l l s\rangle$ ；is used then the $\langle$ list of cells $\rangle$ is added to the existing Q－table．If the specified table contains or is a marginal table in an existing Q－table，a warning message is printed．

Commands Q－list $\langle a\rangle\langle t a b l e\rangle$ ；and Q－list $\langle a\rangle\langle$ list of cells $\rangle$ ；can be placed on the file with the list of observations，and is read when the command READ DATA；or READ OBSERVATIONS；is used，see the beginning of this chapter．

```
DISPOSE OF Q-TABLE <set\rangle;
DISPOSE OF ALL Q-TABLES;
```

These two commands dispose of the specified $\langle s e t\rangle$－marginal Q－table and all spec－ ifications of structural zeros respectively．

Since the estimated probabilities depend on the read Q－tables，the estimated prob－ abilities and tests are disposed of when reading Q－tables．Models are not disposed of， but when the estimated probabilities are used after the reading of the Q－table，the probabilities are re－estimated by the IPS－algorithm．The list of tests is disposed of when reading Q－tables，see SET REUSE OF TESTS［ On｜Off ］；）in later sections （section 6.6 and chapter 11）．

## 3．7 Grouping of Factor Levels－Cutpoints and Re－ define Factors

```
REDEFINE FACTOR 〈factor-name\rangle\langle# of observed levels\rangle\langle# of missing levels\rangle;
CUTPOINTS <factor-name\rangle <cutpoints\rangle;
```

If a factor has too many levels（or is continuous）the number of levels can be reduced with the command CUTPOINTS 〈factor－name〉 〈cutpoints〉；between reading specifications and observations．Note that the tests in CoCo do not take into account an ordering or scaling on the levels．

Observations have to be entered as a list，if cutpoints are to be used．Before using CUTPOINTS $\langle$ factor－name $\rangle\langle$ cutpoints $\rangle$ ；the factor with name $\langle$ factor－name $\rangle$ has to be
declared with number of levels equal to number of groups that CUTPOINTS 〈factor－ name〉 〈cutpoints〉；result in．The number of cutpoints must be the number of result－ ing levels minus one．The first group of the resulting factor will consist of values less than or equal to the first cutpoint，values greater than the first cutpoint and less than or equal to the second cutpoint will be grouped in the second group，etc．

Commands CUTPOINTS 〈factor－name〉〈cutpoints〉；can be placed on the file with the list of observations，and is read when the command READ DATA；or READ OBSERVATIONS；is used，see the beginning of this chapter．If two CUTPOINTS $\langle$ factor－name $\rangle\langle$ cutpoints $\rangle$ ；－commands for the same $\langle$ factor－name $\rangle$ are found on the observation－file，the first of them is used and the others ignored．

If the specification and the list of observations are written on files，it is annoying to have to edit the file with specification to experiment with different numbers of cutpoints for a specific factor．The specification has to be edited，since the number of cutpoints must be equal to the number of declared levels minus one．But the declared number of levels can be changed by the command REDEFINE FACTOR 〈factor－ name〉〈\＃of observed levels〉〈\＃of missing levels〉；after reading the specification， but before using the CUTPOINTS 〈factor－name〉 〈cutpoints $\rangle$ ；－command and reading data．The number of non－missing levels is set to $\langle \#$ of observed levels $\rangle$ and the number of levels marked as missing is set to 〈\＃of missing levels $\rangle$ for factor $\langle$ factor－name $\rangle$ ． The total number of levels is set to $\langle \#$ of observed levels $\rangle+\langle \#$ of missing levels $\rangle$ ．

Consider the following artificial data－file＂liver＂，where cutpoints for the continuous variable Bilirubin are placed on the data－file：

```
# Jaundice: No: 1, Mild: 2, Moderate: 3, Severe: 4, Not coded: 5;
# Bilirubin: in umol/l:
# 0: < 1 umol/l,
# 900.00: > 1000 umol/l
# 999.99: No measurement
# *: Missing on form
Factors J 4 1 / B 6 1 //
Cutpoints B O 50.00 100.00 200.00 899.99 900.00
# 1: < 1
# 2: 1 - 50.00 umol/l
# 3: 50.01 - 100.00 umol/l
# 4: 100.01 - 200.00 umol/1
# 5: 200.01 - 1000.00 umol/l
# 6: > 1000.00 umol/l = code '900.00'
# 7: Missing: Code '*' or '999.99'
List
4 123.12 1 1 27.36 
2 22.23 1 1 49.99 1
1 12.00 1 73.00 5 7 7.00 1 % * 8.00 1 9.00
1 6.00 4 108.00 1 0.00 1 0.0.0
```

Comments are inserted in the data－file by＇$\#$＇as the first character at lines before the key－words Names，List，Factors and Table．

The commands

```
set output diary diary.l1;
set input data liver;
read data;
print observed *;
quit;
will then result in
# Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
# Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
# Compile-time: Mar 17 1995, 13:00:00.
# Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
    Diary set ON
>set input data liver;
>read data;
    Finding all marginals.
        23 cases read.
>print observed *;
```

            [JB]
    | J | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

>quit;
The variables Jaundice and Bilirubin are both ordinal. Without changing the file "liver" they can be redefined by the following commands:

```
set output diary diary.l2;
set input data liver;
read specification;
redefine factor J 2 1;
cutpoints J 1 4;
# 1: 1, 2: 2, 3 and 4, 3 (Missing): 5 (Missing) ;
redefine factor B 2 1;
cutpoints B 50.00 900.00;
# 1: 0 - 50.00 umol/l, 2: 50.01 - Max umol/l, 3: Missing ;
read observations;
print observed *;
quit;
```

These commands will result in:

```
# Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
# Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
# Compile-time: Mar 17 1995, 13:00:00.
# Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
    Diary set ON
>set input data liver;
>read specification;
>redefine factor J
    Levels(J)-> 2
    Missing(J)-> 1
>cutpoints J
    Cutpoint(J, 1)-> 1.000
    Cutpoint(J, 2)-> 4.000
># 1: 1, 2: 2, 3 and 4, 3 (Missing): 5 (Missing) ;
>redefine factor B
    Levels(B)-> 2
    Missing(B)-> 1
>cutpoints B
    Cutpoint(B, 1)-> 50.000
    Cutpoint(B, 2)-> 900.000
># 1: 0 - 50.00 umol/l, 2: 50.01 - Max umol/l, 3: Missing ;
>read observations;
    Ignoring cutpoint for factor 'B' on datafile
    Finding all marginals.
        23 cases read.
>print observed *;
```

        [JB]
        \(\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{J} & 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}\)
    B
    \(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 9 & 2 & 2 \\ 2\end{array}\)
    \(\begin{array}{llll}2 & 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1\end{array}\)
    >quit;

## 3．8 Data Selection

SELECT CASES $\langle$ set $\rangle\langle$ marginal cell $\rangle$ ；
OR SELECT CASES $\langle$ set $\rangle\langle$ marginal cell $\rangle$ ；
REJECT CASES $\langle$ set〉 $\langle$ marginal cell〉；
OR REJECT CASES $\langle$ set〉〈marginal cell〉；

## 3．8．1 Select or Reject Cases During the Reading of Data

With the commands SELECT CASES 〈set〉〈marginal cell〉；and OR SELECT CASES $\langle$ set〉〈marginal cell〉；between READ SPECIFICATION［ 〈specification〉］；and READ OBSERVATIONS［ 〈observations $]$ ；only cases in particular cells in one or more marginal tables are read．For example，only cases with $(A=1$ and $B=2)$ or $(C=1)$ or $(D=2)$ are read by

```
READ SPECIFICATION;
SELECT CASES AB. 1 2;
OR SELECT CASES C. 1;
OR SELECT CASES D. 2;
READ OBSERVATIONS;
```

The command SELECT CASES $\langle$ set〉 $\langle$ marginal cell $\rangle$ ；disposes of previous select－ statements．Use the command SELECT CASES ．；to select all cases not rejected．

Cases in particular cells can be skipped with REJECT CASES 〈set〉 〈marginal cell〉； and OR REJECT CASES $\langle$ set〉〈marginal cell $\rangle$ ；For example，

```
READ SPECIFICATION;
SELECT CASES AB. 1 2;
OR SELECT CASES C. 1;
REJECT CASES CD. 1 1;
OR REJECT CASES D. 2;
READ OBSERVATIONS;
```

Cases with

```
( (A=1 and B=2) or (C=1) )
    and not ( (C=1 and D=1) or ( }D=2) 
```

are read．
As with the command SELECT CASES 〈set〉〈marginal cell〉；the command REJECT CASES $\langle$ set $\rangle\langle$ marginal cell $\rangle$ ；disposes of previous reject－statements．All cases selected are read after the command REJECT CASES ．；

Note that selection will result in zero cells in the read data，if factors done selection on is read．The selection is done on the result of REDEFINE FACTOR $\langle$ factor－name〉〈\＃of observed levels〉〈\＃of missing levels〉；－and CUTPOINTS〈factor－ name〉〈cutpoints〉；－commands．
（Cases with a particular level at a non－binary factor can be excluded without making zero cells by reading a data－list with the factor twice，doing selection on one copy of the factor，and grouping the other copy of the factor by the CUTPOINTS $\langle$ factor－name〉 $\langle$ cutpoints $\rangle$ ；－commands．）

### 3.8.2 Read only a Subset of Variables

SET READ \{ All | Subset 〈set〉\};
SET READ Subset $\langle a\rangle$; causes only a subset of the declared factors to be read. The command must be used after reading specification and before data selection and before reading observations. Data selection can still be performed at all declared factors.

SET READ All; will cancel the effect of SET READ Subset $\langle a\rangle$;

### 3.9 Missing Values: Incomplete Observations

One may want to exclude cases with particular levels at some factors in tests. If e.g., a factor A on the form has the 3 levels: 1) Yes, 2) No and 3) Not able to decide Yes or No and a fourth level is added during transformation of data from forms to data in computer: 4) No information about factor A. Then one may want to mark level 3) and 4) as missing values. The levels to be marked have to be the levels with the highest numerical value. The factor A is declared together with a factor C with a total of 4 , levels one of which is to be marked as missing and two binary factors B and $D$ as

```
Factors A 2 2 / B 2 / C 3 1 / D 2 //
```

or

\[

\]

When declaring factors, the characters * and . are abbreviations of 1 . When reading data in the form List, the characters are abbreviations of the lowest level marked as missing. For example, at the factor A declared in this section . is equal to 3 and at the factor $C$ equal to 4 in the following list:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { List } \\
& \text {; } 2 \cdot 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Missing values can in CoCo be handled in the following three ways:

- Skip all cases with missing values.

This is selected by the command SKIP MISSING; Cases with missing values among the subset of variables to be read (set by SET READ Subset $\langle s e t\rangle$;) are then skipped during the reading of cases.

- Use all cases without missing values for relevant factors.

After the command EXCLUDE MISSING On; all cases without missing values for relevant factors are used in each test. This is turned off again by the command EXCLUDE MISSING Off; and all cases are used. The command EXCLUDE

MISSING In $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；will exclude the cases with variables having levels marked as missing for any of the variables in the set $\langle$ set $\rangle$ of factors．The command is used after entering the data．
－Use the EM－algorithm to estimate the values of unobserved factors．
This is selected by the command EM ON；after entering the data．The current implementation is tested on Fuchs（1982）for situations，where factors at random are unobserved，and on Dawid \＆Skene（1979）with a latent variable，i．e．，a variable unobserved for all cases．

## 3．9．1 Skip Missing：Read only Cases with Complete Informa－ tion

```
SKIP MISSING;
```

If the command SKIP MISSING；is used between reading specification and data， only cases with complete information in the subset to be read are read．Cases with variables with values marked as missing among the subset variables to be read are skipped．（The subset of factors to read is controlled by the command SET READ \｛ All｜Subset；$\langle$ set $\rangle$ \}.)

## 3．9．2 Exclude Missing On／Off：Use Maximal Number of Cases with Complete Information in each Test

EXCLUDE MISSING［ On｜Off ］；
EXCLUDE MISSING［ On｜Off ］；and EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；is used after reading the data without using the command SKIP MISSING；All cases are read into the program（if not，some cases are selected or rejected）．

After the command EXCLUDE MISSING On；only cases with complete information about all used factors are considered．ExcludeMissing may be turned off again with EXCLUDE MISSING Off；．

Consider the tables onto which the tests in the commands TEST；，FIND LOG（L）； FIND DEVIANCE；，EXACT TEST；，TEST ONE EDGE；，PARTITIONING TEST；，GENERATE DECOMPOSABLE MODEL；GENERATE GRAPHICAL MODEL；DROP EDGES 〈edge－list〉；， ADD EDGES 〈edge－list〉；DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle ;$ ，ADD INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle ;$ ， DROP FACTOR $\langle$ factor $\rangle ;$ ，MEET OF MODELS；，JOIN OF MODELS；，REDUCE GENERATOR $\langle s e t\rangle ;$ REMOVE GENERATOR $\langle s e t\rangle ;$ ，REMOVE TOTAL INTERACTION $\langle s e t\rangle ;$ ，BACKWARD ［ Edges｜Interactions ］；FORWARD［ Edges｜Interactions ］；，FACTORIZE ONE EDGE；，FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION；and the tests in the EH－procedure are col－ lapsible．Then if ExcludeMissing is on，then all cases with complete information in these tables are included in the tests．Hence the number of observations entering in to tests may be varying from test to test．

## 3．9．3 Exclude Missing in＂Subset＂：Use only Cases with Com－ plete Information for a Specific Subset of Factors．

EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；

Cases with complete information in a particular subset of the factors may be con－ sidered with the command EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；One can then print，describe， etc．marginal tables of the $\langle s e t\rangle$－marginal table．The command finds the $\langle s e t\rangle$－marginal table of observed counts with cases with complete information for the factors $\langle s e t\rangle$ ． The status of EXCLUDE MISSING［ On｜Off ］；is unchanged，（ExcludeMissing has to be on before use of EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；）．The considered models have to have generating classes with union of factors a subset of the set $\langle$ set $\rangle$ used in EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；Commands resulting in a test will cancel the EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；－command．

## 3．9．4 EM－algorithm

EM ON；
SET EM INITIAL \｛ Uniform｜First｜Last｜Mean｜Random｜Input \};
SET EM EPSILON 〈epsilon〉；
SET EM ITERATIONS $\langle m a x\rangle$ ；
SET DATASTRUCTURE \｛ All｜Necessary｜File｜Large \};
Given the data and a model，the probability of each level for a missing factor at a case can be estimated by the EM－algorithm．CoCo is told to use the EM－algorithm by EM ON；

If Report is on，the likelihood ratio test statistics are reported for each step in the EM－algorithm．If Trace is on，the probability for each cell for each case is reported for each step in the EM－algorithm．

The data structure Necessary should be selected just after reading the specifi－ cations．The data structure File can be used，but since the propagation methods described in Lauritzen（1991），are not implemented in CoCo，it will be very slow．If the data structure File is selected，missing values in tables，that are too large to be stored in the memory can be handled，if the sufficient marginals for the model can be stored in the memory．But it will take time．

Initial values for the EM－algorithm are set by SET EM INITIAL \｛ Uniform｜ First｜Last｜Mean｜Random｜Input \};. Uniform means a uniform distribution．First，Last and Mean sets initially the variables with values marked as missing to lowest observable level，highest observable level and rounded mean－value of observed factors for the case respectively．Mean is useful to control the value of latent variates． With Input，the initial values can be given as input to CoCo．By Input the initial value of a factor with a value marked as missing is set to a level equal to the coded level for this factor of the case minus the number of non－missing，un－marked，levels for this factor．Random sets missing levels to a random observable level．The same random－generator as used in ExactTest is used．SET SEED 〈seed〉；sets the initial value $\langle$ seed $\rangle$ for the random number generator．SET SEED＇Random；sets a random initial value（computed from CPU－time and／or real time）．

Cycles in the EM－algorithm is repeated until the difference between values of the likelihood ratio test－statistics $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$ ，the deviance，for each cycle is less than the $\langle\epsilon\rangle$
set by SET EM EPSILON $\langle\epsilon\rangle$;. The command SET EM ITERATIONS $\langle m a x\rangle$; controls the maximum number of cycles in the EM-algorithm.

The computing-time is proportional to the sum over (different) cases of the product of number of levels at the unobserved factors at the case (times some polynomium in the dimension). Not too many cases should have more than a single missing value: If a latent variable is used there should not be many other missing values, and if cases have more than a single missing value (around 5), it should be at a limited number of cases. The computing time depends on the product over missing factors of observable level for the case with the largest product of number of observable levels for factors marked as missing. ReportOn is recommended to get the likelihood ratio test statistic reported for each step in the EM-algorithm. The commands for semi-automatic and automatic search are over-night commands, when the EM-algorithm is used.

The EM-algorithm in CoCo is tested on data from Fuchs (1982) for an example with sporadic missing observations, and on Dawid \& Skene (1979) for an example with latent class variables.

## Chapter 4

## Description of Data and Fitted Values

The two first sections of this chapter will describe how table-values are computed. These values can be printed in tables, described, plotted, listed or exported to files by the commands of the following sections. The values can be marginal counts, probabilities, expected values and residuals as expected minus observed counts, standardized, adjusted, etc.

### 4.1 Notation and Computation of Marginal Values

Let $\Delta$ denote declared variables. For each variable $\delta \in \Delta$ the set $\mathcal{I}_{\delta}=\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|\right\}$ is the set of unmarked levels $i_{\delta}$ for the variable. A cell in the table is then an element $i=\left(i_{\delta}\right)_{\delta \in \Delta}$ in the product $I$ of level sets:

$$
i=i_{\Delta}=\left(i_{\delta}, \delta \in \Delta\right) \in \mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}=\times_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{I}_{\delta} .
$$

The number of cells in the table is $\left|\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}\right|=\prod_{\delta \in \Delta}\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|$. The counts $(n(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ are the contingency table. The number $|\Delta|$ of variables is the dimension of the table.

For a subset $a$ of the declared variables $\Delta$, the $a$-marginal table is obtained by only classifying the observations according to variables in $a$. The marginal table on $a$ has then cells

$$
i_{a}=\left(i_{\delta}, \delta \in a\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a}}=\times_{\delta \in \mathrm{a}} \mathcal{I}_{\delta}
$$

and marginal counts

$$
n\left(i_{a}\right)=\sum_{j: j_{a}=i_{a}} n(j) .
$$

The number of cells in the $a$-marginal table is $\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a}}\right|=\prod_{\delta \in \mathrm{a}}\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|$.
If the table is incomplete then a table $(q(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ with $q(i)=0$ for cells that are structurally zero is defined. The Q-table might be defined as a product of $a$-marginal tables $\left(q\left(i_{a}\right)\right)_{i_{a} \in \mathcal{I}_{a}}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ :

Figure 4.1: Collaps of $M_{A}$ onto $B$ containing $a \cap A$.

$$
q(i)=\prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{a}\left(i_{a}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \exists a \in \mathcal{A}: \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=0 \\ 1 & \text { if } \forall a \in \mathcal{A}: \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)=1 \\ \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} q_{a}\left(i_{a}\right) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If some levels for the factor $\delta$ are marked as missing, then let $\mathcal{I}_{\delta}=\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|\right\}$ denote the unmarked levels and let $\mathcal{I}_{\delta}^{*}=\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}^{*}\right|\right\}$ denote the total set of levels. $\mathcal{I}_{\delta}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{I}_{\delta}=\left\{\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|+1,\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|+2, \ldots,\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}^{*}\right|\right\}$ is the set of marked levels. The counts $(n(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}^{*}}, \mathcal{I}^{*}=\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}^{*}=\times_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{I}_{\delta}^{*}$, is the total table. The counts $n(i), i \in \mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}=$ $\times_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{I}_{\delta}$, is the table with only cases with complete information. The cells $i \in \mathcal{I}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{I}$ have at least one level marked as missing.

Let $\hat{p}\left(i_{A}\right)$ be the maximum likelihood estimate on the table $\left(n\left(i_{A}\right)\right)_{i_{A} \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{A}}}$ for a model $M_{A}$, where the union $A$ of variables in the model is a subset of the declared variables $\Delta . \hat{p}(i)$ is the maximum likelihood estimate for the same model, but on the full table $(n(i))_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ with no effect of variables in $\Delta \backslash A$, corresponding to the distribution on $\Delta \backslash A$ being uniform. Then

$$
\hat{p}(i)=\hat{p}\left(i_{A}\right) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}}\right|
$$

The $a$-marginal estimated probability:

$$
\hat{p}\left(i_{a}\right)=\sum_{j: j_{a}=i_{a}} \hat{p}(j)
$$

For any subset $a$ of the declared variables $\Delta$ we have

$$
\hat{p}\left(i_{A \cup a}\right)=\hat{p}\left(i_{A}\right) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a} \cap \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{C}}}\right|
$$

and

$$
\hat{p}(i)=\hat{p}\left(i_{A \cup a}\right) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{(\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{a})^{\mathrm{C}}}\right|=\hat{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{A} \cup \mathrm{a}}\right) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}} \cap \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{C}}}\right|
$$

For a subset $a$ of the declared variables $\Delta$ let $B$ be the smallest set of variables onto which the model $M_{A}$ is collapsible (Asmussen \& Edwards 1983), and such that $a \cap A \subset B \subset A$. Recall that $M_{A}$ is collapsible onto $B$ if

$$
\hat{p}_{B}\left(i_{B}\right)=\hat{p}_{A}\left(i_{B}\right)
$$

$M_{A}$ is collapsible onto $B$ if and only if in the 2 -section graph for the model the boundary $\partial\left(c_{i}\right)$ of every connected component $c_{i}$ of the complement $B^{C}$ of $B$ in $\Delta$ is contained in a generator of $M_{A}$ (Asmussen \& Edwards 1983). See the chapter 5: "Models" about 2-section graphs.

Then the estimated probabilities in the $a$-marginal are computed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{p}_{A}\left(i_{a}\right) & =\sum_{j: j_{a}=i_{a}} \hat{p}_{A}(j) \\
& =\sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \sum_{k_{A \cup a}: k_{B \cup a}=j_{B \cup a}} \sum_{l: l_{A \cup a}=k_{A \cup a}} \hat{p}_{A}(l) \\
& =\sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \hat{p}_{A}\left(j_{B}\right) /\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a} \cap \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{C}}}\right| \\
& =\sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{a \cup B}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor $c(i)$ used in computing the adjusted residual (Haberman 1978) for a given decomposable model is found by:

$$
c(i)=n \hat{p}_{B}\left(i_{B}\right)\left\{1-n \hat{p}_{B}\left(i_{B}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{n}-\sum_{a \subset \Delta} \frac{\nu(a)}{n\left(i_{a}\right)}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\nu(a), a \subset \Delta$ is the index or adjusted replication number for subsets of sets in the generating class for the model. The index $\nu(a)$ is the power to which the marginal counts $n\left(i_{a}\right)$ are raised in the closed form expression for the maximum likelihood estimate of the probability in a decomposable model. See decomposition of models in chapter 5.

### 4.2 Values

The values for the PRINT TABLE ...;, DESCRIBE TABLE ...;, PLOT ...;, RETURN VECTOR ...;, RETURN MATRIX ...; and LIST ...; statements are then computed as follows:

If $a$ is a subset of $B$, the values are computed by summing over cells in the $B$ marginal table. If the set $a$ contains factors not in $B$, the values are computed by summing over cells in the $(B \cup a)$-marginal table, the table determined by the union of the set $a$ and $B$ where no effect of the extra factors is assumed when estimating probabilities.

If the optional key-word Complete is given, the value is only computed for non structural zero cells in the $a$-marginal table. Values for the structural zero cells are excluded from plots and the univariate descriptions, and in tables and lists the character - is printed for structural zero cells.

If the optional key-word Log is given, the values are log-transformed before returned (printed, described, plotted or listed), but not before summing over cells in the ( $B \cup a$ )marginal table.

If the optional key-word Random is given, then the values are computed in a random table with sufficient marginals as in the current model (or in the base model, if keyword Base is given).

See Aickin (1983) pp. 152 for F-res, R-F, G-res and R-G, Bishop, Fienberg \& Holland (1975) pp. 136 for Standardized, $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$ and Freeman-Tukey, Haberman (1974) pp. 138 for Adjusted, Standardized, $-2 \log ($ Q), Freeman-Tukey and $2(/ \mathrm{n}-/ \mathrm{m})$, Haberman (1978) pp. 272 for Adjusted and Standardized and Read \& Cressie (1988) for Power.

| 〈value-name $\rangle$ | $:=$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| [ Base 1 | Compute the values under the base model |

Current ] Compute the values under the current model, default
[ Complete ] Compute only values with $q\left(i_{a}\right) \neq 0$, See definition of value Zero
[ Log ] Natural logarithm of the values
[ Random ] Compute the values in a random table
$\left\{\right.$ Observed $n\left(i_{a}\right)=\sum_{j: j_{a}=i_{a}} n(j)$
| Probabilities $\quad \begin{aligned} \hat{p}_{A}\left(i_{a}\right) & =\sum_{j: j_{a}=i_{a}} \hat{p}_{A}(j) \\ & =\sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{a \cup B}\right)\end{aligned}$
$\mid$ Expected $\quad m\left(i_{a}\right)=n \hat{p}_{A}\left(i_{a}\right)$
| Unadjusted $m\left(i_{a}\right)-n\left(i_{a}\right)$
$\mid$ Adjusted $\quad \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \frac{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)-n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}{\sqrt{c(j)}}$
$\mid$ Standardized $\quad \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \frac{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)-n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}{\sqrt{n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}}$
$\left\lvert\,-2 \log (\mathbb{Q}) \quad 2 \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right) \ln \left(\frac{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}{n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}\right)\right.$
$\mid$ F-res $\quad \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \sqrt{n} \frac{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right) / n-\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right) / n\right)\left(1-n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right) / n\right)}}$
$\left\lvert\, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{F} \quad \quad \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \sqrt{n} \frac{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right) / n-\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)\left(1-\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)\right)}}\right.$
| G-res
$\sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)-\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\left(\hat{p}_{B}\right.}\right|^{-1}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)\left(1-\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)\right)}}$

```
\(\left\lvert\, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{G} \quad \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} \sqrt{n} \frac{\hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{\mathrm{B} \cup a}\right)-\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a} \cup \mathrm{B}}\right|^{-1}}{\sqrt{\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{aUB}}\right|^{-1}\left(1-\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{a} \cup \mathrm{B}}\right|^{-1}\right)}}\right.\)
\(\mid\) Freeman-Tukey \(\sum_{j_{B \cup a:}: j_{a}=i_{a}}\left\{\sqrt{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}+\sqrt{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)+1}-\sqrt{4 n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)-1}\right\}\)
\(\mid 2(/ \mathrm{n}-/ \mathrm{m}) \quad \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}}\left\{\sqrt{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}-\sqrt{n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}\right\}\)
\(\mid\) Power \(\quad \frac{2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \sum_{j_{B \cup a}: j_{a}=i_{a}} n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{n\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}{n \hat{p}_{B}\left(j_{B \cup a}\right)}\right)^{\lambda}-1\right\}\)
\(\mid \operatorname{Index} \quad \operatorname{Index}\left(i_{a}\right)=\operatorname{Index}(i, a)=1+\sum_{\delta \in a}\left\{\left(i_{\delta}-1\right) \prod_{\gamma \prec \delta, \gamma \in a}\left|\mathcal{I}_{\gamma}\right|\right\}\)
| Zero
    \(q\left(i_{a}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \exists j_{\Delta}: j_{a}=i_{a} \wedge q(j) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\)
\(\mid\) Error \(\} \quad n\left(i_{a}\right)\) for \(q\left(i_{a}\right)=0\)
```

The power $\lambda$ is by default set to $2 / 3$ ．It is changed by the statement SET POWER LAMBDA \｛ Null｜〈lambda〉\};

## 4．3 Printing Tables

```
PRINT TABLE \(\langle\) value－name \(\langle a\rangle\)［／\(\langle b\rangle\) ］；
```

By the command PRINT TABLE $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；the marginal table determined by the set $\langle a\rangle$ and with the values $\langle$ value－name $\rangle$ for the（by default）current model is printed．（The commands PRINT TABLE $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle\langle a\rangle ;$ ，DESCRIBE TABLE $\langle$ value－ name〉 $\langle a\rangle ;$ ，RETURN VECTOR $\langle$ value－name $\langle\langle a\rangle$ ；，RETURN MATRIX 〈value－names；$\rangle$ $\langle a\rangle$ ；，PLOT $\langle x$－value－name $\rangle\langle y$－value－name $\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；，and LIST $\langle a\rangle$ ；can only be used if a current model exists．See the next chapter，use PRINT OBSERVED $\langle a\rangle$ ；or type READ MODEL $*$ ；The command PRINT OBSERVED $\langle a\rangle$ ；prints a table of marginal observed counts without expecting a current model．）

## PRINT TABLE

［ Current
Use values from current model
｜Base ］
Use values from base model
〈value－name〉
$\langle a\rangle$

Name of value to print in table
Print values in the $a$－marginal table

The format of the numbers printed in the table is controlled by the command SET TABLE FORMATS $\langle$ width $\rangle\langle$ dec－prob．$\rangle\langle$ dec－expt．〉〈dec－diff．〉；The layout of the table is controlled by the command SET PAGE FORMATS〈line－length〉〈page－length〉；See chapter 11.

Examples of PRINT TABLE ．．．；－statements

```
print observed *;
print table expected.;
```

```
print table probabilities ABCDE.;
print table random observed *;
print table random base expected ABCD.;
print table unadjusted ABCD.;
print table f-res *;
print table adjusted ABCDE.;
print table log -2log(Q) *;
print table log power *;
print table log standard *;
print table complete observed ABCDEF.;
print table error ABCD.;
print table zero AB.;
```

For examples of output, see chapter 1.
The cells in the printed table are ordered according to the order of the factors in $\langle a\rangle$. The first factor met in $\langle a\rangle$ is alternating at the fastest speed.

With PRINT STANDARD TABLE $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle\langle a\rangle$; the cells are ordered according to the order of the factors in the specification of the factors when declaring the table.

## Row-, Column-, Total-percents or percents of any other marginal:

When $\langle$ value-name $\rangle$ is Observed and the optional set $\langle b\rangle$ is given then the counts in the $\langle a\rangle$-marginal table divided by the counts in the corresponding cell in the $\langle b\rangle$-marginal table times 100 are printed.

Then Row-, Column-, Total-percents or percents of any other marginals table are printed.
E.g.
print table observed AB ;
print table observed AB / B ;
print table observed ABC / A ;
print table observed ABC / BC ;
To print Total-percents, let the $\langle b\rangle$ be the empty set:
print table observed AB / ;

## Missing values

If ExcludeMissing is On and the argument $\langle a\rangle$ of the command PRINT TABLE $\langle$ valuename〉 $\langle a\rangle$; is not a subset of the factors with complete information, then an action similar to EXCLUDE MISSING In $\left\langle a \cup \Delta_{\mathcal{B}} \cup \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}\right\rangle$; is performed before printing the table where $\Delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the factors of the base model and $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the factor of the current model. Analogously for other commands of this chapter.

Consider using the command EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle a\rangle$; before the command PRINT TABLE $\langle$ value-name $\rangle\langle a\rangle$;.

## 4．4 Printing Sparse Tables

CASE LIST［ $\langle a\rangle$ ］；
With CASE LIST $\langle a\rangle$ ；the observed counts in the marginal table determined by the set $\langle a\rangle$ is printed．For each cell with non－zero count，the cell count and an identification of the cell is printed．The list printed by CASE LIST＊；（when the EM－algorithm is not used）can be read as an accumulated list by the keyword Accumulated－list，see section 3．4．3．See also section 4．7．

## 4．5 Describing Tables

DESCRIBE TABLE［ Uniform ］［ Normal ］［ Rankit ］〈value－name〉 $\langle a\rangle$ ；
The DESCRIBE TABLE ．．．；procedure gives a detailed univariate description of val－ ues in marginal tables．It gives statistics，a histogram，uniform and quantile－quantile plot and if short，a list of sorted values，and for observed counts，a table with extreme cell counts．

DESCRIBE TABLE
［ Normal ］
Print Normal（＇‘probit＇））plot
［ Rankit ］
Print Rankit plot
［ Uniform ］
Print Uniform plot
［ Current
Use values from current model
｜Base ］
〈value－name〉
$\langle a\rangle$
Use values from base model
Name of value to be described
Describe values in the $a$－marginal table
With DESCRIBE TABLE $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；the marginal table determined by the set $\langle a\rangle$ and with values computed as in the previous section is described．

If a sorted list of the values can fit onto two pages，it is printed．The size of a page is controlled by $\langle$ page－length $\rangle$ and 〈line－length $\rangle$ in the statement SET PAGE FORMATS $\langle$ line－length〉〈page－length $\rangle$ ；

The mean（arithmetic，harmonic and geometric），variance，skewness，kurtosis，me－ dian，mode，minimum，maximum，and range are computed．（The command SET PRINT FORMATS 〈format－width〉〈decimals〉；can be used to change the formats for the printed statistics）．

A histogram and a Uniform plot are printed．With the key－words Uniform，Rankit and Normal immediately after TABLE in the DESCRIBE TABLE ．．．；statement you can choose between different plots．

The plots are made to fit a page．Let $\left(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}\right)$ be the sorted list of values to be described．In the uniform plot $x^{(i)}$ is then plotted against $i / n$ ，where $i$ is the rank of $x^{(i)}$ ．Normal and Rankit are normal probability plots，quantile－quantile plots．The empirical quantiles are plotted against the quantiles of a standard normal distribution．In the rankit plot $x^{(i)}$ is plotted against $\Phi^{-1}((3 i-1) /(3 n+1))$ ，and in the normal plot $x^{(i)}$ is plotted against $\Phi^{-1}((i-1 / 2) / n) . \Phi^{-1}$ is the inverse of the standard normal distribution function．See Haberman（1978）pp． 20 for rankit－plots．

If $\langle$ value－name〉 is Observed and no log－transformation is used，a table showing the number of cells with extreme cell－counts is printed．

With DESCRIBE OBSERVED $\langle a\rangle$ ；the observed counts in the marginal table deter－ mined by the set $\langle a\rangle$ are described without expecting a current model．

Examples of DESCRIBE TABLE ．．．；－statements：

```
describe observed *;
describe table expected *;
describe table probabilities ABCDE.;
describe table unadjusted *;
describe table g-res *;
describe table random current observed ABEF;
describe table random current observed *;
describe table random base expected ABEF;
describe table log observed *;
describe table log 2(/n-/m) *;
describe table log freeman-tukey *;
describe table rankit 2(/n-/m) *;
describe table uniform normal standard *;
describe table uniform rankit adjusted *;
describe table rankit normal adjusted *;
describe table rankit normal uniform adjusted *;
describe table complete log observed *;
describe table errors *;
```

See chapter 1 for examples of output．

## 4．6 Plots

PLOT $\langle x$－value－name $\rangle\langle y$－value－name $\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；
A scatter－plot of one value against another value in the same marginal table is made by the PLOT ．．．；－statement：

PLOT
［ Current Use values from current model
｜Base ］Use values from base model
$\langle$ value－name〉 Name of x －value
［ Current Use values from current model
｜Base ］Use values from base model
〈value－name〉
$\langle a\rangle$
Name of $y$－value
Plot the values in the $a$－marginal table
The command PLOT $\langle x$－value－name $\rangle\langle y$－value－name $\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；plots the values $\langle x$－ value－name〉 in the $a$－marginal table against the values $\langle y$－value－name $\rangle$ in the same
table．The values are by default computed in the current model．Values for the current model can be plotted against values for the base model with the key－words Current and Base．With the keyword Complete only values for non structural zero cells is plotted，and with Log 〈value－name〉 the value is log－transformed．

A value can be plotted against the number of the cell in the $a$－marginal table in a standard order by letting $\langle x$－value－name $\rangle$ or $\langle y$－value－name $\rangle$ be Index．This may be useful to find cells with large residuals，patterns in the residuals or changes in residuals between models by making the same plot for more models．

The plots are made to fit a page．The size of a page is controlled by $\langle$ page－length $\rangle$ and $\langle$ line－length $\rangle$ in the statement SET PAGE FORMATS 〈line－length〉〈page－length〉；．

Examples of PLOT ．．．；－statements：

```
plot observed expected *;
plot observed unadjusted *;
plot observed adjusted *;
plot observed standard *;
plot observed -2log(Q) *;
plot observed freeman-tukey *;
plot observed 2(/n-/m) *;
plot observed power *;
plot f-res r-f *;
plot f-res g-res *;
plot log observed log expected *;
plot index observed *;
plot index adjusted ABCD.
plot base adjusted current adjusted *;
plot base log expected current adjusted *;
plot index complete observed *;
plot index error *;
```

See chapter 1 for an example of output．
See chapter 12：＂CoCo in other systems＂for how to return values from CoCo for high－resolution graphics in S－Plus and XLISP－STAT．

## 4．7 Lists

LIST $\langle a\rangle$ ；
CASE LIST；
LIST $\langle a\rangle$ ；lists observed counts，expected counts，estimated probabilities and residuals（unadjusted，adjusted，standardized etc．）in the marginal table determined by the set $\langle a\rangle$ with values computed by summing over cells in the table determined by the union of the set $\langle a\rangle$ and the set $\mathcal{B}$ containing the intersection between $a$ and the model set and on which the model is collapsible．

The list can be used for，e．g．，further computation，tabulation，high－resolution－ graphics etc．

The used print format in the list is controlled by the command SET TABLE FORMATS $\langle$ width $\rangle\langle$ dec－prob．〉〈dec－expt．〉〈dec－diff．〉；．

The cells in the printed list are ordered according to the order of the factors in $\langle a\rangle$ ， the first factor met in $\langle a\rangle$ is alternating at the fastest speed．

Example：

```
>read model *;
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
>test;
    Test of [[F][BC] [ADE][ACE]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
```

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & \text { Statistic } & & \text { Asymptotic } & \text { Adjusted } \\ \text {－2log }(Q) & = & 62.0779 & P= & 0.0994 & /\end{array}\right) 0.0994$
＞list AB．；

| A B | Observed | Probabi． | Residual | F－res | Res－F | G－res | Res－G |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 522 | 0.283706 | 0.3036 | -0.3053 | -0.2734 | 1.7525 | 4.3730 |
| 2 | 1 | 541 | 0.293697 | -0.3036 | 0.4024 | 0.4458 | -0.5794 | 5.6692 |
| 1 | 2 | 439 | 0.238292 | -0.3036 | -0.9062 | -0.7652 | -15.2189 | -1.5189 |
| 2 | 2 | 339 | 0.184304 | 0.3036 | 0.4927 | 0.5854 | -18.1416 | -8.5233 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A B | Expected | Adjusted | Standard | $-2 \log (q)$ | Freeman | $2(/ \mathrm{n}-/ \mathrm{m})$ | Power |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 522.30 | -0.8228 | -0.2969 | 0.3237 | -0.2798 | -0.3138 | 0.5583 |
| 2 | 1 | 540.70 | 1.3433 | 0.4825 | 1.7218 | 0.4930 | 0.4591 | 1.4953 |
| 1 | 2 | 438.70 | -2.2441 | -0.8091 | 2.7436 | -0.8250 | -0.8778 | 2.4241 |
| 2 | 2 | 339.30 | 1.6995 | 0.6149 | 1.1986 | 0.6080 | 0.5656 | 1.4852 |

In incomplete tables the observed count for structural zero cells is marked by the asterisk＊．

If EM is on，then CASE LIST；will for each cell $i$ with non－zero count in the full table print the number $n(i)$ of cases．If the cell $i$ is not marked as missing（none of the levels for the cell are marked as missing），$i \in \mathcal{I}$ ，then the estimated probability $\hat{p}(i)$ in the cell and an identification of the cell $i$ are printed，else if the cell $i$ has levels marked as missing，$i \in \mathcal{I}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{I}$ ，then for each cell $j \in \mathcal{I}: \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{b}}$ in the $(\Delta \backslash b)$－marginal table determined by the factors $b$ with unmarked levels，$i_{\delta} \in \mathcal{I}_{\delta}$ for $\delta \in b$ ，in the cell $i$ ， the estimated probability $\hat{p}(j)$ of the cell $j$ ，the sum $\hat{p}\left(i_{b}\right)$ of these probabilities and an identification of the cell $j$ is printed．The levels $j_{\delta}$ for factors $\delta \in \Delta \backslash b$ with marked levels in the cell $i$ to describe are in the identification marked by the asterisk $*$ ．

The following example is on data from the Glostrup Study，see section 6．1．3．

```
# Version 1.3 Friday March 17 12:00:00 MET 1995
# Compiled with gcc, a C compiler for Sun4
# Compile-time: Mar 17 1995, 13:00:00.
# Copyright (c) 1991, by Jens Henrik Badsberg
# Licensed to ...
>set input data ex7a.dat;
>read specification;
>set read subset ABC. ;
>set datastructure necessary;
    Datastructure selected: NECESSARY
>read observations;
    1160 cases read.
>read model *;
>set em on;
>case list;
\begin{tabular}{rrrlll} 
Count & & \(P(\mathrm{j})\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{i}\{\mathrm{b}\})\) & A & B \\
129 & & 0.148546 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
8 & & 0.009212 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
46 & 0.148546 & 0.157759 & \(1 *\) & 1 & 1 \\
46 & 0.009212 & 0.1575759 & \(2 *\) & 1 & 1 \\
46 & \(5.35230 \mathrm{E}-17\) & 0.157759 & \(3 *\) & 1 & 1 \\
11 & & 0.017385 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & & 0.001580 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\
10 & 0.017385 & 0.018966 & \(1 *\) & 2 & 1 \\
10 & 0.001580 & 0.018966 & \(2 *\) & 2 & 1 \\
10 & \(1.73891 \mathrm{E}-11\) & 0.018966 & \(3 *\) & 2 & 1 \\
388 & & 0.454953 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
203 & & 0.239503 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\
15 & & 0.017471 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
212 & 0.454953 & 0.711927 & \(1 *\) & 1 & 2 \\
212 & 0.239503 & 0.711927 & \(2 *\) & 1 & 2 \\
212 & 0.017471 & 0.711927 & \(3 *\) & 1 & 2 \\
55 & & 0.067956 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
27 & & 0.033578 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
8 & & 0.009815 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
38 & 0.067956 & 0.111349 & \(1 *\) & 2 & 2 \\
38 & 0.033578 & 0.111349 & \(2 *\) & 2 & 2 \\
38 & 0.009815 & 0.111349 & \(3 *\) & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 0.454953 & 0.522909 & 1 & \(1 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.067956 & 0.522909 & 1 & \(2 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.239503 & 0.273081 & 2 & \(1 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.033578 & 0.273081 & 2 & \(2 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.454953 & 0.823276 & \(1 *\) & \(1 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.239503 & 0.823276 & \(2 *\) & \(1 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.017471 & 0.823276 & \(3 *\) & \(1 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.067956 & 0.823276 & \(1 *\) & \(2 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.033578 & 0.823276 & \(2 *\) & \(2 *\) & 2 \\
3 & 0.009815 & 0.823276 & \(3 *\) & \(2 *\) & 2
\end{tabular}
>quit;
```


## 4．8 Exporting Table Values

RETURN VECTOR $\langle$ value－name〉 $\langle a\rangle$ ；
RETURN MATRIX 〈list of value－names；$\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；
The command RETURN VECTOR $\langle$ value－name〉 $\langle a\rangle$ ；returns a vector with the values $\langle$ value－name〉 in the $a$－marginal table．Similar to PRINT TABLE $\langle v a l u e-n a m e\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；but without headings．The print format is controlled by SET PRINT FORMATS 〈format－ width $\rangle\langle$ decimals $\rangle$ ；．

The cells in the printed vector are ordered according to the order of the factors in $\langle a\rangle$ ．The first factor met in $\langle a\rangle$ is alternating at the fastest speed．

If RETURN STANDARD VECTOR 〈value－name〉 $\langle a\rangle$ ；is used，then the values will be ordered according to the order of the factors in specification of the factors when declaring data．

If Dump is on（See chapter 11：＂Options＂），the vector is written to the DumpFile instead of the standard output．Dump is by default off．Dump is set on or off by the command SET DUMP［ On｜Off ］；．The default name on the DumpFile is ／tmp／CoCo．dump．no．pid－number under Unix and COCO？？？．DMP in the current direc－ tory under DOS．Another DumpFile can be named by SET OUTPUTFILE DUMP 〈file－ name〉；．Note that this command rewrites the file 〈file－name〉 and that under DOS the file COCO？？？．DMP is rewritten every time CoCo is invoked．If the DumpFile is not renamed，the DumpFile is removed，when CoCo terminates normally，unless the command SET KEEP DUMP［ On｜Off ］；is used．

The command RETURN MATRIX $\langle$ value－names；$\rangle\langle a\rangle$ ；writes row by row to a matrix where the columns contain the values $\langle$ value－names $\rangle$ for the $a$－marginal table．If Dump is on，the matrix is written to the DumpFile instead of the standard output．Note that the list of $\langle$ value－names；$\rangle$ is terminated by ；or an EndOfLine：＂Return＂．

The cells in the printed matrix are ordered according to the order of the factors in $\langle a\rangle$ ．The first factor met in $\langle a\rangle$ is alternating at the fastest speed．

## Chapter 5

## Models

## 5．1 Reading Models

READ MODEL $\langle g c\rangle$ ；
READ N－INTERACTIONS $\langle$ order $\rangle\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；
COLLAPSE MODEL $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；
NORMAL TO DUAL；
DUAL TO NORMAL；
A model is read with the command READ MODEL $\langle g c\rangle$ ；，where $\langle g c\rangle$ is the generating class for the model，for example，

READ MODEL AB，BC．；
The model with only main effects is read by READ MODEL ．；and the saturated model by READ MODEL＊；The command READ N－INTERACTIONS $\langle a\rangle\langle s e t\rangle$ ；will read a model with only and all $\langle a\rangle$＇th－order interactions among factors in $\langle s e t\rangle$ ．A gener－ ator（clique）with $n$ factors is a（ $n-1$ ）＇th－order interaction．The model last read is the current model．

New models can be generated from the current model with the commands DROP EDGES 〈edge－list〉；，ADD EDGES 〈edge－list $\rangle$ ；，DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle ;$ ，ADD INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$ ；，etc．，see chapter 7：＂Editing Models with Tests＂．With Only before these model－editing commands，no test is produced when generating the new model．

With READ MODEL $\langle g c\rangle$ ；models are entered by their generating classes，and CoCo writes models by their generating classes，the maximal interaction terms in the log－ linear interaction models．The 2 －section graph for the model with generating class $\mathcal{C}$ is the graph with vertices $e \in a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{C}$ and edges $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \subset a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{C}$ ．The 2 －section graph is a simple undirected graph，where the edges only contains two vertices．The model is graphical if，and only if，the cliques in the 2－ section graph for the model are the generating class $\mathcal{C}$ for the model．The cliques are the maximal complete subsets of the graph．A subset of the graph is complete if all pairs of vertices in the subset are mutual adjacent．Two vertices are adjacent if there is an edge between them．

The command DROP EDGES $\left\langle e_{1} e_{2}\right\rangle$ ；will add the statement，that $\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle e_{2}\right\rangle$ are conditionally independent given the rest variables in the current（graphical）model． See Lauritzen（1982）or Whittaker（1990）．

The command COLLAPSE MODEL $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；will collapse the current model onto the smallest set containing $\langle$ set $\rangle$ and onto which the model is collapsible．

The command DUAL TO NORMAL；will generate a model，where the generating class for the current model is the dual representation of the generated model．The dual representation is the minimal interaction－terms set to zero．Together with MEET OF MODELS；this can be used to do DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$ ；on several models，see chapter 7：＂Editing Models with Tests＂．Analogously with NORMAL TO DUAL；

See chapter 10：＂Search＂for classes of models．

## 5．2 The Model List：Shifting Base and Current

BASE；
CURRENT；
MAKE BASE $\langle a\rangle$ ；
MAKE CURRENT $\langle a\rangle$ ；
In tests the model called current is tested against base．
The model first read is both base and current，and is given the model number 1．The first model stays base until another model is declared as base．Additional read models are given an increasing number．The model last read is the current model．The current model can be declared as base with the command BASE；．Model number $\langle a\rangle$ is named base or current with the commands MAKE BASE $\langle a\rangle$ ；and MAKE CURRENT $\langle a\rangle$ ；respectively．The last model generated as a result of the procedures DROP EDGES 〈edge－list〉；ADD EDGES 〈edge－list〉；，DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$ ；，etc．is the last model and can be named current with the command CURRENT；

## 5．3 Printing，Describing and Disposing of Models

PRINT MODEL［ Base｜Current｜Last｜Number $\langle a\rangle$｜All models
｜Interval of models $\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle$ ］；
These six commands respectively prints the current model，the base model，the last model，model number $\langle a\rangle$ ，all models，and finally models with numbers between $\langle a\rangle$ and $\langle b\rangle$ The generating classes for the models are printed．If a list of models is printed the current and base model are marked．

A more detailed description of the models is obtained by replacing PRINT with DESCRIBE．

DESCRIBE MODEL［ Base｜Current｜Last｜Number $\langle a\rangle$｜All models
｜Interval of models $\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle$ ］；
The command DESCRIBE CURRENT；gives a very detailed（data－independent）de－ scription of the current model with adjacency matrix，an expression for the maximum
likelihood estimate of cell-probabilities, the number of cells in sufficient marginal tables and intersections of sufficient marginal tables and for each edge, the number of cliques the edge is in. (If a model is decomposable, and an edge is only in one clique, the model resulting from removing the edge, is decomposable.) See the next section for examples.

Models are disposed of with the commands DISPOSE OF ...;. As with PRINT ...; and DESCRIBE ...; six DISPOSE OF ...;-commands are available:

```
DISPOSE OF MODEL [ Base | Current | Last | Number \langlea\rangle | All models
```

    | Interval of models \(\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle\) ];
    
### 5.4 Formulas

```
PRINT FORMULA;
PRINT VERTEX ORDERING;
DISPOSE OF FORMULA;
```

Two subgraphs of a graph are a decomposition of a graph with respect to a subset $a$ of the vertices if the graph is the union of two subgraphs and the intersection $a$ between the two subgraphs is complete. The graph is decomposed in to the two subgraphs. The subgraphs might be decomposed in to further subgraphs. If the graph and subgraphs can be decomposed recursively until the subgraphs are complete, the graph is decomposable.

The maximum likelihood estimate for the cell-probabilities can be expressed as

$$
\hat{p}_{\Delta}(i)=c \prod_{k=1 . . u} n\left(i_{a_{k}}\right)^{\nu\left(a_{k}\right)}
$$

if the model is decomposable. The constant $c$ is equal to $1 /\left|\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}}\right|$ where $A$ is the union of variables in the model. See Lauritzen (1982) and chapter 2 of Part I.

If the model can be decomposed, but the model not is decomposable, then the maximum likelihood estimate for the cell-probabilities is found by

$$
\hat{p}_{\Delta}(i)=c \prod_{k=1 . . u} n\left(i_{a_{k}}\right)^{\nu\left(a_{k}\right)} \prod_{l=1 . . v} \hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{l}}\left(i_{b_{l}}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{l}$ is the generating classes for irreducible components, atoms, that cannot be decomposed further. The irreducible components $a_{k}$ and $B_{l}$ are vertex-sets for the subgraphs, into which the graph is decomposed. The maximum likelihood estimates $\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{l}}$ for the cell-probabilities on the non-decomposable irreducible components $B_{l}$ are found by the IPS-algorithm, the iterative proportional fitting, iterative proportional scaling or Deming-Staphan algorithm, see, e.g., Darroch \& Ratcliff (1972), Fienberg (1970), Haberman (1972), Jiroušek (1991) and chapter 2 of Part I.

The formula and vertex ordering is printed with PRINT FORMULA; and PRINT VERTEX ORDERING; respectively.

The following continuous the "Introduction", the final model found, but with the interaction $<A B C>$ :

```
>read model ADE,ABE, ABC,BF.;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>set page formats 70 65;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>print vertex ordering;
    V Order(V) C(V) Complete(V)
    A: 6 [] TRUE
    B: 5 [A] TRUE
    C: 4 [AB] TRUE
    D: 2 [AE] TRUE
    E: 3 [AB] TRUE
    F: 1 [B] TRUE
TIME: 0.007secs.
>describe current;
        2: [[ADE] [ABE] [ABC] [BF]]
    Model is graphical
    Graph is decomposable
    Dual rep: [[AF][CF][BD][CD][DF][EF][CE]]
        Adjacency matrix
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
& A & B & C & D & E \\
A & F & \\
A & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \\
B & \(*\) & & \(*\) & \(*\) & \\
\(\mathrm{CBCDE}]\) & {\([\mathrm{ACEF}]\)} \\
C & \(*\) & \(*\) & & & \\
D & \(*\) & & & \(*\) & \\
E & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & & \\
F & \(*\) & & & & {\([\mathrm{AB}]\)} \\
& & & & & {\([\mathrm{ABD}]\)}
\end{tabular}
    #Cliques Edges
        1: [DE] [BF] [BE] [BC] [AD] [AC]
            2: [AE] [AB]
        #Cells Expression
            1 / N ( I [] ) ^ -1 *
            2 / N ( I [B] ) ` -1 *
            4 N ( I [BF] ) ^ 1**
            4 / N ( I [AE] ) ^ -1 *
            4 N ( I [AB] ) ^ -1 *
            8/N ( I [ADE] ) ^ 1*
            8/N ( I [ABE] ) ^ 1*
            8/N ( I [ABC] ) ~ 1*
                1.0000000
Log(L):
Dimension:
    1 7
TIME: 0.016 secs.
```

```
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>set adjusted df off;
    Adjusted df set OFF
    TIME: 0.000secs
>drop interaction ABC.;
    3: [[ABE] [ADE] [BF] [BC] [AC]]
    Model is not graphical
    Cliques: [[ABC] [ABE] [ADE] [BF]]
    2-Section Graph is decomposable
    Test of [[ABE][ADE][BF][BC][AC]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
DF -2log(Q) P O^2 P
            47 58.0908 0.12885 57.0962 0.14863 [[ABCDEF]] / [[AC][BC]
                                [BF] [ADE] [ABE]]
TIME: 0.033secs.
>current;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>print formular;
    P [[ABE] [ADE] [BF] [BC] [AC]] ( I [ABCDEF] ) =
        N ( I [B] ) ^ -1 *
        N ( I [BF] ) - 1*
        N ( I [AE] ) ^ -1 *
        N ( I [AB] ) ^ -1 *
        N ( I [ADE] ) ~ 1*
        N ( I [ABE] ) - 1*
        P [[AB] [BC] [AC]] ( I [ABC] ) *
        1.0000000
    TIME: 0.005secs.
>drop edge AB.;
        4: [[AC] [ADE] [BC] [BE] [BF]]
Model is graphical
Graph is not decomposable
Generating class for Fill In: [[ACE] [ADE] [BCE] [BF]]
Test of [[AC][ADE][BC][BE] [BF]]
against [[ABCDEF]]
DF \(-2 \log (Q) \quad P \quad X^{\wedge} 2 \quad P \quad\) Models
49 58.2814 0.17094 57.5445 0.18832 [[ABCDEF]] / [[BF][BE]
TIME: 0.029secs
                                    [BC] [ADE] [AC]]
```

```
>current;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>print formular;
    P [[AC] [ADE] [BC] [BE] [BF]] ( I [ABCDEF] ) =
        N ( I [B] ) ^ -1 *
        N ( I [BF] ) - 1**
        N ( I [AE] ) - -1*
        N ( I [ADE] ) - 1*
        P [[BE] [BC] [AE] [AC]] ( I [ABCE] ) *
        1.0000000
    TIME: 0.004secs.
>quit;
    TIME: 0.000secs.
    TOTAL TIME: 0.187secs.
```

The model $\{\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{ABE}\},\{\mathrm{ABC}\},\{\mathrm{BF}\}\}$ is the resulting decomposable model from the coherent backward elimination with exact tests on data from Reinis et al. (1981). The closed form expression for the maximum likelihood estimate for the cell-probabilities is

$$
\hat{p}\left(i_{\Delta}\right)=\frac{n\left(i_{A B C}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A B E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A D E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{B F}\right)}{n\left(i_{A B}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{B}\right) \cdot n} .
$$

PRINT VERTEX ORDERING; shows that a perfect vertex elimination ordering is F, $\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ and A . If the vertices are removed from the graph in that order, then the boundary $C(V)$ around the removed vertex in the rest of the graph will be complete. Complete $(V)$ is TRUE if the boundary is complete.

Removal of the edges $\mathrm{DE}, \mathrm{BF}, \mathrm{BE}, \mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{AD}$ and AC will result in a decomposable model, since they are only in one clique. Removal of AE or AB will result in a nondecomposable model. See the output from DESCRIBE CURRENT;

The model $\{\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{ABE}\},\{\mathrm{AC}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{BF}\}\}$ generated by removing the interaction $<A B C>$ is not graphical, but the 2-section graph is decomposable. The model is not graphical since the clique $<A B C>$ in the 2-section graph is not in the generating class for the model. See the result from DROP INTERACTIONS ABC.; The expression for the maximum likelihood estimate for the cell-probabilities is

$$
\hat{p}\left(i_{\Delta}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{\{\{A B\}\{B C\}\{A C\}\}}\left(i_{A B C}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A B E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A D E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{B F}\right)}{n\left(i_{A B}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{B}\right)} .
$$

The model $\{\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{AC}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{BE}\},\{\mathrm{BF}\}\}$ generated by removing the edge $<$ $A B>$ is graphical, but not decomposable. The graph contains the 4 -cycle $<A C><$ $C B><B E><E A>$. See the output from DROP EDGES AB.; The expression for the maximum likelihood estimate for the cell-probabilities is

$$
\hat{p}\left(i_{\Delta}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{\{\{B E\}\{B C\}\{A E\}\{A C\}\}}\left(i_{A B C E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{A D E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{B F}\right)}{n\left(i_{A E}\right) \cdot n\left(i_{B}\right)} .
$$

### 5.5 Is Graphical?, Is Decomposable?, Is Submodel? and Is In One Clique?

IS GRAPHICAL [ $\langle g c\rangle$ ];
IS DECOMPOSABLE [ $\langle g c\rangle]$;
IS SUBMODEL OF [ $\left.\left\langle g c_{1}\right\rangle\right]$ [ $\left.\left\langle g c_{2}\right\rangle\right]$;
IS IN ONE CLIQUE $\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle[\langle g c\rangle]$;
IS GRAPHICAL; without any arguments will return 'True', if the current model is graphical, else 'False'. IS GRAPHICAL $\langle g c\rangle$; with the argument $\langle g c\rangle$ will return 'True', if the model $\langle g c\rangle$ is graphical, else 'False'. Analogously with IS DECOMPOSABLE; and IS DECOMPOSABLE $\langle g c\rangle$; ' 'True' is returned, if the model is decomposable.

IS SUBMODEL OF; without any arguments will return 'True', if the current model is a submodel of the base model. IS SUBMODEL OF $\langle g c\rangle$; with the argument $\langle g c\rangle$ will return 'True', if the model $\langle g c\rangle$ is a submodel of the current model. IS SUBMODEL $\mathrm{OF}\left\langle g c_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle g c_{2}\right\rangle$; with the arguments $\left\langle g c_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle g c_{2}\right\rangle$ will return 'True', if the model $\left\langle g c_{1}\right\rangle$ is a submodel of the model $\left\langle g c_{2}\right\rangle$.

The command IS IN ONE CLIQUE $\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle$; with the two arguments $\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle$ will return 'True', if the edge $\left\langle\right.$ factor $_{1}$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle$ is an edge of the current model. IS IN ONE CLIQUE $\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle\langle g c\rangle$; with the arguments $\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle\right.$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle$ and $\langle g c\rangle$ will return 'True', if the edge $\left\langle\right.$ factor $_{1}$ factor $\left._{2}\right\rangle$ is an edge of the model with generating class $\langle g c\rangle$.

### 5.6 Common Decompositions

PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS;
DECOMPOSE MODELS 〈set〉;
The command PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS; prints common decompositions for the current and base models. If the current and the base models are both decomposable with respect to $\langle a\rangle$ they are decomposed with the command, DECOMPOSE MODELS $\langle a\rangle$;. This will result in 4 new models, which are added to the model-list. See also section 6.5.1: "Partitioning and Common Decompositions".

## Chapter 6

## Tests

### 6.1 The Main Test Procedure

This section will describe how test-statistics and degrees of freedom are computed by the command TEST; , by the model-editing commands described in chapter 7, and by procedures for model-selection in CoCo. The likelihood ratio test-statistic $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$, i.e., the deviance, Pearson's chi-square and the power divergence are by these commands computed as follows:

### 6.1.1 $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$, Pearson's $\chi^{2}$ and Power Divergence

TEST;
If the generating class $\mathcal{C}$ for the current model is a sub-generating class of the generating class $\mathcal{B}$ for the base model, then the deviance, Pearson's chi-square $\chi^{2}$ and the power divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ for the hypothesis that $p \in P_{\mathcal{C}}$ under $p \in P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \log Q & =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{b}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{c}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
\chi^{2} & =\sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} \frac{\left(n \hat{p}_{b}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)-n \hat{p}_{c}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)\right)^{2}}{n \hat{p}_{c}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
2 n I^{\lambda} & =\frac{2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\hat{p}_{b}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{c}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}\right)^{\lambda}-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the command TEST; where $\hat{p}_{c}$ and $\hat{p}_{b}$ are the maximum likelihood estimates of $p$ under $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively, and $\Lambda$ is the union of variables in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. For each cell in the table spanned by factors in both models, a term for the statistics is computed and the terms are summarized.

If the table spanned by the factors of the test is to big to fit into the memory (after performing common decompositions) then Pearson's chi-square $\chi^{2}$ and the power
divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ is not be computed, and the deviance is computed by the method described in section 6.4.

In this example one of the final models from Edwards \& Havránek (1985), and analyzed in the introduction, is tested against the saturated model:

```
>read model *;
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
>test;
    Test of [[F][BC][ADE] [ACE]]
against [[ABCDEF]]
\begin{tabular}{llrlr} 
& Statistic & & Asymptotic \\
-2log \((Q)\) & \(=\) & 62.0779 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.0994 \\
Power & \(=\) & 59.7593 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.1396 \\
X^2 & \(=\) & 59.9956 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.1350 \\
DF. & \(=\) & & & 49
\end{tabular}
```

$>$
The command TEST; performs a test of current model against the base model.
The power $\langle\lambda\rangle$ is by default set to $2 / 3$. It is changed by the statement SET POWER LAMBDA $\langle\lambda\rangle$; If $\lambda=1$ is entered the power divergence is not printed, if the test-output is in table-format (See the FACTORIZE ...;- and BACKWARD -;statements).

### 6.1.2 Goodman and Kruskal's Gamma

SET ORDINAL $\langle s e t\rangle$;
If two factors are tested conditionally independent, e.g., by the command TEST; , by the model-editing commands described in chapter 7 , or by procedures for model-selection, then Goodman and Kruskal's Gamma coefficient is computed besides the deviance, Pearson's chi-square $\chi^{2}$ and the power divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$. Factors are declared to be ordinal by the command SET ORDINAL $\langle s e t\rangle$;

### 6.1.3 Dimension and Degrees of Freedom

The degrees of freedom $D . F$. for the test of $\mathcal{C}$ under $\mathcal{B}$ is computed as the difference between the dimensions $\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ for the two models:

$$
\text { D.F. }=\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{B}}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{C}}\right)
$$

The dimension for a model with generating class $\mathcal{A}$ is computed by:
(1) If $\mathcal{A}=\{a\}$ is complete, i.e., the generating class contains only one generator

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\prod_{\delta \in a}\left|\mathcal{I}_{\delta}\right|-1
$$

(2) If $\mathcal{A}$ is the union of two generating classes $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{A}_{2}}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{A}_{1} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{2}}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{1} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{2}$ is the meet $\left\{a_{1} \cap a_{2} \mid a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\right\}$ between the two generating classes (Lauritzen 1982).

### 6.1.4 Adjusted D.F.

For $\mathcal{A}=\{a\}$ complete, denote the number of cells with zero count in the $a$-marginal table $\left(m\left(i_{a}\right)\right)_{i_{a} \in I_{a}}$ by

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}, m)=\mathcal{Z}(\{a\}, m)=\# \text { of elements in }\left\{\left(m\left(i_{a}\right)\right)_{i_{a} \in I_{a}} \mid m\left(i_{a}\right)=0\right\}
$$

For $\mathcal{A}$ a union of two generating classes $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$, set

$$
\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}, m)=\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, m\right)+\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}, m\right)-\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{2}, m\right)
$$

For a decomposable model the number $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}, n)$ is the number of zeros in sufficient marginal plus the index $\nu(a)$ times the number of zeros in intersecting $a$-marginal tables.

Then the adjustment of the degrees of freedom is computed as

$$
\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}, \hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)-\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, n)
$$

and adjusted degrees of freedom

$$
D \cdot F_{a d j}=\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{B}}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{\mathcal{C}}\right)-\left(\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}, \hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)-\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, n)\right)
$$

where $\hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the expected counts in the current model $\mathcal{C}$.
$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, n)$ is (approximately) the number of parameters not estimable in the current model $\mathcal{C} . \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}, \hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ is the number of parameters used in the base model to describe the not-estimable effects in the current model. So $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}, \hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)-\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, n)$ is a false reduction in the number of parameters.

The adjusted D.F. is probably only correct for decomposable models on connected tables. But in decomposable models exact tests are available. In the non-decomposable models, in which no exact test is available, the adjustment is not correct. The adjusted D.F. computed by the above algorithm can be negative.

The following example is used to explain how output with adjusted degrees of freedom is to be read:

```
>set input data /user/jhb/Examples.CoCo/ksl.d;
    TIME: 0.003secs.
>read data;
    1082 cases read.
    TIME: 0.981secs.
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>read model *;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>drop edge SW.;
        2: [[FKHBSAGY] [FKHBAWGY]]
    Model is graphical
    Model is decomposable
    Test of [[FKHBSAGY][FKHBAWGY]]
    against [[FKHBSAWGY]]
```

```
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc} 
DF & \(\# 0\) & \(-2 \log (Q)\) & \(P\) & \(X^{\wedge} 2\) & \(P\) & Models \\
40 & 88 & 47.1253 & 0.20390 & 38.6293 & 0.53186 & [ [FKHBSAWGY]] \\
& & & & & \\
& & & & & [FKKHBAWGY]
\end{tabular}
```

TIME: 0.048 secs.

```
>print all models;
    Model no. 2 [[FKHBSAGY][FKHBAWGY]]
    Model no. 1 [[FKHBSAWGY]] /BASE/ /CURRENT/
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>current;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>test;
    Test of [[FKHBAWGY][FKHBSAGY]]
    against [[FKHBSAWGY]] Re-use
```



```
>find - 2log(Q);
    Test of [[FKHBSAGY][FKHBAWGY]]
    against [[FKHBSAWGY]]
    -2log(Q) = 2*( -5548.1011 - -5571.6637) = 47.1253
    DF. = 511- 383 = 128 P = 1.0000
    Adjustment: 230- 142 = 258)
    TIME: 0.020secs.
>quit;
    TIME: 0.000secs.
    TOTAL TIME: 1.144secs.
```

The data for this example and the example of section 6.4 originated in an epidemiological panel study in County of Copenhagen (the so-called Glostrup Study).

In this example the statement DROP EDGES ...; was used to generate a model. See the next chapter about DROP . . . ;-statements. From the saturated model a model without the edge SW is generated and a test of the generated model against the saturated model is performed. The first test-output is given in the ShortTestOutputformat. After adjustment, the degree of freedom is equal to 40 . The adjustment (labeled by \#0) is 88 . In the normal test-output (generated by the TEST;-statement) both the $p$-values for the unadjusted and adjusted degrees of freedom is printed (second and third column).

If the FIND DEVIANCE;-statement is used for the test instead of the TEST;statement, then the number of zeros $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}, \hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, n)$ are printed. In this case 230 and 142 respectively. Also the observed number of zeros $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}, n)$ in the sufficient marginal tables (minus number of zeros in intersections of sufficient marginal tables, plus ...) for the base model is printed. In this case 258. (If Trace is on, the cumulated number of zeros for each sufficient marginal table is printed when computing $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{B}, \hat{m}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}, n)$.)

Since all cells in the sufficient marginal tables have to be visited in order to compute the adjustment, the computing-time used to compute the adjustment is comparable to the time used to compute, e.g., the deviance. So, if you are not using the adjusted degrees of freedom anyway, the computing of it may be turned off with the SET ADJUSTED DF [ On | Off ];-statement.

The current implementation of the algorithm for finding the adjustment of the degrees of freedom requires space for large models. If CoCo is unable to compute the adjustment for a test, and the program is running under Unix, increase the value given by the N option when starting CoCo (and the P option if the models are nondecomposable), see section 11.5.5.

### 6.2 Exact Tests

EXACT TEST;
The distribution of the likelihood ratio statistic is poorly approximated by the Chi Square distribution. But exact $p$-values can be computed to any accuracy by Monte Carlo approximation. Random tables with margins equal to observed sufficient marginal tables for the hypotheses are generated, and it is counted how large a proportion of the generated tables have a test statistic larger than the observed.

The exact test for the removal of one edge in the saturated model is computed by the method in Kreiner (1987).

If two decomposable models differ with one edge, the test can be collapsed to a test of removal of one edge in a saturated model. The algorithm by Patefield (1981) is used to generate the individual tables.

The Monte Carlo algorithm of Patefield (1981) can also be extended to give approximation to any degree of accuracy of exact $p$-values for tests between any two nested decomposable models (Lauritzen 1993). The sequence of edges given by FACTORIZE ONE EDGE; is used to generate the sufficient marginal tables for the base model from the observed sufficient marginal tables for the current model.

An exact test between a decomposable base and decomposable current model is done by the command EXACT TEST;

Exact tests between models generated by the commands EXACT TEST; , FACTORIZE ONE EDGE; , the model-editing commands, commands for stepwise model-selection and the EH-procedure are controlled by the following commands:

```
SET EXACT TEST [ On | All | Deviance | Off ];
SET ASYMPTOTIC <p-value\rangle;
SET NUMBER OF TABLES { Null | {number\rangle};
```

SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES $\langle$ list $\rangle$ ；
SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST［ On｜Off ］；
SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST［ On｜Off ］；
SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST［ On｜Off ］；
SET EXACT EPSILON 〈epsilon〉；
SET SEED \｛ 〈seed $\rangle$｜Random \};
SET FAST［ On｜Off ］；
The command SET EXACT TEST［ On｜All｜Deviance｜Off ］；with no ar－ guments sets the computation of ExactTest on or off（Default：Off）．With SET EXACT TEST Deviance；it is possible to compute exact tests for only the deviance，i．e．，the likelihood ratio test－statistics $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$ ．（This does not save much computing－time，but exact $p$－values for the power－divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ and Pearson＇s chi－square $\chi^{2}$ are typically less interesting）．

Only if the asymptotic $p$－value is less than the $\langle p$－value $\rangle$ set by SET ASYMPTOTIC $\langle p$－ value $\rangle$ ；，exact tests are computed（Default：1，i．e．，exact tests for all tests if ExactTest is on）．

SET NUMBER OF TABLES \｛Null｜〈number〉\}; sets the number of tables to generate in each exact test（Default：1000）．If the number of tables is set to 0 by SET NUMBER OF TABLES Null；or SET NUMBER OF TABLES 0 ；then first 20 tables are gen－ erated．Let $m$ be the number of these generated tables with deviance greater than or equal to the observed deviance．If $m$ is equal 0,1 or 2 then the exact test will be based on 1000 additional random tables，if $m$ is equal 3,4 or 5 then the exact test will be based on 500 additional random tables，if $m$ is equal 6,7 or 8 then the exact test will be based on 100 additional random tables and if $m$ is greater than 8 then the exact test will be based on 20 additional random tables．

This variable number of tables to generate can be changed by SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES 〈initial number〉〈list of pairs〉；．The first argument is the ini－ tial number of tables to generate．The second argument is a list of pairs，where the first item of each pair is the upper limit of $m$ and the second item is the number of tables to generate．The list is terminated by a pair，where the first item is greater than or equal to the initial number of generated tables．E．g．，the default list is entered by SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES 20，2，1000，5，500，8，100，20，20；

Please disregard the following options before you is familiar with exact tests in CoCo ：

If ExactTest and Partitioning are on，then exact tests are computed for de－ composable models，that differ with one edge．If Partitioning is on and the de－ composable models differ with more than one edge，then the computation of exact tests for each part of the test is controlled by SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST ［ On｜Off ］；（Default：On），and the computation of exact tests for the total test is controlled by SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST［ On｜Off ］；（Default：On）．If Partitioning is off and the decomposable models differ with more than one edge， then the exact test is only computed if SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST［ On ｜Off ］；is on．（Default：On）．

If Fast is on：SET FAST［ On｜Off ］；（Default：Off），then for each sufficient marginal table of counts generated（each edge in the list of edges given by FACTORIZE

ONE EDGE; ), a term to the deviance is computed, and for each generation of all sufficient marginals for the base model, the terms for the deviances are added and compared with the observed deviance, else for each generation of all sufficient marginals for the base model, the deviance between the base model and the current model is computed. This is the fastest for decomposable models which only differ with few edges, but if the models differ with many edges, the computing-time is the smallest, if fast is off. (In later versions of CoCo, the author might have decided what to do, and the commands SET EXACT TEST [ All | Deviance ]; and SET FAST [ On | Off ] ; are removed.)

SET SEED $\langle$ seed $\rangle$; sets the initial value $\langle$ seed $\rangle$ for the random number generator. SET SEED Random; sets a random initial value (computed from CPU-time, process-id and/or real time).

The $\langle e p s i l o n\rangle$ set by SET EXACT EPSILON $\langle e p s i l o n\rangle$; is added to test-statistics for the generated table when deciding whether the generate statistics is greater than or equal to the observed statistics.

In the following example a exact test for A being conditionally independent of D given B, C, E and F and an exact test for one of the final models against the saturated is computed on the data from Edwards \& Havránek (1985):

```
>read data;
    64 cells with }1841\mathrm{ cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
    TIME: 0.025secs.
>set exact on;
    Exact test set ON
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>read model *;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>drop edge AD.
        2: [[ABCEF][BCDEF]]
    Model is graphical
    Model is decomposable
    Test of [[ABCEF][BCDEF]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
DF \#0 \(-2 \log (Q) \quad P \quad X^{\wedge} 2 \quad\) P
                16 0 28.7241 0.02566 27.4652 0.03630 [[ABCDEF]] / [[BCDEF]
Exact (1000 ) 0.04000
TIME: 0.904secs.
```

```
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>exact test;
    Test of [[F][BC][ADE][ACE]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
& Statistic & & Asymptotic & Adjusted & Exact \\
-2log \((Q)\) & \(=\) & 62.0779 & \(P=\) & 0.0994 & \(/\) & 0.0994
\end{tabular}\(\quad 0.1500\)
>quit;
    TIME: 0.000secs.
    TOTAL TIME: 4.889secs.
```


### 6.3 Measures of Associations

The following command will compute lots of statistics for two factors independent etc. given other factors:

SLICE $\langle$ factor-name-a,$\langle$ factor-name-b $\rangle[/\langle$ set $\rangle]$;
For each 'slice' (2 dimensional table of $\langle$ factor-name-a $\rangle$ by $\langle$ factor-name- $b\rangle$ ) given configurations of the factors $\langle s e t\rangle$ the following measures of associations, statistics, is computed and printed:

For each configurations of the factors in the set $\langle s e t\rangle$ the 2 dimensional table of $\langle$ factor-name-a $\rangle$ by $\langle$ factor-name-b $\rangle$ is printed with margins, and, on each 'slice' (2 dimensional table), the following statistics is computed and printed:

Fisher's exact test, Pearson $\chi^{2}$ test, $G^{2}$ : likelihood ratio test, Continuity-adjusted $\chi^{2}$, Yates corrected $\chi^{2}$, McNemar's test of symmetry, к: Kappa, Cramer's V, Phi and maximum of Phi, Contingency Coefficient C and maximum of Contingency Coefficient C, Cross-product ratio alpha and logarithm of Cross-product ratio alpha, Mantel-Haenszel $\chi^{2}$, Yule's Q, Yule's Y, Cochran-Armitage Trend Test with Goodness of fit: linear trend, Pearson (product-moment) correlation coefficient, Spearman rank correlation coefficient, $\tau_{b}$ : Kendall's Tau b, $\tau_{c}$ : Stuart's Tau c, Somers' D, Goodman and Kruskal's $\tau, \gamma$ : Gamma, $\lambda_{\text {asym }}$ : Optimal prediction lambda, $\lambda$ : Symmetric optimal prediction lambda, $\lambda^{\star}$ : Modified asymmetric optimal prediction lambda, Uncertainty coefficient $U_{\text {asym }}$, Symmetric uncertainty coefficient $U$.

Agresti (1990) and Appendix A. 5 of the manual for the BMDP statistical computer package (Dixon 1983) are good sources for references and for formulas for computing the above measures of associations with standard errors.

### 6.4 Computation of $\log (\mathrm{L})$ in Large Models

FIND LOG(L);
FIND DEVIANCE;
Since the maximum likelihood estimate can be expressed as

$$
\hat{p}_{\Lambda}(i)=c \prod_{k=1 . . u} n\left(i_{a_{k}}\right)^{\nu\left(a_{k}\right)} \prod_{l=1 . . v} \hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{l}}\left(i_{b_{l}}\right)
$$

the $\log (L)$ can be computed as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log L= & \sum_{i \in I} \log \left(p_{\Lambda}(i)^{n(i)}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i \in I} n(i) \log \left\{c \prod_{k=1 . . u} n\left(i_{a_{k}}\right)^{\nu\left(a_{k}\right)} \prod_{l=1 . . v} \hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{l}}\left(i_{b_{l}}\right)\right\} \\
= & n \log (c) \\
& +\sum_{k=1 . . u} \nu\left(a_{k}\right) \sum_{i_{a_{k}} \in I_{a_{k}}} n\left(i_{a_{k}}\right) \log \left(n\left(i_{a_{k}}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{l=1 . . v} \sum_{i_{b_{l}} \in I_{b_{l}}} n\left(i_{b_{l}}\right) \log \left(\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{l}}\left(i_{b_{l}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we only need to sum over cells in sufficient marginal tables.
FIND LOG(L) ; computes $\log$ (likelihood) by the method, i.e., by only summing up over cells in necessary marginal tables. This is effective in simple models of large dimensions. Differences between $\log$ (likelihood)-values for base and current the deviance, are printed with FIND DEVIANCE; , but be careful with errors caused by rounding off.

This method is also used to compute the deviance by any test-procedure of CoCo, if the saturated table is to big to fit into the memory (after performing common decompositions).

This method should only be used if the two models to be tested against each other have no common decomposition. If the deviance is wanted for the test of a large current against base, then print first common decompositions with the command PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS; (see the next section). If the two models have a common decomposition with respect to the set $a$, they are decomposed into 4 new models $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ with DECOMPOSE MODELS $\langle a\rangle ;$. The 4 models can be seen with PRINT MODEL All; The test statistic can then be computed as the sum of the statistic for the test of $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ against $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and of the statistic for the test of $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ against $\mathcal{B}_{2}$. The test-procedures of CoCo performs possible common decompositions (as the command PARTITIONING TEST; ) before computing the test-statistics except when using the command TEST;

Example of computation of $\log (\mathrm{L})$ for test between two 23 dimensional models:

```
>set input data /user/jhb/Examples.CoCo/ex7a.dat;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
```

```
>read specification;
    TIME: 0.004secs.
>skip missing;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>read observations;
    710 cases read.
    TIME: 0.721secs
>set large;
    Large set ON
    TIME: 0.002secs
>read model ABI,ACEHILP,CEHILOP,EILPQ,CEHIW,EHJW,HLMO, &
    + -> DHMO,LPQR,EHVW,LNO,UVW,GIK.;
    TIME: 0.004secs.
>find log(1);
    Log(L): -7202.4580
    Dimension: 1003
    TIME: 0.206secs.
>read model ABI,ACEHILP,CEHILOP,EILPQ,CEHIW,HLMO, &
    + -> DHMO,LPQR,EHVW,EHJ,LNO,UVW,GIK.;
    TIME: 0.003secs.
>find log(1);
    Log(L): -7211.0598
    Dimension: 991
    TIME: 0.037secs.
>print common decompositions;
Decomposition of [[ABI] [ACEHILP] [CEHILOP] [EILPQ] [CEHIW] [HLMO] [DHMO] [LPQR]
                                    [EHVW] [EHJ] [LNO] [UVW] [GIK]]
and [[ABI][ACEHILP] [CEHILOP] [EILPQ] [CEHIW] [EHJW] [HLMO] [DHMO]
                                    [LPQR] [EHVW] [LNO] [UVW] [GIK]]
    Decompositions:
    [ABCEGHIJKLMNOPQRUVW] ( 19) / [HMO]( 3) / [DHMO] ( 4)
    [ABCDEGHIJKLMNOPQUVW] ( 19) / [LPQ] ( 3) / [LPQR]
    [ABCDEGHIKLMNOPQRW] ( 17) / [EHW]( 3) / [EHJUVW]
    [ABCDEGHIJKLMOPQRUVW]
    ( 19) / [LO] ( 2) / [LNO]
    [ABCDEGHIJKLMNOPQRVW] ( 19) / [VW] ( 2) / [UVW] ( 3)
    [ABCDEHIJLMNOPQRUVW] ( 18) / [I] ( 1) / [GIK] ( 3)
    Selected:
    [ABCDEGHIKLMNOPQRW] ( 17) / [EHW]( 3) / [EHJUVW] ( 6)
TIME: 0.040secs
>set adjusted off;
    Adjusted df set OFF
    TIME: 0.002secs.
```

```
>find -2log q;
    Test of [[ABI] [ACEHILP] [CEHILOP] [EILPQ] [CEHIW] [HLMO] [DHMO][LPQR] [EHVW]
        [EHJ] [LNO] [UVW] [GIK]]
    against [[ABI] [ACEHILP] [CEHILOP] [EILPQ] [CEHIW] [EHJW] [HLMO] [DHMO] [LPQR]
        [EHVW] [LNO] [UVW] [GIK]]
    -2log(Q) = 2*( -7202.4580 - -7211.0598 ) = 17.2037
    DF. = 1003- 991 = 12 P = 0.1417
    TIME: 0.006secs.
>set adjusted on;
    Adjusted df set ON
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>find -2log q;
    Test of [[ABI] [ACEHILP] [CEHILOP] [EILPQ][CEHIW] [HLMO] [DHMO][LPQR][EHVW]
                [EHJ] [LNO] [UVW] [GIK]]
    against [[ABI] [ACEHILP] [CEHILOP] [EILPQ] [CEHIW] [EHJW] [HLMO] [DHMO] [LPQR]
                [EHVW] [LNO] [UVW] [GIK]]
    -2log(Q) = 2* (-7202.4580 - -7211.0598) = 17.2037
    DF. = 1003- 991 = 12 P = 0.1417
    Adjustment: 7 755 - 755 = 0
    TIME: 0.851secs.
>keep log;
    Keep Log set ON
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>quit;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
    TOTAL TIME: 1.947secs.
```

The statement SET LARGE [ On | Off ]; is used to tell CoCo to dispose of marginal tables immediately after use, that is, to only store marginal tables for one term in the expression for the maximum likelihood estimate at time. Note; the time used for computing the adjusted degrees of freedom is larger than the time used to compute the test statistics.

Since the two models of the above example have common decompositions we should first have decomposed the two models. For each possible common decomposition of the two models the set of vertices for the two parts of the graphs and the intersection between those two vertex-sets are given in the output from PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS; . The number of vertices in each set are also printed.

### 6.5 Miscellaneous Commands for Model Control

```
PARTITIONING TEST;
TEST ONE EDGE;
FACTORIZE ONE EDGE [ \langleset\rangle];
FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION [\langleset\rangle];
```


### 6.5.1 Partitioning and Common Decompositions

If $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}$ is decomposition of $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to $b, \mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ is decomposition of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $c$ and $b=c=a, \cup_{d \in \mathcal{B}_{1}} d=\cup_{d \in \mathcal{C}_{1}} d=\Lambda_{1}$ and $\cup_{d \in \mathcal{B}_{2}} d=\cup_{d \in \mathcal{C}_{2}} d=\Lambda_{2}$ then $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are said to have a common decomposition, and if $\mathcal{C}$ is a sub-generating class of $\mathcal{B}$, the test statistic can be partitioned as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \log Q & =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
& =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) /\left(n\left(i_{a}\right) / n\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) /\left(n\left(i_{a}\right) / n\right)} \\
& =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda_{1} \in I_{\Lambda_{1}}}} n\left(i_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)\left\{\log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)}\right\}+2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda_{2}} \in I_{\Lambda_{2}}} n\left(i_{\Lambda_{2}}\right)\left\{\log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(i_{\Lambda_{2}}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}\left(i_{\Lambda_{2}}\right)}\right\} \\
& =-2 \log Q_{1}+-2 \log Q_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathcal{B}_{2}=\mathcal{C}_{2}$ then $-2 \log Q_{2}=0$ and the test is collapsed onto $\Lambda_{1}$. This is used in TEST ONE EDGE; , see the next section.

If base and current have common decompositions, then they are printed with the command PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS; . If the two models base $\mathcal{B}$ and current $\mathcal{C}$ are decomposable with respect to the set $a$, they are decomposed into 4 new models $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ with DECOMPOSE MODELS $\langle a\rangle ;$. The 4 models can be seen with PRINT MODEL All;

The command PARTITIONING TEST; performs recursive and automatic common decompositions on base and current. Tests are performed. If tests are collapsible, it is stated.

If cases with incomplete information (Missing Values) are read and EXCLUDE MISSING On; has been used, each test performed as a result of PARTITIONING TEST; is performed with all the cases with complete information in the marginal table on which each test is collapsible.

With the command SET PARTITIONING On; before BACKWARD; FORWARD; FACTORIZE ONE EDGE [ $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ]; etc. each test caused by the command is partitioned. This is turned off again with SET PARTITIONING Off; If ExactTest and Partitioning is on, then exact test is computed for decomposable models, that differ with one edge. If Partitioning is on and the decomposable models differ with more than one edge, then the computation of exact test for each part of the test is controlled by SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST [ On | Off ]; (Default: On), and the computation of exact test for the total test is controlled by SET EXACT TEST

FOR TOTAL TEST [ On | Off ]; (Default: On). If Partitioning is off and the decomposable models differ with more than one edge, then exact test is only computed if SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST [ On l Off ]; is on. (Default: On).

Example of the use of the commands PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS; and DECOMPOSE MODELS $\langle a\rangle$; on the data from Edwards \& Havránek (1985), see Introduction:

```
>read data;
    64 cells with 1841 cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
    TIME: 0.026secs.
>read model *;
    TIME: 0.002secs
>read model ACDE,ABCF.;
    TIME: 0.001secs
>read model ADE,ACE,ABC,ABF.;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>make base 2;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>print common decompositions;
    Decomposition of [[ADE] [ACE] [ABC] [ABF]]
    and [[ACDE][ABCF]]
        Decompositions:
        [ABCF] ( 4) / [AC] ( 2) / [ACDE] ( 4)
        Selected:
        [ABCF] ( 4) / [AC] ( 2) / [ACDE] ( 4)
    TIME: 0.006secs
>decompose models AC.;
    Partitioning of [[ADE] [ACE][ABC] [ABF]]
    and [[ACDE][ABCF]]
    TIME: 0.004secs.
>print all models;
    Model no. 7 [[ACDE]]
    Model no. 6 [[ABCF]]
    Model no. 5 [[ACE] [ADE]]
    Model no. 4 [[ABF][ABC]]
    Model no. 3 [[ADE][ACE][ABC][ABF]] /CURRENT/
    Model no. 2 [[ACDE][ABCF]] /BASE/
    Model no. 1 [[ABCDEF]]
    TIME: 0.005secs.
```

```
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>print common decompositions;
    Decomposition of [[ACE] [ADE] [BC] [F]]
    and
    [[ACDE] [ABCF]]
        Decompositions:
        [ABCF] ( 4) / [AC] ( 2) / [ACDE] ( 4)
        Selected:
        [ABCF] ( 4) / [AC] ( 2) / [ACDE] ( 4)
    TIME: 0.006secs.
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>partitioning;
    Test of [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]]
    against [[ACDE] [ABCF]]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & DF & \#0 & \(-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})\) & P & X^2 & P & Models \\
\hline & 9 & 0 & 20.3954 & 0.01559 & 20.0744 & 0.01738 & [ [ABCF]] / [ [AC] [BC] [F]] \\
\hline + & 4 & 0 & 2.2147 & 0.69633 & 2.2138 & 0.69651 & [[ACDE]] / [[ACE] [ADE]] \\
\hline = & 13 & 0 & 22.6101 & 0.04625 & 22.2881 & 0.05069 & [ [ACDE] [ABCF]] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
                            / [[ADE] [ACE] [F] [BC]]
TIME: 0.021secs.
>make base 3;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>print common decompositions;
    Decomposition of [[ACE] [ADE] [BC] [F]]
    and [[ADE][ACE][ABC] [ABF]]
        Decompositions:
        [ABCF] ( 4) / [AC] ( 2) / [ACDE] ( 4)
        [ABCEF] ( 5) / [AE] ( 2) / [ADE] ( 3)
        Selected:
        [ABCF] ( 4) / [AC] ( 2) / [ACDE] ( 4)
    TIME: 0.007secs.
>set short off;
    Short test output set OFF
    TIME: 0.002secs.
```

```
>partitioning;
    Test of [[ACE][ADE] [BC][F]]
    against [[ADE] [ACE][ABC][ABF]]
    Partition of [ABCDEF] in [ABCF], [ACDE] by [AC]
        Test on [ABCF]
        Test of [[AC][BC][F]]
        against [[ABC][ABF]]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Statistic} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Asymptotic} & & Adjusted \\
\hline \(-2 \log (Q)\) & \(=\) & 13.3773 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.0199 & / & 0.0199 \\
\hline Power & = & 13.1500 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.0218 & / & 0.0218 \\
\hline X \({ }^{\sim}\) & = & 13.1500 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.0218 & / & 0.0218 \\
\hline DF. & = & & & 5 & / & 5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
        Collaps.
        Models [[ADE][ACE]]
        and [[ACE][ADE]]
        on [ACDE] identical.
    TIME: 0.021secs.
>quit;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
    TOTAL TIME: 0.174secs.
```

In the output from PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS; all common decompositions are printed. For each decomposition a line with the 3 sets $\Lambda_{1}, a$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ is printed. The cardinality of the sets is noted. The decomposition where the largest of the two cardinalities of $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ respectively is minimum is selected.

Note in the short test output from PARTITIONING TEST;: The characters + and $=$ are used to express that the parts of the test adds up to the total test.

### 6.5.2 Testing One Edge

If two decomposable models (base and current) differ with exactly one edge $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, then both models are collapsible onto the clique $a$ containing the edge $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ in the base model. The $a$-marginal table of maximum likelihood estimates is determined by

$$
\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(i_{a}\right)=n\left(i_{a}\right) / n
$$

and

$$
\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(i_{a}\right)=\frac{n\left(i_{a \backslash\{\alpha\}}\right) n\left(i_{a \backslash\{\beta\}}\right)}{n \cdot n\left(i_{a \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\}}\right)} .
$$

E.g., the deviance can be found as

$$
-2 \log (Q)=2 \sum_{i_{a} \in I_{a}} n\left(i_{a}\right) \log \frac{n\left(i_{a}\right) n\left(i_{a \backslash\{\alpha, \beta\}}\right)}{n\left(i_{a \backslash\{\alpha\}}\right) n\left(i_{a \backslash\{\beta\}}\right)}
$$

by only summing over cells in the $a$-marginal table.
The command TEST ONE EDGE; finds the test statistics by summing only over cells in the table on which the test is collapsible, if the two models are decomposable and differ with exactly one edge.

### 6.5.3 Factorization of Test into Tests of One Edge Missing

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a decomposable submodel of a decomposable model $\mathcal{B}$ and the two models differ by $k$ edges, then there exist sequences $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathcal{C}$ of models such that for $i=1, \ldots, k \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ differ with exactly one edge, and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is decomposable. The likelihood ratio statistics can then be computed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \log Q & =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
& \left.=2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}\right) \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{2}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \cdots \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}-2}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}-1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}-1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
& =2 \sum_{l=1 \ldots k} \sum_{i_{\Lambda_{l} \in I_{\Lambda_{l}}}} n\left(i_{\Lambda_{l}}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{l-1}}\left(i_{\Lambda_{l}}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda_{l}}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{l=1 \ldots k}-2 \log Q_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The likelihood ratio for the test between the two decomposable models is by the command FACTORIZE ONE EDGE [ $\langle s e t\rangle$ ]; factorized into a product of likelihood ratios between decomposable models that differ with exactly one edge. The sequence of models is not unique. Choose between different orders of edges with SET ALGORITHM $\{\mathrm{A}|\mathrm{B}| \mathrm{C}$ \}; before FACTORIZE ONE EDGE; Algorithm A and B add edges to the current model by testing for decomposability by the algorithm TestForZeroFillIn of Tarjan \& Yannakakis (1984). Algorithm B tries the edges in the opposite order as algorithm A. Algorithm C removes edges from the base model by looking for edges only in one generator. If the optional argument $\langle s e t\rangle$ is given, then the edges are tried according to the order of the factors in $\langle s e t\rangle$.

The following example shows a factorization of the test of one of the final models from Edwards \& Havránek (1985) against the saturated model:

```
>read data;
    64 cells with }1841\mathrm{ cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
    TIME: 0.024secs.
>read model *;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>print all models;
    Model no. 2 [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]] /CURRENT/
    Model no. 1 [[ABCDEF]] /BASE/
    TIME: 0.002secs.
```

```
>test;
    Test of [[F][BC][ADE][ACE]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
\(\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & \text { Statistic } & & \text { Asymptotic } & \text { Adjusted } \\ \text {-2log }(Q) & = & 62.0779 & \mathrm{P}= & 0.0994 & /\end{array}\right) 0.0994\)
TIME: 0.019secs.
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>set adjusted df off;
    Adjusted df set OFF
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>set test formats 8 3 6 4;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>set factorize c;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>factorize one edge FEDCBA. ;
    Test of [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]]
    against [[ABCDEF]]
Edge \(D F-2 \log (Q) \quad P \quad X^{\wedge} 2 \quad P \quad\) Models
    [EF] 16 18.316 0.3052 17.820 0.3341 [[ABCDEF]] / [[ABCDE][ABCDF]]
    [DF] 8 9.199 0.3252 9.086 0.3346 [[ABCDF]] / [[ABCD][ABCF]]
    [CD] 8 4.893 0.7705 4.800 0.7801 [[ABCDE]] / [[ABCE][ABDE]]
    [CF] 4 7.018 0.1349 7.459 0.1135 [[ABCF]] / [[ABC][ABF]]
    [BD] 4 2.504 0.6439 2.502 0.6443 [[ABDE]] / [[ABE][ADE]]
    [BE] 4 6.771 0.1485 6.944 0.1389 [[ABCE]] / [[ABC][ACE]]
    [BF] 2 6.321 0.0424 6.349 0.0418 [[ABF]] / [[AB][AF]]
    [AB] 2 5.988 0.0501 5.953 0.0510 [[ABC]] / [[AC][BC]]
    [AF] 1 1.069 0.3012 1.070 0.3010 [[AF]] / [[A][F]]
    = 49 62.078 0.0994 61.983 0.1008 [[ABCDEF]] / [[F][ADE][ACE][BC]]
TIME: 0.040secs
>set exact test all;
    Exact test set ON
    Only Exact Deviance set OFF
    TIME: 0.001secs.
```

```
>set number of tables null;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>set factorize a;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>dispose tests;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>factorize one edge * ;
    Test of [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]]
against [[ABCDEF]]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Edge & DF -21 & \(\log (\mathrm{Q})\) & P & X~2 & P & Models \\
\hline [AB] & 2 & 5.988 & 0.0501 & 5.953 & 0.0510 & [ [ABC]] / [ [AC] [BC]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 1000 & ) & 0.0430 & & 0.0460 & \\
\hline [AF] & 1 & 1.069 & 0.3012 & 1.070 & 0.3010 & [ [AF]] / [ [A] [F]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 & ) & 0.3500 & & 0.3500 & \\
\hline [BE] & 4 & 6.771 & 0.1485 & 6.944 & 0.1389 & [[ABCE]] / [[ACE] [ABC]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 200 & ) & 0.1650 & & 0.1550 & \\
\hline [BD] & 4 & 2.504 & 0.6439 & 2.502 & 0.6443 & [ [ABDE] \(]\) / [ ADE\(][\mathrm{ABE}]]\) \\
\hline Exact ( & 20 & ) & 0.6000 & & 0.6000 & \\
\hline [BF] & 2 & 6.321 & 0.0424 & 6.349 & 0.0418 & [ [ABF]] / [ [AB] [AF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 1000 & ) & 0.0430 & & 0.0400 & \\
\hline [CD] & 8 & 4.893 & 0.7705 & 4.800 & 0.7801 & [[ABCDE]] / [[ABCE] [ABDE]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 20 & ) & 0.6500 & & 0.6500 & \\
\hline [CF] & 4 & 7.018 & 0.1349 & 7.459 & 0.1135 & [ [ABCF]] / [ [ABC] [ABF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 & ) & 0.2400 & & 0.1900 & \\
\hline [DF] & 8 & 9.199 & 0.3252 & 9.086 & 0.3346 & [[ABCDF]] / [[ABCD] [ABCF]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 & ) & 0.3100 & & 0.3300 & \\
\hline [EF] & 16 & 18.316 & 0.3052 & 17.820 & 0.3341 & [[ABCDEF]] / [[ABCDF][ABCDE]] \\
\hline Exact ( & 100 & & 0.3600 & & 0.3500 & \\
\hline = & 49 & 62.078 & 0.0994 & 61.983 & 0.1008 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& {[\text { [ABCDEF] }]} \\
& \quad /[[\mathrm{ADE}][\mathrm{ACE}][\mathrm{F}][\mathrm{BC}]]
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Exact ( & 200 & ) & 0.1750 & & 0.1200 & \\
\hline TIME: & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{5.645 secs .} & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{>quit;} \\
\hline TIME: & & 0.00 & 0secs. & & & \\
\hline TOTAL TI & & 5.58 & 81secs. & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Note that the edge $<B F>$ is significant.

### 6.5.4 Factorization of Test into Tests of One Missing Interaction

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{B}$ then sequences $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathcal{C}$ of models exist which for $i=1, \ldots, k \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}}$ differ with exactly one interaction-term. The likelihood ratio statistics can then be computed by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 \log Q & =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
& =2 \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{B}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{2}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \cdots \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}-2}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}-1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{k}-1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
& =2 \sum_{l=1 \ldots k} \sum_{i_{\Lambda} \in I_{\Lambda}} n\left(i_{\Lambda}\right) \log \frac{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{l-1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)}{\hat{p}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}\left(i_{\Lambda}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{l=1 \ldots k}-2 \log Q_{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Interactions not in the current model but in base are added to the current model one at a time and for each interaction the generated model is tested against the previous model with the command FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION [ 〈set〉]; If not the optional argument $\langle s e t\rangle$ is given, then the interactions are added according to cardinality. If $\langle s e t\rangle$ is given, then first the first factor in $\langle s e t\rangle$ is added, then the second factor in $\langle s e t\rangle$ is added, then the interaction among the first two factors in $\langle s e t\rangle$ is tried, then the interactions among the first three factors in $\langle s e t\rangle$ are tried according to cardinality, etc.

If all factors are binary, the test of current against base is factorized into tests with one degree of freedom.

Since most of the models are not decomposable, the factorization takes time.
The following example shows a factorization of the test of one of the final models from Edwards \& Havránek (1985) against some model:

```
>read data;
    64 cells with }1841\mathrm{ cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
    TIME: 0.026secs.
>read model ABF,ABC,ACDE.;
    TIME: 0.003secs.
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
    TIME: 0.002secs.
>print all models;
    Model no. 2 [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]] /CURRENT/
    Model no. 1 [[ABF][ABC][ACDE]] /BASE/
    TIME: 0.003secs.
```

```
>test;
    Test of [[F][BC][ADE][ACE]]
    against [[ACDE][ABC][ABF]]
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Statistic & & Asymptotic & Adjusted \\
-2log \((Q)\) & \(=\) & 15.5920 & \(\mathrm{P}=\) & 0.0754 & \(/\)
\end{tabular}
TIME: 0.028secs.
>set power lambda null;
Power Divergence not printed
TIME: 0.001secs
>set adjusted df off;
    Adjusted df set OFF
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>factorize one interaction * ;
Test of [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]]
against [[ABF] [ABC] [ACDE]]
Edge DF \(-2 \log (Q) \quad P \quad X^{\wedge} 2 \quad P \quad\) Models
\([\mathrm{AB}] \quad 1 \quad 1.31 \mathrm{E}-030.97113 \quad 1.28 \mathrm{E}-030.97146 \quad[\mathrm{BC}][\mathrm{AC}][\mathrm{AB}]]\)
                                    / [[AC][BC]]
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
{\([A F]\)} & 1 & 1.0687 & 0.30125 & 1.0697 & 0.30102
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
{\([B F]\)} & 1 & 5.0955 & 0.02399 & 5.1413 & 0.02336
\end{tabular}
[CD] \(11.1 .35590 .24425 \quad 1.35490 .24443\) [[CD][ACE][ADE]]
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
[ABC] & 1 & 5.9864 & 0.01442 & 5.9506 & 0.01471
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
[ABF] & 1 & 1.2255 & 0.26829 & 1.2216 & 0.26905
\end{tabular}
[ACDE] 1
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
TIME: & 0.148 secs. \\
>quit; & \\
TIME: & 0.001 secs. \\
TOTAL TIME: & 0.255 secs.
\end{tabular}
```

Note that the edge $<B F>$ and interaction $<A B C>$ are significant. Most is the deviance is due to those two interactions.

### 6.6 Reuse of Tests

```
SET REUSE OF TESTS [ On | Off ];
SHOW TESTS;
DISPOSE OF TESTS;
```

All performed tests are stored and re-used, i.e., each performed test is pushed into a structure, and when a test is to be performed this structure is searched for a test between the two models of the test. This feature is turned on and off with SET REUSE OF TESTS [ On | Off ]; The total list of performed tests is printed or disposed of with SHOW TESTS; and DISPOSE OF TESTS; respectively.

The list of tests is disposed of when the data is changed by reading observations or Q-tables.

Also the exact $p$-values are re-used. Exact tests are reused if the current number of tables (SET NUMBER OF TABLES \{Null | 〈number〉\};) is equal to the number of tables generated to compute the stored test or if the current number of tables is equal to 0 . You may force CoCo to compute new exact $p$-values for the same test without disposing of the list of tests by changing the number of generated tables (e.g., from 1000 to 999).

Stored values of $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$ may depend on factorization and partitioning.
Note that, if a test is computed by factorization or partitioning, then the sum of $\chi^{2}$-statistics is only approximately the $\chi^{2}$-statistic for the full test. Analogously with power divergence.

If a test is reused it is annotated with Reuse or an $r$ in ShortTestOutput. (Tests in a sorted list of tests are always marked by r.)

## Chapter 7

## Editing Models with Tests

［ Only ］GENERATE DECOMPOSABLE MODEL ；
［ Only ］GENERATE GRAPHICAL MODEL ；
［ Only ］DROP EDGES 〈edge－list〉；
［ Only ］ADD EDGES＜edge－list〉；
［ Only ］DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$ ；
［ Only ］ADD INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$ ；
［ Only ］MEET OF MODELS ；
［ Only ］JOIN OF MODELS ；
The commands in this chapter＂edit＂the current model．If not，the keyword Only is used，a test is computed．

The computed test－statistics will depend on the use of SET ORDINAL $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；and SET ADJUSTED DF［ On｜Off ］；and on the value set by SET POWER LAMBDA \｛ Null｜〈lambda〉\}; . See the following chapter.

Exact tests might be selected by SET EXACT TEST［ On｜All｜Deviance｜ Off ］；．If ExactTest is selected，then the commands SET ASYMPTOTIC $\langle p$－value〉； SET NUMBER OF TABLES \｛ Null｜〈number〉\};, SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES $\langle l i s t\rangle ;$ ，SET SEED $\{\langle$ seed $\rangle$｜Random $\}$ ；SET EXACT EPSILON $\langle\epsilon\rangle$ ；and SET FAST［ On｜Off ］；should be noted．See the section 6.2 about exact tests in chapter 6 ： ＂Tests＂．

Partitioning of tests is controlled by SET PARTITIONING［ On｜Off ］；．The commands SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST［ On｜Off ］；SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST［ On｜Off ］；and SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST［ On｜Off ］；will control for which parts of tests to compute exact tests．

Reuse of test is controlled by SET REUSE OF TESTS［ On｜Off ］；（and SET NUMBER OF TABLES \｛ Null । 〈number〉\};). The output might depend on the options TestFormats，ShortTestOutput，PageFormats，Report，Trace，Timer，Diary， Log，etc．

Finally the convergence－criterion for the IPS－and EM－algorithm，choice of how to handle missing values and data structure will have effect on the computing time．

## 7．1 Generate Graphical Model

With GENERATE GRAPHICAL MODEL；the 2－section graph for the current model is made．The generated model is the model with the generating class equal to the cliques in the 2 －section graph for the current model，the maximal interaction terms are the cliques in the 2 －section graph for the current model．The current model stays current．The generated model is added to the model list．It can be disposed of with DISPOSE OF MODEL Last；or it can be named current with the command CURRENT；If base and current are the same models then the current model is tested against the generated model，else the generated model is tested against base model（if not，the key－word Only is used）．The command BASE；names the current model base．

## 7．2 Add Fill－In：Generate Decomposable Model

A FILL－IN is made（adding extra edges）which is minimal in the sense that no subset of the fill－in will make the graph decomposable．The minimal FILL－IN is added to the 2 －section graph for the current model．The generated model is the model with the generating class equal to the cliques in that decomposable 2－section graph．（Note： The added FILL－IN is not unique（e．g．，there are two ways to add an edge to a graph with only four vertices and consisting of a four－cycle in order that the graph becomes decomposable）and is not minimum：there may be another FILL－IN（not a subset of the selected）with fewer edges．）If base is equal to current，then the current model is tested against the generated model，else the generated model is tested against base model（if not the key－word Only is used）．

## 7．3 Drop Edges

With DROP EDGES 〈edge－list〉；the edges 〈edge－list〉 in the 2－section graph for the current model are removed and the generated model is tested against the base model． Note that the generated model is graphical．Use DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$ ；if a first－ order interaction is to be removed from a hierarchical model．The current model stays current．The generated model is added to the model list．It can be disposed of with DISPOSE OF MODEL Last；or it can be named current with the command CURRENT；The command BASE；names the current model base．The following commands in this chapter treat base，current and last model in the same way．

## 7．4 Add Edges

The command ADD EDGES $\langle e d g e-l i s t\rangle$ ；adds the edges $\langle e d g e-l i s t\rangle$ to the 2 －section graph for the current model and tests the resulting graphical model against the base model． If base and current are the same models then the current model is tested against the generated model，else the generated model is tested against base model（if not the key－word Only is used）．

### 7.5 Drop Interaction

With the command DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$; all interaction terms which contain a set in $\langle g c\rangle$ are set equal to 0 .

In CoCo this is computed as follows: The command DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$; adds the sets $\langle g c\rangle$ to the dual representation for the current model. Supersets of other sets in the resulting set of sets are removed and normal representation for that dual representation is found. The generated model is tested against the base model.

### 7.6 Add Interaction

The command ADD INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$; adds the sets $\langle g c\rangle$ to the generating class for the current model. Subsets of other sets in the resulting set of sets are removed and the generated model is tested against the base model. If base and current are the same models then the current model is tested against the generated model, else the generated model is tested against base model.

### 7.7 Meet of Models

The meet of the two models base and current is formed by the command MEET OF MODELS; . The meet is the largest model contained in both base and current model. The resulting model will contain the maximal generators that are a subset of at least one generator in both base and current. For each generator in base and for each generator in current, the intersection of the two generators is formed, and the resulting model is the generating class with the maximal of these intersections. The generation of the model is symmetric in base and current.

The resulting model is tested against the current model.
In the following example all interactions in a final model from Edwards \& Havránek (1985), see the Introduction, are reduced to first-order-interactions:

```
>set page formats 78 256;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>set power lambda null;
    Power Divergence not printed
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>set adjusted df off;
    Adjusted df set OFF
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>read data;
    64 cells with }1841\mathrm{ cases read.
    Finding all marginals.
    TIME: 0.024secs.
```

```
>read n-interactions 1 ABCDEF.;
    1: [[EF] [DF] [DE] [CF] [CE] [CD] [BF] [BE] [BD] [BC] [AF] [AE] [AD] [AC] [AB]]
Model is not graphical
Cliques:[[ABCDEF]]
2-Section Model is decomposable
TIME: 0.011secs.
>read model ACE,ADE,BC,F.;
    TIME: 0.001secs.
>meet of models;
        3: [[F] [BC] [AD] [DE] [AC] [AE] [CE]]
Model is not graphical
Cliques: [[ACE] [ADE] [BC] [F]]
2-Section Model is decomposable
Test of [[F][BC] [AD] [DE] [AC] [AE] [CE]]
against [[ACE] [ADE] [BC] [F]]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & DF & \(-2 \log (Q)\) & P & \(\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2\) & P & Models \\
\hline & 1 & 0.0105 & 0.91851 & 0.0105 & 0.91851 & [[ACE]] / [[AC] [AE] [CE]] \\
\hline + & 1 & 2.8340 & 0.09229 & 2.8324 & 0.09238 & [[ADE]] / [[AE] [DE] [AD]] \\
\hline = & 2 & 2.8445 & 0.24117 & 2.8428 & 0.24137 & [[ADE][ACE]] \\
\hline & & & & & & / [ [AD] [DE] [CE] [AE] [AC]] \\
\hline TIME : & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{0.044 secs .} & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

The command NORMAL TO DUAL; will generate a model, where the generating class for the generated model is the dual representation of the current model. Together with MEET OF MODELS; this can be used to do DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle$; on several models.

### 7.8 Join of Models

The join of the two models base and current is formed by the command JOIN OF MODELS;. The join is the smallest model containing both base and current model. The resulting model will contain the maximal generators in both base and current. The generation of the model is symmetric in base and current.

The current model is tested against the resulting model.
Continuing the example from the previous section:

```
>join of models;
    4: [[AB] [AF] [BD] [BE] [BF] [CD] [CF] [DF] [EF] [BC] [ADE] [ACE]]
Model is not graphical
Cliques:[[ABCDEF]]
2-Section Model is decomposable
Test of [[ACE][ADE] [BC] [F]]
against [[AB] [AF] [BD] [BE] [BF] [CD] [CF] [DF] [EF] [BC] [ADE] [ACE]]
```

```
        DF -2log(Q) P N^2 P Models
        9 17.5851 0.03991 18.2781 0.03176 [[ACE][ADE] [BC] [EF] [DF][CF]
                                    [CD][BF][BE][BD][AF] [AB]]
                                    / [[F][BC] [ADE] [ACE]]
TIME: 0.074secs.
>print all models;
Model no. 4 [[AB][AF][BD][BE][BF][CD][CF][DF][EF][BC][ADE][ACE]]
Model no. 3 [[F][BC][AD] [DE] [AC] [AE] [CE]]
Model no. 2 [[ACE][ADE][BC][F]] /CURRENT/
Model no. 1 [[EF][DF][DE] [CF] [CE] [CD] [BF] [BE] [BD] [BC] [AF] [AE] [AD] [AC] [AB]]
/BASE/
TIME: 0.003secs
```


## 7．9 Miscellaneous Deprecated Commands

［ Only ］DROP FACTOR 〈factor〉；
［ Only ］REDUCE GENERATOR 〈set〉；
［ Only ］REMOVE GENERATOR 〈set〉；
［ Only ］REMOVE TOTAL INTERACTION 〈set〉；

Drop Factor：DROP FACTOR $\langle$ factor $\rangle$ ；drops the factor $\langle$ factor $\rangle$ in the current model．The generated model is tested against base model．The same effect can be achieved by DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle$ factor $\rangle$ ；．

Reduce Generator：With REDUCE GENERATOR 〈set〉；the generating class for the current model is searched for the set $\langle s e t\rangle$ ．If the set is found，the set is substituted with all proper subsets of the set．Subsets of other sets in the resulting set of sets are removed and the generated model is tested against the base model．

Remove Generator：REMOVE GENERATOR $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；searches the generating class for the current model for the set $\langle s e t\rangle$ ．If the set is found，it is removed from the generating class and the resulting model is tested against the base model．

Remove Total Interaction：With REMOVE TOTAL INTERACTION 〈set〉；all interac－ tions between factors in $\langle s e t\rangle$ are removed in the current model．The resulting model is tested against the base model．

## 7．10 Edit the Models without Test：Only

With Only before one of the Drop，Reduce，Remove or Add－commands，then the test is skipped．Only is a button．It is effective until after the next model－editing command or until after the next BACKWARD；or FORWARD；－command．

## Chapter 8

## Controlling the Computed Test Statistics in the Model Selection Procedures

The commands in this chapter will control the criterion for judging the suitability of models in model selection in the CoCo, i.e., the commands will set options for how to compute test statistics, select test statistics and set limits for when to accept or reject a model in the model selection by the commands BACKWARD; and FORWARD; and in the EH-procedure. Also a command for restricting the model class of the selection procedures to the sub-class of decomposable models is described in this chapter.

Besides by the options set by commands in this chapter the model selection may depend on choice of how to handle missing values, options for controlling the IPSalgorithm, options for controlling output as options for print formats, timer and diary, etc.

Options controlling the computed test statistics and options controlling exact tests will also effect the values computed by the commands TEST; EXACT TEST; , etc. and the model-editing commands.

### 8.1 Decomposable Mode(ls)

SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE [ On | Off ];
This option will restrict both the stepwise forward selection, the backward elimination and the global EH search procedure to decomposable models. I.e., the search procedures will not try to visit non-decomposable models, but edges (or interactions) can still by removed by other commands so that a non-decomposable model is generated.

It is attractive to restrict model selection to the class of decomposable models for a variety of reasons. Firstly, computation efficiency: the ability to use explicit formulae for the maximum likelihood estimates can reduce computational time substantially. Secondly, exact conditional tests for nested decomposable models can be calculated.

A backward elimination by the command BACKWARD；with DecomposableMode set On will give the model selection proposed in Wermuth（1976）．

## 8．2 Computed Test－Statistics and Choosing Tests and Significance Level

This section will describe options for how to compute test statistics，select test statis－ tics and set limits for when to accept or to reject a model in the model selection by the commands BACKWARD；and FORWARD；and in the EH－procedure．Also a short description of the Information Criterion is given．

## 8．2．1 Computing Test－Statistics

SET POWER LAMBDA \｛ Null｜〈lambda〉\};
SET ADJUSTED DF［ On｜Off ］；
SET REUSE OF TESTS［ On｜Off ］；
The computed test statistics in all the test and model search procedures depend on values set by these commands．The power $\lambda$ of the power divergence is set by the command SET POWER LAMBDA \｛Null｜〈lambda〉\}; If the command SET POWER LAMBDA Null；is used or the argument $\langle\lambda\rangle$ is set to 0 or 1 ，then the power divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ is not computed and not printed for computed tests．

The adjusted number of degrees of freedom is only computed，if AdjustedDF is set On by SET ADJUSTED DF［ On｜Off ］；On by default．

If reuse of tests is on，set by the command SET REUSE OF TESTS［ On｜Off ］；，then changing options by the commands SET ADJUSTED DF［ On｜Off ］；and SET POWER LAMBDA \｛ Null｜〈lambda〉\}; will not result in changes in the used test statistics for already computed tests．See 6.6 and use SHOW TESTS；or DISPOSE OF TESTS；

## 8．2．2 Selecting Test Statistic and Significance Level

SET ORDINAL $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；
SET TEST \｛ Lr｜Chi｜Power \};
SET \｛ Aic｜Bic｜Ic Kappa 〈kappa〉｜Ic On｜Ic Off \};
In the model selection models are accepted or rejected according to the limits set by the commands SET ACCEPTANCE $\langle a l p h a\rangle$ ；and SET REJECTION $\langle a l p h a\rangle$ ；．

The test statistic to compute the $p$－value or IC－value from is selected according to the option set by the command SET TEST \｛ Lr｜Chi｜Power \}; , unless two ordinal variables are tested conditionally independent．Goodman and Kruskal＇s Gamma coefficient is used when two ordinal variables are tested conditionally independent， also when an information criterion is selected．Ordinal variables are declared by the command SET ORDINAL $\langle$ set $\rangle$ ；，see also section 3．3．

If the Gamma coefficient or the information criterion is computed，then this value is used to determine whether to accept or reject models．Thus these two values cannot be computed and printed without using the value in the model selection．

If both the Gamma coefficient and a information criterion are computed for a model in a model selection，then the Gamma coefficient is used to decide whether to accept or to reject the model．

## Selection by Information Criteria

The Information Criteria $I C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\kappa}$（Sakamoto \＆Akaike 1978）for model $\mathcal{A}$ is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\kappa} & =D_{\mathcal{A}}+\kappa \cdot \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{A}) \\
A I C_{\mathcal{A}} & =D_{\mathcal{A}}+2 \cdot \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text { and } \\
B I C_{\mathcal{A}} & =D_{\mathcal{A}}+\log (n) \cdot \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{A})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the deviance of $\mathcal{A}$ relative to the saturated model，the likelihood ratio test statistic for $\mathcal{A}$ tested under the saturated model．

The change of Information Criteria is then in backward elimination and forward selection computed by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(I C)_{\mathcal{A B}}^{\kappa}=I C_{\mathcal{B}}^{\kappa}-I C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\kappa} & =\Delta(D)_{\mathcal{A B}}-\kappa \cdot D \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{A B}, \\
\Delta(A I C)_{\mathcal{A B}} & =\Delta(D)_{\mathcal{A B}}-2 \cdot D \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{A B} \quad \text { and } \\
\Delta(B I C)_{\mathcal{A B}} & =\Delta(D)_{\mathcal{A B}}-\log (n) \cdot D \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{A B}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta(D)_{\mathcal{A B}}=D_{\mathcal{B}}-D_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the difference between the deviances for the two models considered and $D \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{A B}$ is the adjusted or unadjusted number of degrees of freedom for the test of $\mathcal{B}$ under $\mathcal{A}$ ．An adjusted number of degrees of freedom is used，if it is set on by the SET ADJUSTED DF；－command．If $\mathcal{B}$ is a submodel of $\mathcal{A}$ then $\Delta(D)_{\mathcal{A B}}$ and $D \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{A B}$ is positive．In a model search the $I C$ value is minimized．

The command SET IC Kappa 〈kappa〉；changes the constant $\kappa$ used in computa－ tion of the information criterion and sets the computation of this criterion on．The constant is to 2 if the command SET Aic；is used，and is set to $\log$（total number of cases）if the command SET Bic；is used．When ExcludeMissing is On，the number of used cases may differ for the different parts of a partitioned test，and the value BIC can not be computed．

Note that the commands SET Aic；SET Bic；SET IC Kappa 〈integer〉；or SET IC On；besides choosing an information criterion also sets both the acceptance and the rejection limit to 0 ．

## Asymptotic or Exact $p$－values

SET EXACT TEST［ On｜All｜Deviance｜Off ］；
Exact $p$－values are used according to whether exact test are chosen by the command SET EXACT TEST；See the next section about exact tests．

## Acceptance and Rejection of Models

```
SET ACCEPTANCE <alpha\rangle;
SET REJECTION \langlealpha\rangle;
SET COMPONENTS \langlep-value\rangle;
```



Figure 8．1：Significance levels for stepwise model selection and the EH－procedure．

## SET SEPARATORS $\langle p$－value $\rangle$ ；

A hypothesis is accepted，if the $p$－value is greater than a given limit．Thus to be able to classify models by the same expressions regardless of whether $p$－values or an information criterion is used，minus the change in the information criterion is used to classify models．

If the $p$－value（or minus the IC－value）for a model in the stepwise edge or interaction selection or in the EH－procedure is greater than the value set by the command SET ACCEPTANCE $\langle a l p h a\rangle$ ；，then the model is accepted（else the model is rejected in the EH－procedure）．In backward elimination edges（or interaction－terms）with a $p$－value greater than this limit are eligible for removal．The recursive backward elimination continues only as long as there are edges（or interaction－terms）with a $p$－value greater than this acceptance limit．Only if the $p$－value for the edge to remove is greater than this acceptance limit a new model is inserted in the model list．

Models with a $p$－value（or minus the IC－value）lower than or equal to the value set by the command SET REJECTION 〈alpha〉；are rejected，and sub－models can be rejected by Coherence in backward elimination．

Consider in each step of a forward selection all visited edges（or interaction－terms） not in the current model and with a $p$－value lower than or equal to the value set by SET REJECTION $\langle a l p h a\rangle$ ；，and consider the model with these edges added to the current model．This model will be the result of the forward selection step，and such a model is inserted in the model list for each step of the forward selection．

Models with a $p$－value（or minus the IC－value）greater than or equal to the value set by the command SET ACCEPTANCE $\langle a l p h a\rangle$ ；are accepted，and models containing accepted models can be accepted by Coherence in forward selection．

When the commands SET Aic；SET Bic；，SET IC Kappa 〈integer〉；or SET IC On；are used，then both the acceptance and the rejection limit are set to 0 ．If the acceptance limit is set to a value lower than the rejection limit，then the rejection limit is also set to the entered value．Analogously，if the rejection limit is set to a
value greater than the acceptance limit，then the acceptance limit is set to the entered value．

## Model control

Some further model checking is possible：
If the two models to be tested against each other have common decompositions， then the test can be partitioned．The command SET PARTITIONING On；of the last section in this chapter will control this partitioning of tests．The deviance for the total test is then the sum of the deviances for each part of the test．If a limit＜components－ limit〉 is set by SET COMPONENTS 〈components－limit〉；default 1．0，then tests with a $p$－value lower than $\langle$ components－limit $\rangle$ for any part of the test are rejected in the backward elimination and forward selection．

If two variables are accepted conditionally independent in a graph，then the two variables should by conditionally independent given any separator of the two variables in the graph．If a limit 〈separators－limit〉 is set by SET SEPARATORS 〈separators－ limit $\rangle$ ；，default 1．0，then models with a $p$－value lower than $\langle$ separators－limit $\rangle$ for one separator are rejected in the stepwise model selection（when this model control is requested by the keyword Separators of the command for backward elimination of forward selection）．

## 8．3 Exact Tests in Tests and Model Selection

```
SET EXACT TEST [ On | All | Deviance | Off ];
SET ASYMPTOTIC \langlep-value\rangle;
SET NUMBER OF TABLES { Null | {number〉};
SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES <integer-list\rangle;
SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST [ On | Off ];
SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST [ On | Off ];
SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST [ On | Off ];
SET SEED { \langleseed\rangle | Random };
SET EXACT EPSILON \langle\epsilon\rangle;
SET FAST [ On | Off ];
```

If exact $p$－values are computed in the model selection，then these $p$－values are used． Exact test is set on by the command SET EXACT TEST On；．Exact tests are only computed，if the asymptotic $p$－value is smaller than the value set by SET ASYMPTOTIC $\langle p$－value $\rangle ;, 1$ by default．The number of tables to generate in the Monte Carlo simula－ tion of the exact $p$－value is set by the command SET NUMBER OF TABLES；．A variating number of tables is selected by the command SET NUMBER OF TABLES Variating； and the number of tables to be generated is then set by SET LIST OF NUMBER OF TABLES；．See chapter 6 for details．

Note that if the power divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ or Pearson＇s chi－square $\chi^{2}$ is selected by the command SET TEST \｛ Lr｜Chi｜Power \}; and exact p-values are only computed for the likelihood ratio test－statistics because of the use of the command SET EXACT

TEST Deviance; then the asymptotic $p$-value will be used in the model selection procedures.

Please disregard the following options before you is familiar with exact tests in CoCo: The options SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST; SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS; and SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED; will control computing of exact tests for respectively the total of a test, that can be partitioned, the parts of a partitioned test and for tests not partitioned. The command SET PARTITIONING; of the following section will control partitioning of tests, that is, partitioning of the two models by common decompositions and thus collapsibility of tests.

The command SET SEED $\{\langle$ seed $\rangle \mid$ Random $\}$; will set the seed for the random number generator used when generating the exact test. The command SET SEED Random; will set this seed to some random value depending on the process number of the CoCo session and the total used computing time. The value set by SET EXACT EPSILON $\langle\epsilon\rangle$; is to do with round of errors, and one of two algorithms to compute the exact $p$-value by is selected by SET FAST; See chapter 6 for further details.

### 8.4 Partitioning

SET PARTITIONING [ On | Off ];
SET ALGORITHM $\{\mathrm{A}|\mathrm{B}| \mathrm{C}|\mathrm{D}| \ldots$ \};
The command SET PARTITIONING; of the following section will control partitioning of tests, that is, partitioning of the two models by common decompositions. The tests by the command TEST; , the model editing commands and in model selection are then collapsed to the smallest tables on which the tests can be performed. When ExcludeMissing is On, the number of used cases may differ for the different parts of a partitioned test.

The command SET ALGORITHM; will set an option to choose between different algorithms for factorization, computation of the Gamma coefficient, exact $p$-value, fill-in, etc. See chapter 6 and the online help information of CoCo for further details.

## Chapter 9

## Stepwise Model-selection: Backward and Forward

This chapter is on stepwise model selection in CoCo.
The incremental search in CoCo is performed by forward inclusion (Dempster 1972) and backward elimination (Wermuth 1976) of edges (or interaction-terms). In the backward elimination of edges in graphical models edges are sequentially eliminated from the independence graph. In the forward selection the edges are sequentially added to the independence graph.

Wermuth (1976) considers stepwise edge elimination on decomposable models. Two resent books Christensen (1990) and Whittaker (1990) each contain a chapter on model selection. In Whittaker (1990) with the title "Graphical Models" the model selection is of course treated in relation to graphical models, but also in Christensen (1990) an excellent discussion of model search on graphical model is given. Edwards (1993) considers some computational aspects of both the stepwise edge selection and the EH-procedure, see the next chapter.

In CoCo the backward elimination and the forward selection can be started from any initial model. The backward elimination procedure is not restricted to work on edges, but can also perform stepwise elimination of interaction-terms. Analogously with the forward selection. Steps of the backward elimination and the forward selection can be mixed together in any order, and between each step any set of edges or interaction-terms can be added or eliminated.

The backward elimination from the saturated model has the advantage that the tests are not performed under models later to be found rejected. In the forward selection from the uniform model the initial tests will be performed under models likely to be rejected. But in the forward selection the initial tests often will be collapsible to small tables, and much larger tables can be handled. The marginal associations tested in the first step of a forward selection from the uniform model might also be of some interest.

The resulting models from each cycle of the backward elimination and the resulting model from the forward selection are added to the linked list of models in CoCo.

## 9．1 Selecting Test Statistic and Significance Level

The previous chapter will describe how to set the options for the computed test statistics，select the test statistic and how to set the level of significance．

## 9．2 Fixing of Edges and Interactions

FIX Edges $\{\langle e d g e s\rangle \mid\langle g c\rangle\} ;$
AND FIX Edges $\{\langle e d g e s\rangle \mid\langle g c\rangle\} ;$
The command FIX Edges $\langle g c\rangle$ ；will fix the edges and interaction－terms given by the argument of the command in the models considered in the stepwise model selection commands．

In a backward elimination of interactions，the interaction－terms a subset of a gen－ erator in the generating class set by FIX Edges $\langle g c\rangle$ ；are not eligible for removal． Also in a forward selection adding interaction terms containing a fixed generator to the model may not be considered．

In backward elimination of edges on graphical models，the edges（i．e．，first－order interactions）given by FIX Edges 〈edges $\rangle$ ；are not tested for removal，and are never added in forward selection of edges．Thus in a forward selection of edges on graphical models an edge can be fixed without being containing a fixed generator．

Edges（and interactions）in the FixEdgeList can still be added or removed by the model－editing commands，i．e．，by the Drop－，Reduce－，Remove－and Add－commands．

The FixEdgeList set by FIX Edges 〈edges $\rangle$ ；and AND FIX Edges 〈edges〉；is in－ dependent of the FixIn and FixOut used in the EH－procedure described in the fol－ lowing chapter ${ }^{1}$ ．

Edges（interactions）can be added to the FixEdgeList by AND FIX Edges $\langle e d g e s\rangle ;$ Following use of the FIX Edges 〈edges $\rangle$ ；will clear and replace the FixEdgeList．

## 9．3 Backward Elimination

［ Only ］［ Reversed ］［ Sorted ］［ Separators ］［ Recursive ］ ［ Headlong ］［ Coherent ］［ Follow ］［ All ］BACKWARD ［ Edges｜Interactions ］；

The simplest form
BACKWARD；

[^2]of the backward elimination command will visit all edges in the current model (if it is graphical), and for each visited edge produce a test. (See section 9.3.3 about choosing between backward elimination of edges and backward elimination of interactionterms.) With the command

```
Recursive BACKWARD;
```

all edges in the current model are visited and the least significant edge is removed. This step of the backward elimination is then repeated on the resulting model: All edges are visited in the model, and the least significant edge is removed. This is repeated until all edges are significant in the resulting model. When the keyword Recursive is given, the resulting accepted model from each step is added to the model list in CoCo.

### 9.3.1 Controlling the Output

By default a test is printed for each edge (or interaction-term) visited, and a report of rejected, eligible edges, the model resulting of the step of the recursive backward elimination, etc. is given between steps of the backward elimination.

## Sorted

Sorted Recursive BACKWARD;
Also a sorted list of the tests is printed for each step of the backward elimination. The list is sorted according to the selected $p$-value (statistics, if IC is selected). The $p$-values are ordered in increasing order (IC decreasing). The least significant edge (or interaction-term) is then the last edge in the sorted list.

## Reversed

Reversed Sorted Recursive BACKWARD;
If this keyword is used, the sorted list of tests is reversed.

## Only

Only Sorted BACKWARD;
Only the sorted list of tests is printed. This has the advantage of saving paper, if only the sorted list is wanted. But when running interactively, especially if exact $p$-values are computed and on large tables, there will be a lot of computation without any results shown, since the whole list of tests has to be computed for each step of the backward elimination before any output is printed. The keyword can of course be combined with the keyword Reversed.

## Only BACKWARD;

If the keyword Only is given without the keyword Sorted being given, then all printing from the backward elimination will be eliminated. The only result is then the models being inserted into the model list.

## Short

Short BACKWARD;
By this keyword only a short report of the elimination is given: For each edge (or interaction-term) removed the corresponding test is printed.

If Dump is set On and the keyword Short is given then for each completed step of the backward elimination, i.e., each removal of an edge or interaction, the DumpFile is rewound and a report of rejected, accepted, eligible edges and the model resulting of the step is printed on the DumpFile. In the following step of the backward elimination each tested edge and the selected test statistic for the test of the edge is printed on the DumpFile. This may be useful for monitoring a model selection on a large table and for recovering a terminated model selection.

### 9.3.2 Recursive Search

## Recursive

If the keyword Recursive is given then the backward elimination is done recursively until no more edges (or interaction-terms) can be removed according to the selected significance level.

## Coherent

Recursive Coherent BACKWARD;
Once an edge (or interaction-term) in the backward elimination process is rejected, it is no more tested for removal.

## Headlong

Headlong Recursive BACKWARD;
or
Headlong Recursive Coherent BACKWARD;
This keyword gives a recursive backward elimination strategy, where the first edge (or interaction) found to be non-significant is eliminated. In each step of this backward elimination, edges with $p$-value less than a given limit (e.g. $1 \%$ or $5 \%$ ) are rejected, and, when the principle of weak rejection, coherence, is applied, they are not considered in sub-sequential steps. The first edge found in each step with $p$-value greater than a second limit (e.g. $20 \%$ ) is removed. All eligible edges not visited in the current step are eligible in the next step together with the edges visited in the current step with a found $p$-value between the two limits. If not the keyword Coherent is used, then of course all edges of the model are eligible in the next step.

This gives a faster elimination than a backward elimination where all eligible edges in each step have to be visited in order to find the least significant edge.

If the $p$-value (or minus the IC-value) for a model in this stepwise edge or interaction selection is greater than the value set by SET ACCEPTANCE $\langle a l p h a\rangle$;, then the model is accepted. I.e., in the backward elimination edges (or interaction-terms) are
eligible for removal if the $p$-values of the edges are greater than this limit. The recursive backward elimination continues only as long as there are edges (or interaction-terms) with a $p$-value greater than this acceptance limit. Only if the $p$-value for the edge to be removed is greater than this acceptance limit a new model is inserted in the model list.

Edges with a $p$-value (or minus the IC-value) less than or equal to the value set by the command SET REJECTION 〈alpha〉; are rejected. Sub-models can be rejected by Coherence in the backward elimination.

Not to favour the removal of edges with, e.g., a low lexicographical order, the edges in this headlong backward elimination are visited in a random order. Hence several calls to the procedure might give different results, and a sample of models with all edges significant to a selected level of significance can be generated. The command SET SEED $\{\langle$ seed $\rangle \mid$ Random $\}$; will set the seed for the used random number generator.

## Follow

Recursive Follow BACKWARD;
Tests are, if this keyword is given, performed against the previously accepted model. In the first step of the Recursive Follow BACKWARD; command the tests will be performed against the current model. In the next step, the tests are performed against the model accepted in the first step, etc. If the keyword Follow not is given, then all the tests will be performed against the base model.

## Remove all non-significant edges or interactions in one step

## All BACKWARD;

By default only the least significant edge (or interaction-term) is removed. All non significant edges are removed by one step of the backward elimination by the keyword All, i.e., all non-significant edges in the current model is removed from the model.

### 9.3.3 Graphical or Non-Graphical Models

## Edges

BACKWARD Edges;
With the command BACKWARD Edges; the 2-section graph for the current model is created. In turn, each edge in the 2 -section graph is then dropped, and the model with the generating class described by the cliques in the resulting graph is tested against the base model.

By BACKWARD Edges; all pairs of factors with an edge in the graph for the current model are tested conditionally independent given the remaining factors of the model.

## Interactions

BACKWARD Interactions;

BACKWARD Interactions；will for each maximal interaction－term in the current model remove the interaction－term，and then test the resulting model against the base model．This is computed by in turn adding the interaction－terms for the cur－ rent model to the dual representation for the current model．

With BACKWARD Interactions；and a current model with only $n$－th order effects （READ N－INTERACTIONS $\langle n\rangle *$ ；or READ N－INTERACTIONS $\langle n\rangle\langle s e t\rangle$ ；）all $n$－th order partial associations are tested．

For sparse contingency tables，Whittaker（1990）advocates the use of first－order log－linear models，i．e．，hierarchical log－linear models with at most two－factor interac－ tions．The model with all two－factor interactions can be read by the command READ N－INTERACTIONS $1 *$ ；，and then the backward elimination from this model can be performed by the command Recursive BACKWARD Interactions；

By default the command BACKWARD；is the same as the command BACKWARD Edges；，if the current model is graphical，else BACKWARD Interactions；

## 9．3．4 Model Control

## Separators

## Separators BACKWARD；

With this keyword in a Separators BACKWARD；command then for each edge（or interaction－term）all tests for conditional independence are performed：For each edge to remove，that is，for each pair of vertices connected in the model，the minimal sepa－ rators between the two vertices are found，and the two vertices are tested conditionally independent given each separator．

If a limit 〈separators－limit〉 is set by SET SEPARATORS 〈separators－limit〉；then edges（or interaction－terms）with a $p$－value less than the limit $\langle$ separators－limit $\rangle$ for one separator are rejected in a Separators BACKWARD；command．

Analogously，if a limit 〈components－limit〉 is set by the command SET COMPONENTS〈components－limit〉；and Partitioning is On，then edges（or interaction－terms）with a $p$－value less than $\langle$ components－limit $\rangle$ for one part of the test are rejected in backward elimination．

## 9．4 Forward Selection

［ Only ］［ Reversed ］［ Sorted ］［ Separators ］［ Recursive ］ ［ Headlong ］［ Coherent ］［ Most ］FORWARD ［ Edges｜Interactions ］；
All edges not included in the current model are in turn added to the current model， and the current model is tested against the resulting model with the command FORWARD；

## 9．4．1 Controlling the Output

By default a test is printed for each edge（or interaction－term）visited and a report of rejected，eligible edges（or interaction－terms），the model resulting of each step of the
forward selection, etc. is given between steps of the forward selection.
The keywords Only, Reversed, Sorted and Short is as at the command BACKWARD; except that the $p$-values by default are ordered in decreasing order (IC increasing) in the sorted list.

### 9.4.2 Recursive Search

Also the keywords Recursive, Coherent and Headlong are as at the command BACKWARD;

A model, where rejected edges (or interaction-terms) are added to the current model, is added to the model list for each step of the Recursive FORWARD; command.

## Recursive

Recursive FORWARD;
Forward selection is done recursively until no more edges have to be added according to the selected level of significance.

## Coherent

Recursive Coherent FORWARD;
Once an edge (or interaction-term) is accepted in the forward elimination process, it is no more tested for adding.

## Headlong

```
Headlong Recursive FORWARD;
```

or
Headlong Recursive Coherent FORWARD;
In each step of this forward selection, edges (or interaction-terms) with $p$-value greater than a given limit (e.g. $10 \%$ or $20 \%$ ) are not added, i.e., it is accepted that the edge can be omitted, and is not considered in sub-sequential steps when the principle of weak acceptance, coherence, is applied. The first edge found in each step with a $p$ value less than a second limit (e.g. 1\%) is added to the model. All not visited eligible edges in the current step are eligible in the next step of the recursive forward selection together with the edges visited in the current step with a $p$-value found between the two limits. If not the keyword Coherent is used, then of course all edges not in the resulting model are eligible in the next step.

If the $p$-value (or minus the IC-value) for a model in this stepwise edge or interaction selection is greater than the value set by SET ACCEPTANCE $\langle a l p h a\rangle$; , then the model is accepted, i.e., the edge or interaction-term is not added. By coherence these accepted edges are then not considered in sub-sequential steps of the forward selection.

Consider the edges with a $p$-value less than or equal to the value set by SET REJECTION $\langle a l p h a\rangle$; in a step of the forward selection. Then in each step of the forward selection these edges are added to the model of the current step, and the
resulting model is used in the next step. The resulting model of each step is inserted in the model list. The recursive forward elimination continues only as long as there are found edges (or interaction-terms) with a $p$-value greater than this rejection limit. Only if the $p$-value for the edge to be added is smaller than this rejection limit a new model is inserted in the model list.

Not to favour the removal of edges with, e.g., a low lexicographical order, the edges in this headlong forward selection are visited in a random order. Hence several calls to the procedure might give different results, and a sample of models with all edges significant to a selected level of significance can be generated.

## Add only the most significant edge/interaction

Most FORWARD;
By default, if a headlong forward selection is not performed, all significant edges (or interaction-terms) are added in each step of the forward selection. With the command Most FORWARD; only the most significant edge (or interaction-term) is added in each step of the forward selection.

### 9.4.3 Graphical or Non-Graphical Models

This section is analogous to the section with the same name for the command BACKWARD;

## Edges

FORWARD Edges;
With the command FORWARD Edges; the 2-section graph for the current model is created. In turn, each edge not present in this 2 -section graph is then added to the 2-section graph and the current model is then tested against the model with the generating class described by the cliques in the resulting graph.

By FORWARD Edges; all pairs of factors without an edge in the graph for the current model are tested conditionally independent given the rest factors of the model.

With FORWARD Edges; and a current model with only main effects (can be read by the command READ MODEL .;) all first order marginal associations are tested.

## Interactions

FORWARD Interactions;
FORWARD Interactions; will for each minimal interaction-term (generator in the dual representation of the current model) not present in the current model add the interaction-term to the model, i.e., generate a model with the interaction added, and then test the current model against the resulting model.

By default the message FORWARD; is the same as the message FORWARD Edges; , if the current model is graphical, else it is the command FORWARD Interactions;

## 9．4．4 Model Control

## Separators

This is analogous to the same keyword at the command BACKWARD；
Separators FORWARD；
With this keyword in a Recursive Separators FORWARD；command for each edge （or interaction－term）all tests for conditional independence are performed：For each edge to add，that is，for each pair of vertices not connected in the model，the min－ imal separators between the two vertices are found，and the two vertices are tested conditionally independent given each separator．

If a limit 〈separators－limit〉 is set by SET SEPARATORS 〈separators－limit〉；，then edges（or interaction－terms）with a $p$－value less than the limit $\langle$ separators－limit $\rangle$ for one separator are rejected in a Separators FORWARD；command．Thus these edges are to be added．

Analogously，if a limit 〈components－limit〉 is set by the command SET COMPONENTS〈components－limit〉；and Partitioning is On，then edges（or interaction－terms）with a $p$－value less than $\langle$ components－limit $\rangle$ for one part of the test are rejected，i．e．，the edges are added，in forward selection．

## 9．5 Asymmetry between Backward and Forward

The backward elimination and forward selection commands of course differ by remov－ ing and adding edges（or interaction－terms）respectively．In the backward elimination tests are performed against the previously accepted model，if the keyword Follow is given else，if the keyword Follow is not used，then all the tests will be performed against the base model．In the forward selection the model of the current step of the selection is tested against the model resulting from adding an edge（or interaction－ term）．

In the backward elimination only the least significant edge is removed in each step （by default），whereas in the forward selection all significant edges are added in each step（by default）．

## Chapter 10

## The EH-procedure

By the principles of weak acceptance and weak rejection, coherence, the search space of all hierarchical models on the contingency table is divided into two sets of models: the class of minimal acceptable models and the class of maximal rejected models. Hence, after using the 'Fast Procedure for Models Search', the EH-procedure, by Edwards \& Havránek (1985) and Edwards \& Havránek (1987) any model can be labeled as accepted or rejected.

By the EH-procedure the models (or sub-models of a specific base model, the model SearchBase read by the command READ BASE MODEL $\langle$ model $\rangle$;) are classified into two regions $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ : weakly accepted models and weakly rejected models. Weakly accepted models are models that include an accepted model, and weakly rejected models are models that are included in a rejected model. In turn, a boundary below the weakly accepted models and a boundary above the weakly rejected models, the R-dual $D_{R}(\mathcal{A})$ and the A-dual $D_{A}(\mathcal{R})$ respectively, are fitted.

In CoCo the global search can be started with the accepted class containing the saturated model and the class of rejected models containing the model with only main-effects, or any models can be entered into the two classes. The search stops when either all models in $D_{A}(\mathcal{R}) \backslash \mathcal{A}$ are accepted or all models in $D_{R}(\mathcal{A}) \backslash \mathcal{R}$ are rejected.

The search-module is only usable for small tables. The dimension of the table should not exceed 10 too much. There should not be too many choices between acceptable models. If there is no clear association between some factors (given others), i.e., there are many marginal associations but no clear conditional associations, then all models in $D_{R}(\mathcal{A})$ will be accepted for several fits of $D_{R}(\mathcal{A})$, and the duals will explode in size, i.e., if one can choose between too many acceptable models. When the temptation to use this algorithm is the greatest, it should not be used.

If one of the search-commands described in this chapter is used, then the searchmodule is initiated, i.e., initial classes of models and duals are found for the EHprocedure. By default, the saturated model is accepted, and the model with only main effects is rejected.

Figure 10.1: Weakly accepted and weakly rejected models and duals.

The command STATUS Search; will show the accepted models, the rejected models, the $A$ dual, the $R$ dual, the SearchBase (the base model used in the EHprocedure), the FixIn, and the FixOut. The command DISPOSE OF EH; will dispose of the accepted models, the rejected models, the A dual and the $R$ dual.

A simple model search among first decomposable models, then among all graphical models and finally among hierarchical models can be performed by

```
EH Decomposable;
EH Graphical;
EH Hierarchical;
```

The smallest dual in each step is fitted by these three commands. If instead, rough estimates of the dual sizes should be found in each step, and the dual with the smallest rough estimate is to be fitted in each step, then the commands

```
SET Rough SEARCH;
EH Decomposable;
EH Graphical;
EH Hierarchical;
can be used.
```


## 10．1 Computed Tests and Selection Criteria

Chapter 8 will describe how to set the options for the computed test statistics，select the test statistic to classify the models according to，and how to set the level of significance．

## 10．2 Fix Edges／Interactions：

FIX \｛ In｜Out \} 〈edges $/ g c\rangle$ ；
ADD FIX \｛ In｜Out \} 〈edges/gc〉;
REDO FIX \｛ In｜Out \};

## 10．2．1 FixIn

With the command FIX In $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；edges（generators）in the generating class are forced into all models in the EH－procedure．The command FIX In＂ABC＂；would force the terms $<>,<\mathrm{A}\rangle,<\mathrm{B}\rangle,<\mathrm{C}\rangle,<\mathrm{AB}\rangle,<\mathrm{AC}\rangle,<\mathrm{BC}\rangle$ and $<\mathrm{ABC}\rangle$ into all models．

## 10．2．2 FixOut

With the command FIX Out $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；all terms containing a generator in the gen－ erating class are FixOut．The FixOut is the dual representation of the maximal model considered，see pp． 340 Edwards \＆Havránek（1985）．

Note that only terms containing a FixOut－generator are FixOut．The command FIX Out＂ABC＂；excludes the terms $<\mathrm{ABC}>,<\mathrm{ABCD}>,<\mathrm{ABCE}>,<\mathrm{ABCDE}>$ ， $<\mathrm{ABCF}>,<\mathrm{ABCDF}>,<\mathrm{ABCEF}>,<\mathrm{ABCDEF}>,<\mathrm{ABCG}>$ ，etc．from models．But the terms $<\mathrm{AB}>,<\mathrm{AC}>$ and $<\mathrm{BC}>$ are not excluded．

So to exclude edges in the graphical search only generators with cardinality 2 （edges）should be given to FixOut．

Using the commands FIX In $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；and FIX Out $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；（and ADD FIX In $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle ;$ ，ADD FIX Out $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；，REDO FIX In $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；and REDO FIX Out $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；）of this section will only set up fixing for future found models．No interactions will be added to or removed from already found models．Thus if the EH－procedure already has been used the duals and model－classes should be disposed of by the command DISPOSE OF EH；

## Main effects

SET MAIN EFFECTS $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；
The factors to be included in the search are set with SET MAIN EFFECTS $\langle s e t\rangle$ ；， default－value：＊，that is，all factors read are used in the EH－procedure．

The EH－procedure can also be restricted to a subset of the declared factors by the command READ BASE MODEL $\langle$ model $\rangle$ ；or by giving sets of cardinality one to the FIX Out ；command．But CoCo works more efficiently，if the command SET READ Subset
$\langle$ subset $\rangle$ ；is used when reading data instead of using FIX Out；，SET MAIN EFFECTS； or READ BASE MODEL；commands in the EH－procedure．

## 10．2．3 Read Base Model

READ BASE MODEL 〈model〉；
With the command READ BASE MODEL $\langle$ model $\rangle$ ；a base model is read for the EH－ procedure．Tests in the EH－procedure are then performed against the read model．It is not the same model as the base model used outside the EH－procedure，since READ BASE MODEL 〈model〉；effects FixIn and FixOut．Terms not in the base model are FixOut，i．e．，FixOut is set to the dual representation of SearchBase．Since there is no distinction between FixOut due to the FixOut－command and FixOut due to READ BASE MODEL $\langle$ model $\rangle$ ；and since a large SearchBase would give less FixOut due to SearchBase，previous FixOut is cancelled by the use of the command READ BASE MODEL 〈model〉；．Use REDO FIX Out；to redo previous FixOut．

## 10．2．4 Interaction between FixIn，FixOut and SearchBase

## Effect of FixIn on FixOut

FixIn terms are removed from FixOut，i．e．，FixIn is added to the normal representation of FixOut，the maximal terms in the models．

## Effect of FixIn on SearchBase

FixIn has no effect on SearchBase，but only edges in SearchBase are Fixed In．FixIn is reduced．It could have been chosen that the SearchBase with the FixIn command is extended with edges in FixIn but not in SearchBase．The extended SearchBase is printed by the use of the command FIX In $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ ；，but not used．

## Effect of FixOut on FixIn

FixOut terms in FixIn are removed from FixIn，i．e．，FixIn is restricted to normal representation of FixOut．

## Effect of FixOut on SearchBase

FixOut has no effect on SearchBase，but terms not in the SearchBase are added to FixOut．

## Effect of SearchBase on FixIn

Only terms in the SearchBase can be FixIn．FixIn thus is reduced by SearchBase．

## Effect of SearchBase on FixOut

Terms not included in the base model are FixOut, i.e., FixOut is set to the dual representation of SearchBase. Since there is no distinction between FixOut due to the FIX Out ;-command and due to READ BASE MODEL; and since a large SearchBase would give less FixOut due to SearchBase, previous FixOut is cancelled. Use REDO FIX Out; to redo previous FixOut.

### 10.2.5 Add FixIn and FixOut

A new FIX Out;-command will clear the FixOut. The command ADD FIX Out $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$; will add $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ to the previous FixOut. The FixIn is effected as described in a previous section by the argument $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ in the ADD FIX Out;command.

Similarly a new FIX In $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$;-command will clear the FixIn. The command ADD FIX In; will add $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ to the previous FixIn. The FixOut is affected as described in the previous section by the argument $\langle e d g e s / g c\rangle$ in the ADD FIX In;command.

### 10.2.6 Redo FixIn and FixOut

The command REDO FIX Out; will redo the combination of the last FIX Out;command and following ADD FIX Out;-commands. FixIn is then edited by the total specified FixOut.

Similarly will the command REDO FIX In; redo the combination of the last FIX In;-command and following ADD FIX In;-commands. FixOut is then edited by the totally specified FixIn.

### 10.3 Selecting Model Class and Search Strategy

```
SET { Graphical | Hierarchical } SEARCH;
SET { Smallest | Alternating | Rough } SEARCH;
```

The option set by these command can also be given as an argument to the command EH; But by setting the option with this command, e.g., the calls of the directed search is shorter to type.

Describing the command gives the possibility of describing the sub-classes, to which the EH-procedure is restricted:

### 10.3.1 Graphical Search

In the graphical search, for each step either all not classified models in the graphical A-dual are fitted or all not classified models in the graphical R-dual are fitted.
$D_{A}^{g}(\mathcal{R})$ : The graphical A-dual $D_{A}^{g}(\mathcal{R})$ of the rejected models is the minimal models in the class of models that adds at least one edge to each rejected model.
$D_{R}^{g}(\mathcal{A})$ : The graphical R-dual $D_{R}^{g}(\mathcal{A})$ of the accepted models is the maximal models in the class of models that omits at least one edge from each accepted model.

The command SET Graphical SEARCH; will set up the EH-procedure to a graphical search, and EH; Graphical will perform a model selection among graphical models, i.e., the graphical A-dual and R-dual are used.

### 10.3.2 Decomposable Mode

By a naive approach the selection can be restricted to decomposable models: nondecomposable models in the duals to fit are simply ignored. Non-decomposable models in the search are ignored with the command SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE On; before commands EH; and FIT; The search is then performed among only decomposable models. (This can also be performed by EH;Decomposable) After a search among only decomposable models, a search among graphical models can be performed with the result of the decomposable search as a starting-point. DecomposableMode is turned off again by typing SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE Off;.

Since non-decomposable models in the graphical dual are just ignored in the decomposable search, there might still be graphical and decomposable models to fit, when both the set $D_{A}^{g}(\mathcal{R}) \backslash \mathcal{A}$ of not classified models in the graphical A-dual and the set $D_{R}^{g}(\mathcal{A}) \backslash \mathcal{R}$ of not classified models in the graphical R-dual contain no more decomposable models. So the decomposable search should be followed by a graphical search. One might consider adding edges to or removing edges from the non-decomposable models in the graphical duals in the models selection among decomposable models.

Since a lot of computing time in the search module is used to find duals, and not, as it might be expected, in computing the tests and fitting the models by the IPSalgorithm, the usefulness of the decomposable search is doubtful (unless exact tests are to be used).

If ExactTest is on, then DecomposableMode also should be on. If ExactTest is on and DecomposableMode is off, then asymptotic $p$-values will be used for the nondecomposable models.

Note that the commands EH Decomposable;, EH Graphical; and EH Hierarchical; set DecomposableMode to off.

If no models are entered or found by EH-procedure, the search is started with the saturated model accepted and the model with only main effects rejected.

### 10.3.3 Hierarchical Search

In the hierarchical search we for each step either fit all not classified models in the hierarchical A-dual or all not classified models in the hierarchical R-dual.
$D_{A}(\mathcal{R})$ : The hierarchical A-dual $D_{A}(\mathcal{R})$ of the rejected models is the minimal models in the class of models that adds at least one interaction term to each rejected model.
$D_{R}(\mathcal{A})$ : The hierarchical R-dual $D_{R}(\mathcal{A})$ of the accepted models is the maximal models in the class of models that sets at least one interaction term in all accepted models to zero.

The command SET Hierarchical SEARCH; will set the search-module up to a hierarchical search, and EH; Hierarchical will perform a model selection among hierarchical models, i.e., the hierarchical A-dual and R-dual are used.

If the hierarchical search follows a graphical search, the regions found in the graphical search are used as a starting point in the hierarchical search, else the search is started with the saturated model accepted and the model with only main effects rejected, or any models can be entered into the two classes.

### 10.4 Dispose of the Model Classes and Duals

DISPOSE OF EH [ All | Duals | A-dual | R-Dual | Classes | Accepted | Rejected ];

The command DISPOSE OF EH; will dispose of the accepted models, the rejected models, the $A$ dual and the $R$ dual.

### 10.5 Read and Fit Models or Force Models into Classes

### 10.5.1 Fit Some Models

FIT Models $\langle$ models $\rangle$;
With FIT Models $\langle$ models $\rangle$; you can enter a list of models that is classified into accepted and rejected models, and start the model-search from there. The argument $\langle$ models $\rangle$ is a set of models, a set of generating classes as a generating class is a set of sets, e.g.,

FIT Models [ [[A] [B] [C]] [[AB][AC] [BC]] ];
or the shorter form
FIT Models $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{BC}$; ;
can be used.

### 10.5.2 Reading Accepted and Rejected Models

ACCEPT Models $\langle$ models $\rangle$;
REJECT Models $\langle$ models $\rangle$;
With the commands ACCEPT Models 〈models ; and REJECT Models $\langle$ models $\rangle$; the entered models are forced into the accepted region and the rejected region respectively. The argument $\langle$ models $\rangle$ is a set of models as at the command FIT Models $\langle$ models $\rangle$;

### 10.6 Copy Models Between the Models-List and the Search Classes

The following commands are used to copy models between the model list and the classes of models in the EH-procedure.

### 10.6.1 Models from List to Search Classes

```
FIT { Base | Current | Last | Number <a> | All models | Interval of
    models <a> <b> };
ACCEPT { Base | Current | Last | Number <a> | All models | Interval of
    models <a> <b> };
REJECT { Base | Current | Last | Number <a> | All models | Interval of
    models <a> <b> };
```

Models from the model list are fitted and put into a model class in the EH-procedure according to whether the models are accepted or rejected, or models from the model list are forced into a model class of the EH-procedure regardless of whether the model is accepted or rejected.

### 10.6.2 Models from Search Classes to Model List

```
EXTRACT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual | R-dual
    | Accepted | Rejected };
```

This command is used to copy a model class or a dual from the EH-procedure to the model list.

### 10.7 Find Duals

```
FIND DUAL [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual |
    R-dual | Both duals };
```

the commands FIND A-dual;, FIND R-dual; and FIND Both duals; can be used, if you want to see the dual by STATUS Search; before fitting models.

If the keyword Decomposable, Graphical or Hierarchical is given, then the class of models given by the keyword is used in the FIND DUAL;-command, and the requested class is also selected in subsequential EH-commands needing a model class, else the class of models to use is selected by the options set by the commands SET \{ Graphical | Hierarchical \} SEARCH; and SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE \{ On | Off \}; or other commands setting model class.

### 10.8 Directed Search

```
FIT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual | R-dual |
    Both duals | Smallest dual | Largest dual };
```

This command is used to do a single step of the EH-procedure.

The keywords Decomposable, Graphical and Hierarchical is as for the FIND DUAL;-command.

### 10.8.1 Fit Smallest Dual

With the command FIT Smallest-dual; both duals are found (if not already found), and all not classified models in the dual with the fewest not classified models are fitted and classified into accepted and rejected models.

### 10.8.2 Fit Largest Dual

With the command FIT Largest-dual; both duals are found (if not already found), and all not classified models in the largest dual are fitted and classified into accepted and rejected models.

### 10.8.3 Fit R-Dual

The command FIT R-dual; finds (if not found) and fits the R dual. Repeated use of FIT R-dual; gives a backward elimination. When there are no more models to fit, then the command FIT R-dual; will use little computing time, so extra FIT R-dual;-commands will only produce output without using much computing time.

### 10.8.4 Fit A-Dual

The command FIT A-dual; finds (if not found) and fits the A dual. As at the FIT R-dual;-command extra FIT A-dual;-commands will only produce output without using much computing time when there are no more models to fit in the A dual.

### 10.8.5 Fit Both Duals

FIT Both-duals; fits all not classified models in both duals as they are. Note that this need not give the same as FIND A-dual; followed by FIND R-dual;.

### 10.9 Automatic Search

```
EH [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] [ Smallest |
    Alternating | Rough ];
```

This command is used to do a recursive search by the EH-procedure.
If the keyword Decomposable, Graphical or Hierarchical is given, then the class of models given by the keyword is used in the EH-command, and the requested class is also selected in subsequential EH-commands needing a model class, else the class of models to use is selected by the options set by the commands SET \{ Graphical | Hierarchical \} SEARCH; and SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE \{ On | Off \}; or other commands setting model class.

If the keyword Smallest, Alternating or Rough is given, then the strategy given by the keyword is used, and the strategy will also be used in subsequential EH;commands, else the strategy set by the command SET \{ Smallest | Alternating | Rough \} SEARCH; or previous use of the EH;-command is used.

The three commands

```
EH Decomposable;
EH Graphical;
EH Hierarchical;
```

will do a graphical search ignoring non-decomposable models, a graphical search and a hierarchical search respectively.

Below are described three strategies for selecting the dual to fit in each step of the EH-search. Which of the three strategies to use is set by the command SET \{ Smallest | Alternating | Rough \} SEARCH; or by a keyword argument of the EH;-command. For the time being the strategy Smallest is default.

Which of the three strategies is the fastest depends on the situation. Try them all three (they need not give the same result since e.g., weakly rejected models may be accepted). See the ReportFile for where CoCo uses computing time. Find some models (by e.g., BACKWARD;) and copy them to the EH-procedure by the commands FIT; , ACCEPT; and REJECT; . Before the automatic search is started you can do some directed search by the command FIT;

### 10.9.1 Smallest Automatic

With EH Smallest; for each step (classification of all not already classified models in a dual into the accepted and the rejected regions) in the search, both duals are found, and the dual with the fewest not classified models is selected for the next step. This will often minimize the number of fitted models, but sometimes a lot of computing time is used for finding large duals that are not used.

### 10.9.2 Rough Automatic

With this strategy rough estimates of the dual sizes are found after each step, and the dual with the smallest rough estimate of dual size is selected for the next step. The rough estimate of dual size is the product of the number of edges (or interaction-terms) in the model (or the dual representation of the model) over all models in the class $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{R}$. Since it sometimes avoids finding large duals that are not used, this can be effective. By this strategy the complete search in Edwards \& Havránek (1985) on a 6 dimensional table is done by CoCo in less than 700 milliseconds on a SPARCstation.

### 10.9.3 Alternating Automatic

The R-dual and the A-dual of the two model classes are in turn classified with the command EH Alternating; . In a few examples, where alternating fitting the R-dual and the A-dual is optimal, this is the fastest. But often the strategy will start to select the largest dual, and then this strategy will be the slowest.

The automatic search stops when either all models in $D_{A}(\mathcal{R}) \backslash \mathcal{A}$ are accepted or all models in $D_{R}(\mathcal{A}) \backslash \mathcal{R}$ are rejected.

### 10.10 Force a Dual into a Model Class

```
ACCEPT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual | R-dual |
    Both duals };
REJECT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] {A-dual | R-dual |
        Both duals };
```

The command ACCEPT R-dual; adds the R-dual of the accepted models to the accepted models.

The command REJECT A-dual; adds the A-dual of the rejected models to the rejected models.

If we add the A-dual of the rejected models to the accepted models the search will be finished. So this command does not exist. The A-dual of the accepted models can be added to the accepted models by ACCEPT A-dual;. Similarly the R-dual of the rejected models can be added to the rejected models by REJECT R-dual;.

## Chapter 11

## Miscellaneous Options for Controlling Input, Output and Algorithms

The SET ...;-commands of this chapter and of chapter 8 perform simple changes of a few variables. (Since EXCLUDE MISSING In $\langle$ set $\rangle$;, EXCLUDE MISSING [ On | Off ] ; and EM ON ; change the whole data-set, they are not SET . . . ;-commands.)

Commands with the two optional key-words On and Off, like SET DIARY [ On | Off ];, are "switches". SET DIARY On; turns the diary on and SET DIARY Off; turns the diary off. SET DIARY; with no argument turns the diary on if it is off, off if it is on.

The command STATUS; gives information about factors declared, names on files, data selection declared, data structure selected, print formats, IPS-convergence criterion, diary, time, echo, workspace etc.

```
STATUS [ Specification | Observations | Files | Formats | Fix | Tests
    | Exact | Ips | Em | EH | Limits | Other ];
```

E.g., STATUS EH; prints accepted and rejected models, duals and FixIn, FixOut and BaseModel if any.

Use STATUS Files; to see the current or default file-names.
RESTART;
The command RESTART; will restart CoCo, e.i., clear all settings in CoCo.

### 11.1 Print formats

```
SET TABLE FORMATS <width\rangle\langledec-prob.\rangle\langledec-expt.\rangle\langledec-diff.\rangle;
SET TEST FORMATS \langlex-width\rangle\langlex-dec.\rangle\langleprob-width\rangle\langleprob-dec.\rangle;
SET SHORT [ On | Off ];
```


## SET PRINT FORMATS 〈format－width〉〈decimals〉； <br> SET PAGE FORMATS 〈line－length〉〈page－length〉；

Print formats for tables of cell－values：The format of the numbers printed in the table produced by PRINT TABLE ．．．；is controlled by the command SET TABLE FORMATS $\langle$ width〉〈decimals－prob．〉〈decimals－expt．〉〈decimals－residual〉；The values set by the arguments $\langle$ width $\rangle$ and $\langle$ decimal－residual $\rangle$ of this command are also used in the procedure DESCRIBE TABLE ．．．；

Print formats for test－statistics in tables：The print－width and number of decimals of the statistics and probabilities in tables of tests are set with the command SET TEST FORMATS 〈width－statistic〉〈decimals－statistic〉〈width probabil－ ity $\rangle\langle$ decimals－probability $\rangle$ ；

With the command SET SHORT Off；you get detailed output from the com－ mands GENERATE DECOMPOSABLE MODEL；GENERATE GRAPHICAL MODEL；DROP EDGES $\langle e d g e-l i s t\rangle ;$ ，ADD EDGES $\langle e d g e-l i s t\rangle ;$ ，DROP INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle ;$ ，ADD INTERACTIONS $\langle g c\rangle ;$ ，MEET OF MODELS；，JOIN OF MODELS；，DROP FACTOR 〈factor〉；，REMOVE TOTAL INTERACTION 〈set〉；REMOVE GENERATOR 〈set〉；REDUCE GENERATOR 〈set〉；FORWARD ［ EDGES｜INTERACTIONS ］；，BACKWARD［ EDGES｜INTERACTIONS ］；，FACTORIZE ONE EDGE；，FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION；and PARTITIONING TEST；，e．i．，not in ta－ ble format．Use SET SHORT On；to get the results of these commands in a table．

Print formats for all other commands：The command SET PRINT FORMATS $\langle$ format－width〉〈decimals〉；sets the width and number of decimals used when printing results from the commands TEST；FIND LOG（L）；etc．

The arguments $\langle$ line－length $\rangle$ and $\langle$ page－length $\rangle$ in the command SET PAGE FORMATS $\langle$ line－length $\rangle\langle$ page－length $\rangle$ ；control sizes of plots and histograms．The sorted list gen－ erated by DESCRIBE TABLE ．．．；is only printed if it can fit onto two pages determined by $\langle$ line－length $\rangle$ and $\langle$ page－length $\rangle$ ．

Use STATUS Formats；to see the current setting of formats．

## 11．1．1 Pausing

SET PAUSE［ On｜Off ］；
SET PAGING LENGTH 〈number of lines〉；
With Pausing set on by SET PAUSE［ On｜Off ］；（Default：Off）the output is paused for each written 22 lines（after last NewPage），if EndOfLine is found after the total command is read by CoCo．E．g．，if Pausing is on，then CoCo will pause for each printed 22 lines after STATUS；．If Pausing is on，then CoCo will not pause during the status command，if STATUS；；or STATUS；READ MODEL A，B．；is entered．The next 22 lines are printed by pressing＂Return＂．If a ；is entered when pausing，then the command will finish without pausing．The number of lines to print between pausing are set by SET PAGING LENGTH〈number of lines〉；（Default：22）．See also section 2．6．

Use STATUS Formats；to see the current setting of pausing．

## 11．2 Input files

## 11．2．1 Input from keyboard or file

SET KEYBOARD［ On｜Off ］；
With this switch one selects between reading from file or from standard input．（De－ fault：From the data file）．After the command SET KEYBOARD On；the arguments to the commands READ SPECIFICATION［ 〈specification〉］；，READ FACTORS［ 〈factor－ list $\rangle$ ］；READ NAMES［ 〈name－list〉］；，READ OBSERVATIONS［＜observations〉］；， READ LIST［ 〈list of cases〉］；and READ TABLE［ 〈table〉］；are read from where the command SET KEYBOARD On；is read（normally keyboard，else from the source file where the command is read）．After SET KEYBOARD Off；the arguments to the six＂read＂commands are read from the default data file or the data file named by the commands SET INPUTFILE SPECIFICATION 〈file－name〉；SET INPUTFILE OBSERVATIONS $\langle$ file－name〉；and SET INPUTFILE DATA $\langle$ file－name〉；

## 11．2．2 Data－files

SET INPUTFILE SPECIFICATION〈file－name〉；
SET INPUTFILE OBSERVATIONS 〈file－name〉；
SET INPUTFILE DATA〈file－name〉；
These commands set the names on DataFiles from which the specification and the observations are read．The Specification and the observations can be read from separate files．Note that the files are rewinded when the commands are used．See section 3.1 for further details．

Use STATUS Files；to see the setting of inputfiles．

## 11．2．3 Standard Input：Source

SET INPUTFILE COMMANDS 〈file－name〉；
The abbreviation SOURCE 〈file－name〉；is available．After this command input is read from the SourceFile 〈file－name〉．When EndOfFile is found on the file 〈file－ name〉 input is expected from the file（or standard input）where the command SET INPUTFILE COMMANDS 〈file－name〉；（SOURCE 〈file－name〉；）was given．

When CoCo is started the files ．cocorc（COCD．SRC under DOS）of the home－ directory of CoCo and ．cocorc（COCO．SRC under DOS）of the current directory are read by CoCo as a source file．

## 11．3 Output files

Besides on the standard output file CoCo can write on a diary，a dump file，a log file and a report file．

Use STATUS Files；to see the current（or default）file－names．

## 11．3．1 Standard Output：Sink

SET OUTPUTFILE RESULTS 〈file－name〉；
SET OUTPUTFILE COMMANDS 〈file－name〉；
On DOS the output from CoCo seen on the screen is divided into two parts：1） results from procedures and 2）output from the parser．The output from procedures can then be redirected to a printer without loosing the prompt etc．on the screen with： SET OUTPUTFILE RESULTS ：LPR；If you want to see what is going on on the screen： Dump the reply from the parser somewhere：SET OUTPUTFILE COMMANDS garbage； and get the diary on the screen：SET DIARY On；SET OUTPUTFILE DIARY ：CON ．；

On Unix the two commands SET OUTPUTFILE RESULTS 〈file－name〉；and SET OUTPUTFILE COMMANDS 〈file－name〉；are the same，and will redirect the standard out－ put to the file $\langle$ file－name〉．The abbreviation SINK $\langle$ file－name〉；for SET OUTPUTFILE RESULTS〈file－name〉；is available．

```
11.3.2 Diary
SET OUTPUTFILE DIARY 〈file-name〉;
SET KEEP DIARY [ On | Off ];
SET DIARY [ On | Off ];
```

A diary of everything shown on the screen can be kept with the command SET DIARY［ On｜Off ］；（Default：Off）．The default name of the DiaryFile is ／tmp／CoCo．diary．no．pid－number under Unix and COCO？？？．DIA in the current di－ rectory under DOS．Another DiaryFile can be named by SET OUTPUTFILE DIARY $\langle$ file－name〉；．Note that this command rewrites the file 〈file－name〉 and that under DOS，the file COCO？？？．DIA is rewritten every time CoCo is invoked．If the DiaryFile is not renamed，the DiaryFile is removed，when CoCo terminates normally，unless the command SET KEEP DIARY［ On｜Off ］；is used．

## 11．3．3 Log－file

```
SET OUTPUTFILE LOG〈file-name\rangle;
SET KEEP LOG [ On | Off ];
SET LOG [ On | Off ];
SET DATA LOG [ On | Off ];
```

A $\log$ of input to CoCo is written to the LogFile unless the commands SET LOG［ On｜Off ］；and SET DATA LOG［ On｜Off ］；are used（Default：Both On）．The LogFile can be read from of CoCo as SourceFile．Input read from DataFiles is copied to the LogFile as comments．The default name of the LogFile is COCO？？？．LOG in the current directory under DOS and／tmp／CoCo．log．no．pid－number under Unix． Another LogFile can be named by SET OUTPUTFILE LOG 〈file－name〉；．Note that this command rewrites the file $\langle$ file－name〉 and that under DOS，the file COCO？？？．LOG is rewritten every time CoCo is invoked．If the LogFile is not renamed，the LogFile is removed，when CoCo terminates normally，unless the command SET KEEP LOG［ On｜Off ］；is used．

## 11．3．4 Dump－file

SET OUTPUTFILE DUMP 〈file－name〉；
SET KEEP DUMP［ On｜Off ］；
SET DUMP［ On｜Off ］；
Output from the two commands RETURN VECTOR 〈value－name〉〈set〉；and RETURN MATRIX 〈list of value－names；$\rangle\langle s e t\rangle$ ；is copied to the DumpFile，if Dump is on（Default： Off）．

The default name of the DumpFile is COCO？？？．DMP in the current directory un－ der DOS and／tmp／CoCo．dump．no．pid－number under Unix．Another DumpFile can be named by the command SET OUTPUTFILE DUMP $\langle$ file－name $\rangle$ ；Note that this com－ mand rewrites the file $\langle$ file－name〉 and that under DOS，the file COCO？？？．DMP is rewrit－ ten every time CoCo is invoked．If the DumpFile is not renamed，the DumpFile is re－ moved，when CoCo terminates normally，unless the command SET KEEP DUMP［ On ｜Off ］；is used．

## 11．3．5 Report－file

SET OUTPUTFILE REPORT 〈file－name〉；
SET KEEP REPORT［ On｜Off ］；
Computing－time used in the IPS－algorithm，number of cycles in the IPS－algorithm， computing－time used in the EM－algorithm，number of cycles in the EM－algorithm， time used to find duals in the EH－procedure etc．are reported in the ReportFile．This reporting cannot be turned off．The default name of the ReportFile is COCO？？？．RPT in the current directory under DOS and／tmp／CoCo．report．no．pid－number under Unix．Another ReportFile can be named by SET OUTPUTFILE REPORT 〈file－name〉； Note that this command rewrites the file $\langle$ file－name〉 and that under DOS，the file COCO？？？．RPT is rewritten every time CoCo is invoked．If the ReportFile is not renamed，the ReportFile is removed，when CoCo terminates normally，unless the command SET KEEP REPORT［ On｜Off ］；is used．

## 11．4 Timer

SET TIMER［ On｜Off ］；
Printing of computer－time used on each command can be turned on and off with SET TIMER；SET TIMER On；and SET TIMER Off；（Default：Off）．

## 11．5 Controlling Algorithms

## SET ALGORITHM \｛ A｜B｜C｜D｜．．．\};

The command SET ALGORITHM；will set an option to choose between different algo－ rithms for factorization，computation of the Gamma coefficient，exact $p$－value，fill－in， etc．See chapter 6 and the online help information of CoCo for further details．

## 11．5．1 Controlling the IPS－algorithm

SET IPS \｛ Cell｜Sum \};
SET IPS EPSILON 〈epsilon〉；
SET IPS ITERATIONS $\langle$ max $\rangle$ ；
The convergence criterion for the IPS－algorithm can either be convergence of cell－probabilities or convergence of $\log (\mathrm{L})$ ．If cell－probabilities are chosen，the IPS－ algorithm is repeated until the difference between estimated cell－probabilities from one cycle to the next for all cells is less than epsilon，or until the maximum number of cycles is obtained．The command SET IPS Cell；sets convergence criterion to cell－ probabilities．SET IPS Sum；sets convergence criterion to $\log (\mathrm{L})$ ：The IPS－algorithm is repeated until the difference between estimated $\log (\mathrm{L})$－values from one cycle to the next is less than $\langle e p s i l o n\rangle$ or until $\langle$ maximum cycles $\rangle$ ．〈Epsilon $\rangle$ and $\langle$ maximum cy－ cles〉 are set with SET IPS EPSILON 〈epsilon〉；and SET IPS ITERATIONS〈maximum cycles $\rangle$ ；．

Number of cycles，difference between convergence criterion for last two cycles and computing time of the IPS algorithm for each non－decomposable irreducible compo－ nent is reported in the ReportFile．

Use STATUS Ips；to see the current values．

## 11．5．2 Controlling the EM－algorithm

SET EM INITIAL \｛ Uniform｜First｜Last｜Mean｜Random｜Input \};
SET EM EPSILON 〈epsilon〉；
SET EM ITERATIONS $\langle m a x\rangle$ ；
The EM－algorithm is selected by EM ON ；．Initial values are chosen by the command SET EM INITIAL \｛ Uniform｜First｜Last｜Mean｜Random｜Input \}; See section 3．9．4 for further details．

Cycles in the EM－algorithm is repeated until the difference between values of the deviance for each cycle is less than the $\langle\epsilon\rangle$ set by SET EM EPSILON $\langle\epsilon\rangle$ ；．SET EM ITERATIONS $\langle\max \rangle$ ；controls the maximum cycles in the EM－algorithm．

If Report is on，the likelihood ratio test statistics are reported for each step in the EM－algorithm．If Trace is on，the probability for each cell for each case is reported for each step in the EM－algorithm．

Use STATUS Em；to see the current values．

## 11．5．3 Data structure

Do not worry about the options of the section，since CoCo has been become quite good at selecting the data structure．But for those interested a short description of the data structures is given：

```
SET DATASTRUCTURE { All | Necessary | File | Large };
SET LARGE [ On | Off ];
SET HUGE [ On | Off ];
SET SORTED [ On | Off ];
```

After reading specification (and the use of SET READ \{ All | Subset 〈set〉\};) CoCo chooses automatically between three internal data structures for marginal tables:

1) All marginal tables: If the dimension of the table is low (less than or equal to 9 for binary factors in PC) there is space for finding all marginal tables. In, e.g., a model search you will then save some time.
2) Necessary tables: If there is no space for all marginal tables but space for reading the full table into an array and it is expected that the full table can be read faster than the list of cases, the data structure Necessary tables is selected. On UNIX the size of the N-array is controlled by the option $N$ [size] when invoking CoCo. When performing a test necessary marginal tables are then found. Necessary marginal tables are sufficient marginal tables + intersections of sufficient marginal tables.
3) File: If the full table cannot fit into the memory (dimension is higher than 15 on PC) the data structure File is selected. Observations are then placed on an internal file.

On the PC you are then able to compute $\log (\mathrm{L})$ for a model with 64 factors, if the largest clique in the graph for the model has 14 vertices at the most and there are no more than 13 vertices in the largest non-decomposable atom (or more precisely, if the state-space of each irreducible component after adding a fill-in can fit into the P -array).

The command STATUS Limits; will show the selected data structure.
After reading specification (and SET READ Subset $\langle a\rangle$; ) you can change the choice of data structure with the commands SET DATASTRUCTURE All; SET DATASTRUCTURE Necessary;, SET DATASTRUCTURE File;, SET LARGE On; and SET LARGE Off;. If, e.g., you have a 6 -dimensional table with binary factors then read data with the three commands READ SPECIFICATION; SET DATASTRUCTURE Necessary; and READ OBSERVATIONS; instead of READ DATA; to avoid finding all marginal tables.

If there is space for reading the full table into an array but it is expected that the list of cases can be read faster than the full table (it is expected that the table is sparsed) the data structure File is selected and a message like "If more than ... cases then use SET DATASTRUCTURE NECESSARY between READ SPECIFICATION and READ OBSERVATIONS" is stated. The time used to read tables and lists of cases depends on the computer. When reading the data, CoCo then uses time to find out which data structure is the fastest on your computer for the particular dataset. In subsequent runs of CoCo on the dataset, force CoCo to use the selected data structure with SET DATASTRUCTURE Necessary; or SET DATASTRUCTURE File; between the two commands READ SPECIFICATION; and READ OBSERVATIONS;

If Large is off and there is sufficient workspace in the N-array and in the P-array when performing tests all necessary marginal tables are found and the IPS-algorithm is run on all non-decomposable atoms before computing $\log (\mathrm{L})$. If Large is on, marginal tables (of observations and probabilities) are found and used in computing $\log (\mathrm{L})$ one at a time, e.i., you force CoCo to dispose of sufficient marginal tables as soon as the are used.

With SET HUGE Off; you prevent CoCo from finding the deviance without storing the sufficient marginal tables when the tables are to big to store.

### 11.5.4 DOS: Overlays

```
coco -096000 -R32000 -T;
```

By default, the size of the overlay buffer is set to 96.000 Bytes. The probation area is one-third of the overlay buffer size. The size of the overlay buffer and the probation area can be controlled by the options 0 and $R$ when invoking CoCo.

If you increase the size of the overlay buffer, there will be used less computing-time on swapping, but the size off the heap for models, tests, etc. will decrease.

If the disk is continuously accessed during your commands (not just a few times for each command) you should increase the size of the overlay buffer. If the computation of the adjusted number of degrees of freedom is set of by SET ADJUSTED DF Off ; then the space needed for overlays is smaller.

Or if you run out of space in the heap for models, tests, classes of models and duals in the EH-procedure etc. you could restart with a smaller overlay buffer size (minimum 31.000 Bytes).

If the overlay buffer size is changed, but not the size of the probation area, then the size of the probation area is set to one-third of the overlay buffer size.

The option T will cause trace of swapping.

### 11.5.5 Unix: Size of N -, P- and Q-arrays

coco -N65536 -P65535 -Q1024;
Options for setting the initial size of N-, P- and Q-arrays at run-time at Unix.
If the table spanned by the factors of a test can not fit onto the N -array then Pearson's chi-square and the power divergence can not be computed, and if all sufficient marginal tables of the two models of a test can not fit into the P-array then the adjusted degrees of freedom can not be computed. The state-space of each irreducible component after adding a fill-in has to fit into the P-array for CoCo to be able to compute the deviance.

On Unix size of the $\mathrm{N}-, \mathrm{P}$ - and Q-arrays are increased automatic by CoCo when needed and as long as the size do not get larger than $1048576=2^{20}$. This upper limit is set to prevent CoCo from allocating too big arrays. These big arrays will enable CoCo to compute, e.g., the adjusted degrees of freedom in large models, and much computing time will thus be required. The deviance can often be found without these big arrays faster.

If bigger arrays are wanted (for computing Pearson's chi-square, the power divergence, the adjusted degrees of freedom and exact tests), select these with the command line options $N$ and $P$.

Note that the option $N$ [size] controls the selected data structure.

### 11.5.6 The workspace in the heap

DISPOSE OF TABLES;
DISPOSE OF PROBABILITIES;
DISPOSE OF ALL Q-TABLES;
DISPOSE OF Q-TABLE $\langle s e t\rangle$;
The two commands DISPOSE OF TABLES; and DISPOSE OF PROBABILITIES; will dispose of sufficient marginal tables and tables of estimated cell probabilities. These tables can safely be disposed of when necessary to give CoCo more workspace, since these are found again when needed. Disposing of these tables will not release much space in the heap.

On a PC, remember to dispose of unused models with the command DISPOSE OF MODEL; before using all space in the heap.

The commands DISPOSE OF ALL Q-TABLES; and DISPOSE OF Q-TABLE $\langle s e t\rangle$; disposes of tables of initial values for the IPS-algorithm. Disposing of these tables will not release much space in the heap.

The command RESTART; clears all tables, model, specifications etc.

### 11.6 Miscellaneous Options for Tracing and Debugging

### 11.6.1 Echo and Note

SET ECHO [ On | Off ];
SET NOTE [ On | Off ];
The echo from the command-parser can be turned on and off with the switch SET ECHO [ On | Off ]; (Default: Off). The SET NOTE [ On | Off ]; controls a note when some commands have finished (Default: Off).

### 11.6.2 Report, Trace and Debug

```
SET REPORT [ On | Off ];
SET TRACE [ On | Off ];
SET DEBUG [ On | Off ];
SET OPTION { On | Off } \langlenumber\rangle [ \langlevalue\rangle];
```

Report On will cause reporting of likelihood ratio test-statistics $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$, e.i., the deviance, for each cycle in the EM-algorithm and cumulated number of zeros in computing the adjusted number of degrees of freedom (Default: Off). Report Off will not suppress printing on the ReportFile of time used in the IPS-algorithm and time used to find duals.

Trace will give a more detailed reporting (Default: Off).
The two commands SET DEBUG [ On | Off ]; and SET OPTION \{ On | Off $\}\langle$ number $\rangle[\langle$ value $\rangle]$; are used by the author for debugging (Default: Off).

### 11.6.3 Graph mode

SET GRAPH MODE [ On | Off ];
After the command SET GRAPH MODE On; estimation and tests are skipped (Default: Off). You are then able to investigate graph-theoretical results of using the commands PRINT FORMULA;, PRINT VERTEX ORDERING;, PARTITIONING;, FACTORIZE ONE EDGE;, FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION;, DROP EDGES ...;, ADD EDGES ...;, REDUCE GENERATOR $\langle s e t\rangle$; , etc. in very large graphs.

GraphMode is most safely turned off again by ending CoCo and restarting, since the IPS-algorithm is suppressed when reading models with GraphMode on.

### 11.7 Interrupts

SET INTERRUPT \{ A | B \} \{ On | Off \};
On unix the command SET INTERRUPT A Off; will re-install the normal Control-C-interrupt-handler. After use of SET INTERRUPT A On; (Default) a Control-C will terminate the computation of an exact test and the IPS-algorithm.

SET INTERRUPT B Off; will re-install the normal Control-\-interrupt-handler.
Control- $\backslash$ or two close Control-C's will terminate the EM-algorithm, factorization of a test, a BACKWARD;-command, a FORWARD;-command or the computation of a dual in the EH-procedure after SET INTERRUPT B On; (Default).

A Control-C will return the action to the lisp listener when the interrupt is given within a CoCo-function of CoCo loaded into XLISP-STAT.

## Chapter 12

## CoCo in other systems

CoCo can on UNIX systems be loaded dynamically into XLISP-STAT (Tierney 1991) and S-Plus (Becker, Chambers \& Wilks 1988) (and may be into New S, if the function dyn.load2() is available). This feature is only tested on Sparc.

The purpose of loading CoCo dynamically into XLISP-STAT is besides the system "Xlisp+CoCo - A Tool for Graphical Models" to be able to do further computations on fitted table values and statistics, plot output from CoCo by high-resolution graphics or, e.g., do a model search by a strategy implemented in Lisp. Data (specification of table and the table of counts) and models can be sent to the CoCo object. Tables and test-values can be returned.

When CoCo is loaded into XLISP-STAT or S-Plus the system demands more memory and is somewhat less stable than any of the 3 programs alone, although it is a long time since the author unexpected has crashed the system. If you are, e.g., going to do a lot of computation in XLISP-STAT or S-Plus without using CoCo-functions or you are doing model search in CoCo, run XLISP-STAT, S-Plus or CoCo respectively without linking the two programs together.

A CoCo object file is linked together with S-Plus or XLISP-STAT. Data (specification of table and the table of counts) and models can be sent to the CoCo object. Table and test-values can be returned.

Interface-functions for all the CoCo-commands are implemented only for XLISPSTAT. Only the most necessary interface-functions are implemented for S-Plus. The remaining CoCo-commands are for the time being used in S-Plus by handling the control over to CoCo and reading commands in normal CoCo-syntax.

Note that this section of CoCo might develop faster than other parts. Returned values (especially error-messages and values when an error occurs), arguments to functions and methods and even names on functions and methods may change. List the files cocoapi.S and cocometh.lsp for an up to date documentation of the version, you are running.

Figure 12.1: Plot from New S by call of functions in CoCo.

## 12.1 $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{CoCo}$

A version of CoCo, an object file without main program, but with some interface procedures, is linked together with S-Plus, see Becker et al. (1988).

Start S-Plus with the script S+coco to set up some environment variables to CoCo. (The script $\mathrm{S}+$ coco is available on your system, if you or your system administrator has done step 4) and 5) of the installation of CoCo, see appendix A. S-Plus, of course, has to be available on your system.)

Use attach(paste(unix("echo \$SCOCOHOME"), "/.Functions", sep = ""))
in S-Plus to access the directory ' 'Functions') with the S-function for communicating with CoCo: Available S-functions for interaction with CoCo are then listed by ls(pos=2). The function coco.load() will load CoCo into S-Plus.
coco．start（）will then start CoCo in S－Plus．Ordinary CoCocommands are then expected．CoCo is reading from standard input and writing to standard output．The CoCo command END；will bring you back to S－Plus without disposing of the running CoCo session．CoCo is not terminated，but only left temporarily．The running CoCo session can be resumed from S－Plus with coco．resume（）．The running CoCo session within S－Plus is removed with coco．end（）．If coco．end（）is not used before leaving S－ Plus，some temporary files will not be deleted．The function coco．init（）starts CoCo and returns immediately to S－Plus without expecting CoCo commands．coco．start（） is a combined command of coco．init（）and coco．resume（）．

The returned value from coco．start（），coco．init（）and coco．resume（）is TRUE， if the command succeeds．
coco．start（）and coco．init（）may be given the optional arguments $\langle n=512\rangle$ ， $\langle p=512\rangle$ ，and $\langle q=512\rangle$ for setting the initial size of the N －array，P－array and Q－array respectively．The optional argument $\langle s=620000\rangle$ to coco．load（）is the expected size of the code added to S－Plus and used in dyn．load2（）．The size $\langle s\rangle$ of the code added to S－Plus depends on the machine，you are using，the compiler used，options to the compiler and the version of CoCo．If you get an error message from coco．start（）， coco．init（）or coco．load（），then use the size，the message suggests．

Some versions of the object file loaded into S－Plus allow for handling more than one CoCo object in the same S－Plus session．At the same time in S－Plus，CoCo can then be used on different datasets．A list coco．identifications（of integers in frame 0 ）is used to identify the individual CoCo objects．The values of the integers have no meaning for the user．current．coco is the identification of the default CoCo object used in the following functions．The functions make．current．coco $(\langle a\rangle)$ in S－ Plus will make the $\langle a\rangle$－the started or initiated CoCo object the current CoCo object， assign coco．identifications［a］to current．coco．

The function enter．names $(\langle$ names $\rangle,\langle$ levels $\rangle,\langle$ missing $=N U L L\rangle)$ enters a spec－ ification of a table to CoCo．〈names〉 is a single text string with the factor names： a character for each factor．〈levels〉 is a vector of integers giving the total number of levels for each factor．$\langle$ missing $\rangle$ is an optional vector of integers giving the number of missing levels．

If enter．names $(\langle$ names $\rangle,\langle$ levels $\rangle,\langle m i s s i n g=N U L L\rangle)$ is succeeded，the value TRUE is returned，else a warning message is printed and FALSE is returned．

A table of counts is entered by enter．table $(\langle t a b l e\rangle) .\langle t a b l e\rangle$ is a vector of integers giving the cell counts ordered as when reading a table of counts into CoCo in the normal set．

If enter．table $(\langle$ table $\rangle$ ）is succeeded，the value TRUE is returned，else FALSE is returned and a warning message is printed．
（If the command SET READ \｛ All｜Subset $\langle$ set $\rangle$ \}; has been used or data selection has been done between calls to the functions enter．names（ $\langle$ names $\rangle$ ，$\langle$ levels $\rangle$ ， $\langle$ missing $=N U L L\rangle$ ）and enter．table $(\langle$ table $\rangle)$ ，the fully declared table still has to be entered．The count -1 is entered for structural zero cells．）

The function read．model $(\langle a\rangle)$ in S－Plus will add the model $\langle a\rangle$ to the list of models in CoCo．The argument $\langle a\rangle$ to read．model $(\langle a\rangle)$ has to be a single character string with the model written as models are written in CoCo．

The functions make.current $(\langle a\rangle)$ and make.base ( $\langle a\rangle$ ) in S-Plus will make the model with number $\langle a\rangle$ the current or the base model respectively in CoCo. base() makes the current model the base model. current () makes the last model the current model. These four commands return the value TRUE, if the commands succeed, else FALSE. The commands return. current.number() and return.base.number() return respectively the model numbers for the current model and the base models, if the command succeeds, else NULL. The commands return. current () return a text string with the generating class for the current model, if the command succeeds, else NULL. Analogously with return. base().

From S-Plus the models read in CoCo are printed by print.all.models( $\langle$ print $=$ $T R U E\rangle$ ). If $\langle$ print $\rangle$ is FALSE, then descriptions of the models are printed. No values are returned.

The function compute.test() in S-Plus will return the unadjusted number of degrees of freedom, the adjustment of DF. (if AdjustedDF is on in CoCo), the likelihood ratio test statistic $-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})$, Pearsons chi-square $\chi^{2}$ and the power divergence $2 n I^{\lambda}$ and if ExactTest is on in CoCo, the exact P -values. The function compute.deviance() in S-Plus will return values as the command FIND DEVIANCE; in CoCo .

And, what it is all about: The function return. vector $(\langle$ type $=0\rangle,\langle$ set $=" * "\rangle$, $\langle$ number $=0\rangle, \quad\langle$ base $=F A L S E\rangle)$ in S-Plus will return a vector with the table values $\langle$ type $\rangle$ from the $\langle$ set $\rangle$-marginal table computed under the current model. See section B.1.46 in the Quick-reference-card for how CoCo-〈value-names $\rangle$ are coded into the integers $\langle t y p e\rangle$. If $\langle$ base $\rangle$ is TRUE, then the values from the base model are returned. If $\langle$ number $\rangle$ is different from 0 , then the values from model with that number are returned.

The model $\{\{\mathrm{ACE}\},\{\mathrm{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{F}\}\}$ is tested against $\{\{\mathrm{ABCDEF}\}\}$ on the data from Reinis̆ et al. (1981) and the adjusted residuals in $\{\{\operatorname{ACE}\},\{\operatorname{ADE}\},\{\mathrm{BC}\},\{\mathrm{F}\}\}$ are plotted against the standardized residuals in $\{\{\mathrm{ACDE}\},\{\mathrm{ABCF}\}\}$ in S-Plus by:

```
S+coco
attach(paste(getenv("SCOCOHOME"), "/.Functions", sep = ""))
coco.load()
coco.init()
enter.names("ABCDEF",c(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2),c(0,0,0,0,0,0))
"n"<- c(44, 40, 112, 67, 129, 145, 12, 23, 35, 12, 80, 33, 109,
    67, 7, 9, 23, 32, 70, 66, 50, 80, 7, 13, 24, 25, 73, 57,
    51, 63, 7, 16, 5, 7, 21, 9, 9, 17, 1, 4, 4, 3, 11, 8, 14,
    17, 5, 2, 7, 3, 14, 14, 9, 16, 2, 3, 4, 0, 13, 11, 5, 14, 4, 4)
enter.table(n)
read.model("*")
read.model("ACDE,ABCF.")
read.model("ACE,ADE,BC,F.")
compute.test()
compute.deviance()
```

```
make.base(2)
print.all.models()
X11()
plot(return.vector( 0, "*"), return.vector( 8, "*"),
        xlab = 'Observed counts: return.vector( 0, "*")',
        ylab = 'Adjusted residuals: return.vector( 8, "*")')
coco.resume()
    print all models
    end
coco.end()
q()
```


### 12.2 XLISP-STAT + CoCo

The system "Xlisp +CoCo - A Tool for Graphical Models" is on UNIX systems obtained by loading CoCo dynamically into XLISP-STAT. This feature is only tested on Sparc. See Part III.

Part III of this thesis is a guide to Xlisp+CoCo. See this guide for further documentation. Some of the highlights of the system are:

### 12.2.1 Association Diagrams

The association diagram is a graph window with the independence graph of an association model. The model can be causal or not, and the variables can be discrete, ordinal, continuous etc. The independence graph, association or interaction graph, is a simple undirected graph with as many vertices as the table has dimension. A vertex for each variable. Two vertices are adjacent in the independence graph for the model unless the two variables are conditionally independent given the other variables.

The association diagram is a very appealing working tool for model selection. The layout of the graph, the association diagram, can be edited by dragging vertices, points for variables, with the mouse, and the edges will then follow the vertices. New association diagrams can be created from a diagram by adding or dropping edges by the mouse. Tests of two variables conditionally independent can be performed by clicking on the edge between the two variables by the mouse. Edges are then drawn with a width proportional to the significance of the edges. Edges can also be labeled with a value computed from a test statistic for removing the edge, e.g., a $p$-value or an $I C$-value. Causal models can be handled in the association diagrams. Methods for backward elimination and forward selection of edges in association diagrams are implemented. In the backward elimination of edges the edges are redrawn with a width proportional to the significance of the edges as they are visited. The edge will be drawn with a color depending on whether the edge is fitted or not. If the test of an edge is not computed, then the edge will be drawn with a color depending on whether the edge is fixed, the edge is rejected by coherence, removing the edge will result in a non-decomposable model, etc.

### 12.2.2 Block Recursive Models

Block recursive models, Chain graph models, are models with both symmetric and asymmetric associations between variables. The symmetric associations have been dealt with so far in this thesis.

At the asymmetric association, directed association, between two variables, the second variable might depend on the first variable, but the first variable is independent of the second variable. The first variable is then explanatory to the second variable, the response variable. In the graph we then draw an arrow from the first variable to the second variable. The explanatory variables are also called the exogenous variables and the response variables the endogenous variables. The endogenous variables have an undirected graph associated with them. Each endogenous factor is the end point for one or more direct edges. The directed edges can originate at either exogenous or endogenous variables.

In recursive models response variables of some explanatory variables cannot be explanatory variables to the same explanatory variables, i.e., in the graph there cannot be any oriented cycles, cycles where one goes along undirected edges and in the direction of arrows.

Conditional independence statements for recursive causal models are examined in Wermuth \& Lauritzen (1983). The so-called block recursive graphical models or graphical chain models are further treated in Lauritzen \& Wermuth (1989), Lauritzen (1989), Wermuth \& Lauritzen (1990), Lauritzen, Dawid, Larsen \& Leimer (1990). The chain graph Markov property is investigated in detail in Frydenberg (1989). Model selection methods for creating a diagnostic system by causal models on discrete variables are discussed in Lauritzen, Thiesson \& Spiegelhalter (1992). Also the text books Lauritzen (1993), Whittaker (1990) and Christensen (1990) contain chapters on block recursive models.

The tool for association diagrams implemented in the Lisp extension of CoCo are able to handle block recursive models. In these diagrams incremental model search on block recursive models can be performed by backward elimination and forward selection.

### 12.2.3 Miscellaneous

Besides introducing association diagrams with the ability to handle block recursive models and dumping TeX picture-code for the diagrams, this tool also introduces the model dynamic spinning plot and the model manager. Also a method for doing a model selection with resampling is implemented.

## Model Dynamic Spinning Plots

The model dynamic spinning plot is a spinning plot, where the values in the plot are updated when models are modified. Spinning plots are rotatable three-dimensional scatterplots. In the model dynamic spinning plot each of the variables are linked to a model, and when the model is modified the plot is redrawn with the new values of the model. These spinning plots can, of course, be linked to other spinning plots, histograms, etc. and points of one plot highlighted when the corresponding points are brushed in other plots or histograms.

## Model Manager

The model search by association diagrams may generate numerous windows with graphs, and to handle these windows, the model manager has been made. The model manager is an overview graph, where each point in the graph corresponds to a model. Tests can be performed by dragging edges between points in the model manager.

## Model Selection with Resampling

To show the advantages of including CoCo in XLISP-STAT, a method for resampling from the case list, and do a backward elimination on each sample, has been implemented. The edges of the association diagram can be drawn with a width growing with the reciprocal of the $p$-value or growing with BIC, the Bayesian information criterion. But we can also let the width of the edges be proportional to the number of times the edges are found in the final models of headlong backward eliminations on random subsets of the cases.

This method is implemented in a few lines of Lisp code, and the full implementation is shown in part III, "Xlisp+CoCo - A Tool for Graphical Models", of the thesis as an example of what can be made by the end user in XLISP-STAT extended with CoCo.

### 12.2.4 A Tutorial Example

This tutorial example is also given in Part III of this thesis. The data used is that of table 1.1 from Reinis̆ et al. (1981) also used in the introduction.

Start XLISP-STAT with the script xlisp+coco ${ }^{1}$ to set up some environment variables needed by CoCo and to load the Lisp functions for interfacing CoCo. To be able to edit and redo entered lines in XLISP-STAT you may want to use fep:

```
$ fep xlisp+coco
```

To create a CoCo-object, use the function (make-coco):

```
(def reinis-coco-object (make-coco))
```

By using the function (def) instead of (setf) the created objects, variables, etc. can be listed by the function (variables).

The specification of the table is then sent to the CoCo-object by the method : enter-names:

```
(send reinis-coco-object :enter-names
    '("A" "B" "C" "D" "E" "F")
    '(2 2 2 2 2 2)'(0}0000000)
```

[^3]The method :enter-names has three arguments: A list with the names, text string, of the variables, a list of integers with the number of unmarked levels for each factor and an optional list of integers with the number of levels to be marked as missing.

The variable $n$ to hold the table of observed counts in a list is defined by:

| (def $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}$, ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 44 | 40 | 112 | 67 | 129 | 145 | 12 | 23 |
| 35 | 12 | 80 | 33 | 109 | 67 | 7 | 9 |
| 23 | 32 | 70 | 66 | 50 | 80 | 7 | 13 |
| 24 | 25 | 73 | 57 | 51 | 63 | 7 | 16 |
| 5 | 7 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 4 |
| 4 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 2 |
| 7 | 3 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 4 | $4))$ |

Instead of ' ( ... ) the form (list ... ) could have been used. But, if the list is long, you will get a stack-error.

The list $n$ containing the table of counts is then sent to the CoCo-object by:

```
(send reinis-coco-object :enter-table n)
```

The following three messages will read the 3 models into the CoCo-objects and create model-objects for these:

```
(def model-1 (send reinis-coco-object :make-model "*"))
(def model-2 (send reinis-coco-object :make-model "ABCF,ACDE"))
(def model-3 (send reinis-coco-object :make-model "ACE,ADE,BC,F"))
```

and graphs for the 1 st and 3 rd model is created by:

```
(def graph-1 (send model-1 :make-graph
    :title "Reinis CoCo Graph"))
(def graph-3 (send Model-3 :make-graph :location (list 700 50)
    :title "Reinis: ACE,ADE,BC,F"))
```

You can now move vertices, set variable labels, drop and add edges etc. with the mouse and perform, e.g., a model search by selecting items from the menu. See Part III of this thesis.

To set labels on the vertices in the 1st graph:

```
(send graph-1 :vertex-label "A" "A: Smoking")
(send graph-1 :vertex-label "B" "B: Mental")
(send graph-1 :vertex-label "C" "C: Physical")
(send graph-1 :vertex-label "D" "D: Blood pressure")
(send graph-1 :vertex-label "E" "E: Ratio of lipoproteins")
(send graph-1 :vertex-label "F" "F: Family anamnesi")
```

Figure 12.2: The result of a "Headlong Backward" on Graph-1 with added p-values. The bit-mat dump is created by Xlisp+CoCo.
and move the vertices in the graph:

```
(send graph-1 :vertex-position "A" (list 0 -20) :redraw nil)
(send graph-1 :vertex-position "B" (list 0 20) :redraw nil)
(send graph-1 :vertex-position "C" (list 35 0) :redraw nil)
(send graph-1 :vertex-position "D" (list -30 -40) :redraw nil)
(send graph-1 :vertex-position "E" (list -40 0) :redraw nil)
(send graph-1 :vertex-position "F" (list -30 40) :redraw T)
```

Change the color on the vertex-labels for all graphs:
(send graph-1 :item-color 'vertex-label 'red)
Move the vertex-labels for vertex ' $C$ ' and ' $E$ ':

```
(send graph-1 :vertex-label-position "E" (list -3 -4))
(send graph-1 :vertex-label-position "C" (list -11 -2 0) :redraw T)
```

Add control buttons to graph-1:
(send graph-1 :add-controls)
Let 'graph-3' have same vertex-positions as 'graph-1':
(send graph-3 :slot-value 'positions (send graph-1 :slot-value 'positions))

A Model Manager is created by:

```
(setf manager (return-manager))
```

The following will create a "Model Dynamic Spin Plot" for 'graph-1'. The values in the plot are re-evaluated and the plot is redrawn when the graph for the spin-plot receives one of the two messages :make-graph-current-model and :make-graph-base-model:

```
(let ((a '(send *this-graph* :return-vector 'adjusted "*"
    :model 'current))
        (b '(send *this-graph* :return-vector 'adjusted "*"
        :model 'base))
    (c '(send *this-graph* :return-vector 'observed "*"
    :model nil)))
    (def graph-1-spin
        (send graph-1 :return-dynamic-coco-spin-plot (list a b c)))
    (send graph-1-spin :location 700 500)
    )
```

A 'Headlong Backward Elimination' at 'graph-1' can be performed by:

```
(send graph-1 :drop-least-significant-edge
    :p-accepted (send graph-1 :graph-p-accepted)
    :p-rejected (send graph-1 :graph-p-rejected)
    :random-order (send graph-1 :graph-random-order)
    :coherent (send graph-1 :graph-coherent)
    :headlong T :recursive T :x-move 0)
```

The "Model Dynamic Spin Plot" and 'Headlong Backward' can also be made by selecting the Recursive backward and Dynamic Spin-plot items from the graph menu.

Or the total example of the tutorial can be performed by the four lines

```
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome* "/Examples/Testgraph"))
(setf manager (return-manager))
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome* "/Examples/TestDynamic"))
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome* "/Examples/TestHeadlong"))
```

Consider also

```
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome* "/Examples/TestBlock"))
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome*
    "/Examples/TestBootstrap"))
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome* "/Examples/TestTeX"))
(load (concatenate 'string *xlisp-cocohome* "/Examples/Krippendorf"))
```


## Part III

## Appendix

## Appendix A

## Installing CoCo

## A. 1 Availability

The source code in C, executable for Sun 4 (Sparc) and executable of the standalone version of CoCo for PC's and Macintosh for this version of CoCo is available free of charge for non-commercial use.

The source code may only be read and edited for the purpose of porting CoCo to other machines. No new features may be added to CoCo and no parts of the program may be included in other systems or new interface-procedures to New S, SPlus, XLISP-STAT or any other extendable system may be made without the written permission from the author.

The source code in C and executable for Sun 4 (Sparc), both with Lisp-code for the graphics, and executable of the standalone version of CoCo for PC's and Macintosh can be obtained by anonymous ftp over internet from ftp.iesd.auc.dk, or by $W W W$ from http://www.iesd.auc.dk/pub/packages/CoCo. Or CoCo is available on disks (3.5" or 5.25 " High density). You should, however, by prepared to bear the costs of copying, e.g., by supplying a disk or tape and a stamped mailing envelope. This guide and the guide to CoCo is also available in TeX and postscript code from the ftp site or printed from Aalborg University.

If you have problems with CoCo or find bugs, please contact the author. If possible, send on disk or by Email the LogFile for the session which caused the problem. I will do my best to solve your problems and provide updates, if necessary.

If you have comments on CoCo, suggestions for improvements or new facilities that you feel would make the software more useful to you, please feel free to contact me.

Jens Henrik Badsberg
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Institute for Electronic Systems, Aalborg University
Fredrik Bajers Vej 7
DK-9220 Aalborg, DENMARK
Fax: +4598158129
Phone: +45 98158522 ext. 5074
Email: coco@iesd.auc.dk

## A. 2 Installation

The following section is the installation guide for both the standalone version of CoCo and of the interface functions for the graphics. The graphics will only run on Unix systems with XLISP-STAT. XLISP-STAT must on your system be compiled so it is able to do dynamic loading.

## A.2.1 DOS: On PC

Read the latest READ.ME and README.DOS file.
Copy the contents of the distribution disk into a directory, the home directory for CoCo. Add the home directory of CoCo to your path.

The files COCO.EXE, COCO.OVR and COCO.TAB must be in the home directory for CoCo. The two files COCO. HLP and COCO.DAT should also be there. If the HelpFile COCO. HLP not is in the directory, there will be no online help information available in CoCo. The default DataFile COCO.DAT can be replaced by another data-file.

By copy/b coco.exe + coco.ovr the overlay file is attached to the end of the .EXE file COCO.EXE. You can then remove COCO.OVR.

Type COCO to start CoCo.
Test examples are found in the directory EXAMPLES. Run the test examples with runall or runsome. runall will take approximately 24 hours and use three Mbytes of diskspace to diaries. Datasets and CoCo-source-files are found in the directory DATASETS.

CoCo runs on IBM/XT/ATs (and compatible) with or without coprocessor $8087 / 80287 / 80387$ and with or without expanded memory (EMS). If the numeric coprocessor is not present, it is emulated. If EMS is present, the overlay file is loaded into the expanded memory when sufficient space is available. See the sections "DOS: Overlays" in the chapter "Miscellaneous Options for ..." in "A Guide to CoCo" about how to control the size of the overlay buffer.

See the README.DOS file for Run-Time Errors.

## A.2.2 Macintosh

Read the disk with CoCo for Macintosh or uncompress the "sit.Hqx" file. Click the folder "CoCo" to start CoCo.

## A.2.3 Unix: On Workstations

Read the latest READ.ME and README.Unix file.
Copy the contents of the disk or tape into a directory, the build directory of CoCo. Or obtain relevant files by anonymous ftp over internet from ftp.iesd.auc.dk or by $W W W$ from http://www.iesd.auc.dk/pub/packages/CoCo and uncompress. Change to the directory.

1) Set the variables

> BINDIR
> COCOHOME
> SCOCOHOME
> XCOCOHOME
to appropriate values in the Makefile. \$BINDIR (e.g., /home/local/bin) is where the script for starting CoCo goes. The value of \$COCOHOME (e.g., /home/local/lib/coco) is the home directory of CoCo. \$SCOCOHOME (e.g., /home/local/lib/coco or /home/local/lib/Splus/local) is where the functions to use CoCo in S-Plus go. The XLISP-STAT-interface-functions are copied to \$ХСОСОНОМЕ (e.g., /home/local/lib/coco/lsp).

2a) Move the executable coco.sparc or coco.sun3 to coco by, e.g., the command mv coco.sparc coco, unless you have uncompressed a tar-file with only one file coco.
or
2b) Compile CoCo by 'make coco'.
Installation of CoCo is then done by:
3) Type 'make installcoco'. This will copy necessary files (coco, COCO.TAB, COCO. HLP and COCO.DAT) to the home directory COCOHOME for CoCo, edit the script CoCo (insert the environment variable COCOHOME) and place the result in BINDIR.

You only need to do step 4 and 5 or 6 if you want to use CoCo in S-Plus or XLISP-STAT:

4a) Move the object files scoco.sparc or scoco.sun3 to scoco.o by, e.g., the command mv scoco.sparc scoco.o, unless you have uncompressed a tar-file with only one executable file scoco.o.
or use
4b) 'make scoco.o' to make the object file scoco.o.
To install $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{CoCo}$, do
5a) Edit also the environment variable $S$, if necessary.
5b) Type 'make installscoco'. This will edit the script S+coco (insert the environment variables S , SCOCOHOME and COCOHOME) and then place the result in BINDIR, copy scoco.o to COCOHOME, make the interface-functions to SPlus by running S-Plus on cocoapi.S and copy the resulting S-functions to SCOCOHOME/.Functions.

The CoCo-S-functions SCOCOHOME/.Functions could be moved to some directory in the default S-Plus search list. The command attach (paste (getenv( "SCOCOHOME" ), "/.Functions", sep = "" )) is then unnecessary to get access to the CoCo-Sfunctions.

To install Xlisp+CoCo, do
6a) Set the environment variable XCOCO to scoco.o, if you are using XLISP-STAT version 2.1 Release 2, or to libscoco.so, if you are using XLISP-STAT 2.1 Release 3.39 (or later). Edit also the environment variable XLISPSTAT, if necessary.

6b) Type 'make installxcoco'. This will edit the script xlisp+coco (insert the two environment variables XLISPSTAT, XCOCOHOME and COCOHOME) and place the result in BINDIR, write the file loadcoco.lsp for loading necessary interface files, copy scoco.o (or libscoco.so) to COCOHOME, and copy the files cocoapix.lsp, cocometh.lsp, cocograph.lsp, etc. to XCOCOHOME. Do not do step "manually", since other actions might have been added since the writing of this.

XLISP-STAT must be compiled with FOREIGN_FLAG = -DFOREIGNCALL to make dynamic loading working. See the 'Makefile' for XLISP-STAT.

If you are running Solaris, then you should use version 2.1 Release 3.39 (Beta) or later of XLISP-STAT.

After successfully having installed Xlisp + CoCo you should compile the Lisp-file for Xlisp+CoCo. Change to the directory XCOCOHOME and type 'make'. This will compile the Lisp-files for Xlisp+CoCo and create a saved workspace for Xlisp+CoCo. By the saved workspace the upstart of Xlisp+CoCo will be much faster, and by the byte-compilation the program will run faster.

XLISP-STAT by Luke Tierney can be obtained by anonymous ftp over internet from umnstat.stat.umn.edu (128.101.51.1) or mail a message containing the line
send index from xlispstat
to statlib@templer.stat.cmu.edu for how to find out to obtain XLISP-STAT from the statlib archive. XLISP-STAT can be obtained free of charge.
fep is a very useful tool, when running CoCo and XLISP-STAT. In the manual page to fep, the general purpose front end processor by K. Utashiro, Software Research Associates, Inc., Japan, the Email address utashiro@sra.junet is found. fep can be obtained free of charge.

S-Plus by Statistical Sciences, Inc, P.O. Box 85625, Seattle, WA 98145-1625, U.S.A. is a commercial product. It adds features to New S by Becker, Chambers and Wilks, see Becker et al. (1988) for New S. Electronic mail, Europe: sales@statsci.co.uk, mktg@statsci.co.uk, support@statsci.co.uk; USA: sales@statsci.com.

|  | Dos | Macintosh | Unix |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maximum number of factors | 64 | 128 | 256 |
| Maximum number of levels | 60 | 124 | 252 |
| Maximal cell count | 65536 | 2147483644 | 2147483644 |
| N-array: | initial | 32766 | 4096 |
| maximum | do | 65536 |  |
| storage mode | int | long | long |
| P-array: | initial | 10922 | 4096 |
| maximum | do | 65536 |  |
| Qtorage mode | real | float | float |
| initial | 1024 | 1024 | 1024 |
| maxray: | do | $?$ | $>2^{27}$ |
| storage mode | int | long | long |

Table A.1: Limits and Storage Mode.

## A. 3 Limitations and Precision

Limitations for your running version of CoCo are printed with STATUS Limits;

## A.3.1 DOS: PC

The number of declared factors and factors used in the models is limited to $64\left(2^{6}\right)$. The maximum number of levels for each factor is set to $60\left(2^{6}-4\right)$.

All marginal tables of observations are placed in an integer array ( N -array) with $32766\left(2^{15}-2\right)$ cells. The estimated probabilities are placed in a real array (P-array) with $10922\left(2^{15} / 3\right)$ cells. You are then able to compute $\log (\mathrm{L})$ for a model with 64 binary factors, if the largest clique in the graph for the model has 14 vertices at the most and there are no more than 13 vertices in the largest non-decomposable atom.

Except in the IPS-algorithm all real computations are performed in doubleprecision ( 8 bytes, 15 to 16 significant digits). Estimated probabilities are stored in normal real ( 6 bytes, 11 to 12 significant digits).

If estimated probabilities instead are stored in double-real, the number of cells in the P-array is reduced from $10922\left(2^{15} / 3\right)$ to $8190\left(2^{13}-2\right)$. On the other hand, if probabilities are stored in single-real ( 4 bytes, 7 to 8 significant digits) the size of the P-array can be increased to $16380\left(2^{14}-4\right)$ cells.

The maximal number of observations is set to $65532\left(2^{16}-4\right)$. It can be increased to $2147483644\left(2^{31}-4\right)$ at the cost of one dimension. The size of the N -array is then reduced from $32766\left(2^{15}-2\right)$ to $16383\left(2^{14}-1\right)$.

If you are displeased with any of the limits, please let me know. See section A. 1 "Availability" of this appendix.

## A.3.2 Macintosh

The maximum number of declared factors and factors used in models is set to 128 $\left(2^{7}\right)$. The maximum number of observations is $2147483644\left(2^{31}-4\right)$.

The initial size of both the N- and P-array is set to $4094\left(2^{12}\right)$. The cells in the N -array are longs and the cells in the P-array are floats. All real computations are performed in double.

## A.3.3 Unix: Workstations

The maximum number of declared factors and factors used in models is set to $256\left(2^{8}\right)$. This can be increased by changing constants and recompiling. A version with constants set to handle $4096\left(2^{14}\right)$ factors has been compiled and run on a SPARCstation with 600 Mbytes swap space.

The maximum number of observations is $2147483644\left(2^{31}-4\right)$.
In the version compiled for workstations, the initial size of both the N - and P -array is set to $65536\left(2^{16}\right)$. These arrays are increased automatic by CoCo when needed up to a limit of $1048576\left(2^{20}\right)$. If bigger arrays are needed these must be given by the N and P command line options.

The cells in the N-array are longs and the cells in the P-array are floats. Each on 4 bytes, $2^{18}$ cells per Mbyte. All real computations are performed in long-real ( 8 bytes, 15 to 16 significant digits).

A running CoCo with space for a 20-dimensional binary table with 1048576 cells in the N -array and a 20 -dimensional binary table in the P -array will then need a little more than 8 Mbytes of memory. CoCo has been started with space for a 26 dimensional binary table with 67108864 cells in both the N-array and the P-array on a SPARCstation with 600 Mbytes swap space.

## A. 4 CoCo Datasets and Examples

Datasets for CoCo and examples of SourceFiles for running these datasets can be found in the directory Datasets in the home directory for CoCo. The examples are to illustrate the use of CoCo. They are not meant to be good practice of data analysis.

The directory Examples contains tests-runs. These examples are not even instructive.

## A. 5 Reporting Errors

Report errors by sending the LogFile (DOS: COCO???.LOG, Macintosh: CoCo.log.no and Unix: /tmp/CoCo.log.004.X(pid-number) ) and data-files to the author. Please note whether the error appears again, if you run CoCo with the LogFile as input. On DOS: rename the LogFile before starting CoCo again. Note machine-type, operating system, version of operating system and version of CoCo.

## A. 6 Warranty

NO WARRANTY
I PROVIDE ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY. EXCEPT WHEN OTHERWISE STATED IN WRITING, I AND/OR OTHER PARTIES PROVIDE CoCo "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. THE ENTIRE RISK AS TO THE QUALITY AND PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAM IS WITH YOU, SHOULD THE CoCo PROGRAM PROVE DEFECTIVE, YOU ASSUME THE COSTS OF ALL NECESSARY SERVICING, REPAIR OR CORRECTION.

IN NO EVENT I AND/OR ANY OTHER PARTY WHO MAY MODIFY AND REDISTRIBUTE CoCo, MAY BE LIABLE TO YOU FOR DAMAGES, INCLUDING ANY LOST PROFITS, LOST MONIES, OR OTHER SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF THE USE OR INABILITY TO USE (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO LOSS OF DATA OR DATA BEING RENDERED INACCURATE OR LOSSES SUSTAINED BY THIRD PARTIES OR A FAILURE OF THE PROGRAM TO OPERATE WITH PROGRAMS NOT DISTRIBUTED BY ME) THE PROGRAM, EVEN IF YOU HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES, OR FOR ANY CLAIM BY ANY OTHER PARTY.

## Appendix B

## Reference Manual section

```
B.1 Quick Reference Card
B.1.1 End and Restart
END;
RESTART;
B.1.2 Help and Quick-reference-card
HELP [〈command-name\rangle | \langleabbreviation\rangle];
MENU NUMBER \langlea\rangle;
NEXT MENU;
CURRENT MENU;
PREVIOUS MENU;
```


## B.1.3 Abbreviations

```
PRINT ALIAS { 〈command-name\rangle | \langleabbreviation\rangle};
MAKE ALIAS <command-name\rangle\langleabbreviation\rangle;
DELETE ALIAS <abbreviation\rangle;
READ LOCAL PARSER;
INIT PARSER;
NO ACTION;
```


## B.1.4 Status

```
STATUS [ Specification | Observations | Files | Formats | Fix | Tests
```

STATUS [ Specification | Observations | Files | Formats | Fix | Tests
| Exact | Ips | Em | EH | Limits | Other ];

```
B.1.5 Input from keyboard or file

SET KEYBOARD [ On | Off ];

\section*{B．1．6 Input files}

SET INPUTFILE SPECIFICATION 〈file－name〉；
SET INPUTFILE OBSERVATIONS 〈file－name〉；
SET INPUTFILE DATA〈file－name〉；
SET INPUTFILE COMMANDS 〈file－name〉；

\section*{B．1．7 Output files}

SET OUTPUTFILE RESULTS 〈file－name〉；
SET OUTPUTFILE COMMANDS 〈file－name〉；
SET OUTPUTFILE DIARY 〈file－name〉；
SET OUTPUTFILE LOG〈file－name〉；
SET OUTPUTFILE DUMP 〈file－name〉；
SET OUTPUTFILE REPORT 〈file－name〉；
SET KEEP DIARY［ On｜Off ］；
SET KEEP LOG［ On｜Off ］；
SET KEEP DUMP［ On｜Off ］；
SET KEEP REPORT［ On｜Off ］；

\section*{B．1．8 Diary，Timer etc．}
```

SET DIARY [ On | Off ];
SET LOG [ On | Off ];
SET DATA LOG [ On | Off ];
SET DUMP [ On | Off ];
SET REPORT [ On | Off ];
SET TIMER [ On | Off ];
SET ECHO [ On | Off ];
SET NOTE [ On | Off ];
SET TRACE [ On | Off ];
SET DEBUG [ On | Off ];
SET OPTION { On | Off } \langlenumber\rangle [ \langlevalue\rangle];
SET INTERRUPT { A | B } { On | Off };

```

\section*{B．1．9 Print Formats}

SET PRINT FORMATS 〈format－width〉〈decimals〉；
SET TABLE FORMATS \(\langle\) width \(\rangle\langle\) dec－prob．\(\rangle\langle\) dec－expt．\(\rangle\langle\) dec－diff．\(\rangle ;\)
SET TEST FORMATS \(\langle x\)－width \(\rangle\langle x\)－dec．\(\rangle\langle p r o b\)－width \(\rangle\langle p r o b-d e c\).\(\rangle ；\)
SET PAGE FORMATS 〈line－length〉〈page－length〉；
SET PAUSE［ On｜Off ］；
SET PAGING LENGTH 〈number of lines〉；
SET SHORT［ On｜Off ］；
```

B.1.10 Controlling the IPS- and EM-algorithm
SET IPS { Cell | Sum };
SET IPS EPSILON <epsilon\rangle;
SET IPS ITERATIONS <max\rangle;
SET EM INITIAL { Uniform | First | Last | Mean | Random | Input };
SET EM EPSILON <epsilon\rangle;
SET EM ITERATIONS <max\rangle;

```

\section*{B．1．11 Partitioning and Factorizes}

SET PARTITIONING［ On｜Off ］；
SET ALGORITHM \｛ A｜B｜C｜D｜．．．\};

\section*{B．1．12 Do only Graph Stuffs，only for Debugging} SET GRAPH MODE［ On｜Off ］；

B．1．13 Only Decomposable Models in Stepwise Model Selec－ tion and in the Global EH Search
SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE［ On｜Off ］；

\section*{B．1．14 Computed Test－Statistics and Choosing Tests and Sig－ nificance Level for Model Selection}

SET ADJUSTED DF［ On｜Off ］；
SET POWER LAMBDA \｛ Null｜〈lambda〉\};
SET REUSE OF TESTS［ On｜Off ］；
SET TEST \｛ Lr｜Chi｜Power \};
SET \｛ Aic｜Bic｜Ic Kappa 〈kappa〉｜Ic On｜Ic Off \};
SET ACCEPTANCE \(\langle a l p h a\rangle\) ；
SET REJECTION \(\langle a l p h a\rangle\) ；
SET COMPONENTS \(\langle p\)－value \(\rangle\) ；
SET SEPARATORS \(\langle p\)－value \(\rangle\) ；

\section*{B．1．15 Exact Tests in Tests and Model Selection}
```

SET EXACT TEST [ On | All | Deviance | Off ];
SET ASYMPTOTIC \langlep-value\rangle;
SET NUMBER OF TABLES { Null | {number〉};
SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES 〈list\rangle;
SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES <integer-list\rangle;
SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST [ On | Off ];
SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST [ On | Off ];
SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST [ On | Off ];
SET SEED {\langleseed\rangle | Random } ;

```
```

SET EXACT EPSILON <epsilon\rangle;
SET FAST [ On | Off ];

```

B．1．16 Read Data without selection etc．
READ DATA［ 〈specification〉〈observations〉］；

\section*{B．1．17 Read Data：Specification}

READ SPECIFICATION［ 〈specification〉］；
READ FACTORS［ \(\langle\) factor－list \(\rangle\) ］；
READ NAMES［ 〈name－list〉］；
SET READ \｛ All｜Subset 〈set〉\};
SET DATASTRUCTURE \｛ All｜Necessary｜File｜Large \};
SET LARGE［ On｜Off ］；
SET SORTED［ On｜Off ］；

Declare Subset of Variables to be Ordinal
SET ORDINAL \(\langle s e t\rangle\) ；

\section*{B．1．18 Read Data：Selection}

SELECT CASES \(\langle\) set \(\rangle\langle\) marginal cell \(\rangle\) ； OR SELECT CASES 〈set〉〈marginal cell〉； REJECT CASES \(\langle\) set〉 \(\langle\) marginal cell〉； OR REJECT CASES \(\langle\) set〉〈marginal cell〉；

Redeclaring a Factor for Cutpoints after Entering of Specification REDEFINE FACTOR 〈factor－name〉〈\＃of observed levels〉〈\＃of missing levels〉； CUTPOINTS 〈factor－name〉〈cutpoints〉；

\section*{B．1．19 Skip Cases with Missing Values During Reading Ob－ servations}

SKIP MISSING；

\section*{B．1．20 Read Data：Observations}

READ OBSERVATIONS［ 〈observations〉］；
READ TABLE［ \(\langle\) table \(\rangle\) ］；
READ LIST［ 〈list of cases〉］；

Replace Observations with a Random Table with Margins as in the current Model

SUBSTITUTE DATA WITH GENERATED TABLE；
```

B.1.21 Read Data: Incomplete table = Structural Zeros
READ Q-TABLE <set\rangle\langletable\rangle;
READ Q-LIST <set\rangle\langlelist of cells\rangle;
CLEAN DATA;

```

\section*{B.1.22 Select use only cases with complete observations after reading observations}
```

EXCLUDE MISSING [ On | Off ];

```
EXCLUDE MISSING In \(\langle s e t\rangle\);

\section*{B.1.23 Request the EM-algorithm}

EM ON;

\section*{B.1.24 Data Description}
```

PRINT TABLE \langlevalue-name\rangle\langlea\rangle [ / \langleb\rangle ];
DESCRIBE TABLE [ Uniform ] [ Normal ] [ Rankit ] \langlevalue-name\rangle\langlea\rangle;
PLOT }\langlex\mathrm{ -value-name> <y-value-name> <a>;
LIST \langlea\rangle;
CASE LIST [ \langlea\rangle];
RETURN VECTOR <value-name\rangle\langlea\rangle;
RETURN MATRIX <list of value-names;\rangle\langlea\rangle;
PRINT STANDARD TABLE <value-name\rangle \langlea\rangle;
RETURN STANDARD VECTOR \langlevalue-name\rangle}\langlea\rangle

```

\section*{B.1.25 Read Model}

READ MODEL \(\langle g c\rangle\);
READ N-INTERACTIONS \(\langle\) order \(\rangle\langle\) set \(\rangle\);

\section*{B.1.26 Edit Model}

COLLAPSE MODEL 〈set〉;
normal to dual;
dUal to normal;

\section*{B.1.27 Moving pointers in the Model-list}

\section*{BASE;}

CURRENT;
MAKE BASE \(\langle a\rangle\);
MAKE CURRENT \(\langle a\rangle\);

\section*{B．1．28 Describe，Print and Dispose of Models}

PRINT FORMULA；
PRINT VERTEX ORDERING；
DISPOSE OF FORMULA；
PRINT MODEL［ Base｜Current｜Last｜Number \(\langle a\rangle\)｜All models ｜Interval of models \(\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle\) ］；
DESCRIBE MODEL［ Base｜Current｜Last｜Number \(\langle a\rangle\)｜All models ｜Interval of models \(\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle\) ］；
DISPOSE OF MODEL［ Base｜Current｜Last｜Number \(\langle a\rangle\)｜All models ｜Interval of models \(\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle\) ］；

Return Characteristics of Model
IS GRAPHICAL［ \(\langle g c\rangle\) ］；
IS DECOMPOSABLE［ \(\langle g c\rangle]\) ；
IS SUBMODEL OF［ \(\left\langle g c_{1}\right\rangle\) ］［ \(\left\langle g c_{2}\right\rangle\) ］；
IS IN ONE CLIQUE \(\left\langle\right.\) factor \(\left._{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\right.\) factor \(\left._{2}\right\rangle[\langle g c\rangle]\) ；

\section*{B．1．29 Common decompositions of models}

PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS；
DECOMPOSE MODELS \(\langle s e t\rangle\) ；

B．1．30 Tests
TEST；
FIND LOG（L）；
FIND DEVIANCE；
EXACT TEST；
PARTITIONING TEST；
TEST ONE EDGE；
FACTORIZE ONE EDGE［ 〈set〉］；
FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION［ \(\langle\) set \(\rangle\) ］；

Compute Lots of Statistics for \(\langle a\rangle\) and \(\langle b\rangle\) Independent etc．Given the Factors \(\langle\) set \(\rangle\)

SLICE \(\langle\) factor－name－a〉，〈factor－name－b〉［／〈set〉］；

\section*{B．1．31 Test－list}

SHOW TESTS；
DISPOSE OF TESTS；

\section*{B．1．32 Dispose of Tables}
```

DISPOSE OF TABLES;
DISPOSE OF Q-TABLE <set\rangle;
DISPOSE OF ALL Q-TABLES;
DISPOSE OF PROBABILITIES;

```

\section*{B．1．33 Editing Models with Tests}
［ Only ］GENERATE DECOMPOSABLE MODEL ；
［ Only ］GENERATE GRAPHICAL MODEL ；
［ Only ］DROP FACTOR 〈factor〉；
［ Only ］DROP EDGES 〈edge－list〉；
［ Only ］ADD EDGES 〈edge－list〉；
［ Only ］DROP INTERACTIONS \(\langle g c\rangle\) ；
［ Only ］ADD INTERACTIONS \(\langle g c\rangle\) ；
［ Only ］REDUCE GENERATOR \(\langle s e t\rangle\) ；
［ Only ］REMOVE GENERATOR 〈set〉；
［ Only ］REMOVE TOTAL INTERACTION 〈set〉；
［ Only ］MEET OF MODELS ；
［ Only ］JOIN OF MODELS ；

\section*{B．1．34 Stepwise Edge or Interaction Selection and Elimination}

FIX Edges \｛ 〈edges \(\rangle\)｜\(\langle g c\rangle\}\) ；
AND FIX Edges \(\{\langle e d g e s\rangle \mid\langle g c\rangle\} ;\)
［ Only ］［ Reversed ］［ Sorted ］［ Separators ］［ Recursive ］ ［ Headlong ］［ Coherent ］［ Follow ］［ All ］BACKWARD ［ Edges｜Interactions ］；
［ Only ］［ Reversed ］［ Sorted ］［ Separators ］［ Recursive ］ ［ Headlong ］［ Coherent ］［ Most ］FORWARD
［ Edges｜Interactions ］；

\section*{B．1．35 Global Search：The EH－procedure \\ SET MAIN EFFECTS 〈set〉； \\ READ BASE MODEL \(\langle\) model \(\rangle\) ；}

\section*{B．1．36 EH：Fix Edges／Interactions}
```

FIX { In | Out } { \langleedges\rangle | \langlegc\rangle};
ADD FIX { In | Out } {\langleedges\rangle | \langlegc\rangle };
REDO FIX { In | Out };

```

\section*{B．1．37 EH：Choose Model Class and Strategy}

SET \｛ Graphical｜Hierarchical \} SEARCH;
SET \｛ Smallest｜Alternating｜Rough \} SEARCH;
```

B.1.38 EH: Dispose of Model-Classes and Duals
DISPOSE OF EH [ All | Duals | A-dual | R-Dual | Classes | Accepted |
Rejected ];

```
B.1.39 EH: Read and Fit Models or Force Models into Classes
FIT Models \(\langle\) models \(\rangle\);
ACCEPT Models 〈models〉;
REJECT Models \(\langle\) models \(\rangle\);

\section*{B.1.40 EH: Copy Models between the Model-List and Search Classes}

Models from List to Search Classes
```

FIT { Base | Current | Last | Number <a> | All models | Interval of
models <a> <b> };
ACCEPT { Base | Current | Last | Number <a> | All models | Interval of
models <a> <b> };
REJECT { Base | Current | Last | Number <a> | All models | Interval of
models <a> <b> };

```

Models from Search Classes to Model List
EXTRACT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] \{ A-dual | R-dual | Accepted | Rejected \};

\section*{B.1.41 EH: Find Duals}
```

FIND DUAL [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual |
R-dual | Both duals } ;

```

\section*{B.1.42 EH: Directed Search}
```

FIT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual | R-dual |

```
    Both duals | Smallest dual | Largest dual \};

\section*{B.1.43 EH: Automatic Search}
```

EH [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] [ Smallest |
Alternating | Rough ];

```

\section*{B.1.44 EH: Force a Dual into a Model Class}
```

ACCEPT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual | R-dual |
Both duals };
REJECT [ Decomposable | Graphical | Hierarchical ] { A-dual | R-dual |
Both duals };

```

\section*{B．1．45 Arguments to commands}

Note：the following symbols are meta－symbols belonging to the Backus－Naur formal－ ism，and not symbols of CoCo：
\[
::=\mid\{ \} . .
\]

The curly brackets denote possible repetition of the enclosed symbols zero or more times．
```

$\langle$ name $\rangle:=\mathrm{a} . . \mathrm{z}|\mathrm{A} \ldots \mathrm{Z}| 0 \ldots \mathrm{~F}|-|(\mid)| /|\{\langle$ name $\rangle\}$
$\langle$ file-name〉 $::=\mathrm{a} . . \mathrm{z}| \mathrm{A} . \mathrm{Z}|0 \ldots \mathrm{~F}| .|/|\#| \%|\{\langle$ file-name $\rangle\}$
$\langle$ command-name $\rangle::=\langle$ name $\rangle\{\langle$ name $\rangle\}$
$\langle$ abbreviation $\rangle::=\langle$ command-name $\rangle \mid\langle$ name $\rangle$
$\langle$ digit $\rangle::=0$. . 9
$\langle\operatorname{sign}\rangle::=-\mid+$
$\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle::=\langle$ digit $\rangle\{\langle$ digit $\rangle\}$
$\langle$ integer $\rangle::=\langle$ sign $\rangle\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle \mid\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle$
$\langle$ real $\rangle::=\langle$ integer $\rangle \mid\langle$ integer $\rangle .\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle \mid\langle$ integer $\rangle$ e $\langle$ integer $\rangle \mid$
$\langle$ integer $\rangle$. 〈unsigned integer $\rangle$ e $\langle$ integer $\rangle$
$\langle$ unsigned integer-list $\rangle::=\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle\{\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle\}$
$\{\langle$ format-width $\rangle\langle$ decimals $\rangle\langle$ width $\rangle\langle$ dec-prob. $\rangle\langle$ dec-expt. $\rangle\langle$ dec-diff. $\rangle\langle x$-width $\rangle$
$\langle x$-dec. $\rangle\langle$ prob-width $\rangle\langle$ prob-dec. $\rangle\langle$ line-length $\rangle\langle$ page-length $\rangle\langle$ number of lines $\rangle$
$\langle a\rangle\langle b\rangle\langle v a l u e\rangle\langle$ order $\rangle\langle \#$ of observed levels $\rangle\langle \#$ of missing levels $\rangle\langle m a x\rangle$
$\langle$ seed $\rangle\langle$ number $\rangle\}::=\langle$ unsigned integer $\rangle$
$\{\langle$ lambda $\rangle\langle$ kappa $\rangle\langle p$-value $\rangle\langle$ epsilon $\rangle\}::=\langle$ real $\rangle$
$\langle$ EndOfCommand $\rangle::=; \mid$ EndOfLine
$\langle$ factor $\rangle::=\mathrm{a} \ldots \mathrm{z}|\mathrm{A} \ldots \mathrm{Z}| 0 \ldots \mathrm{C} \mid$ ? $\mid$ ! $\mid$ @ $|\$| \#|\%| \wedge|-|-|+|=|\sim|||$
$\backslash|'| ’|"|:\langle n a m e\rangle$
$\langle$ factor-name $\rangle::=\langle$ factor $\rangle$
$\left\langle\right.$ factor-name $\left.{ }^{*}\right\rangle::=\langle$ factor $\rangle \mid \&\{$ EndOfLine $\}$
$\langle$ set-a $\rangle::=\left[\left\{\left\langle\right.\right.\right.$ factor-name $\left.\left.\left.{ }^{*}\right\rangle\right\}\right]$
$\langle g c-a\rangle::=[\langle$ set-a $\rangle\{\langle$ set- $a\rangle\}]$
$\langle$ models-a $\rangle::=[\langle g c-a\rangle\{\langle g c-a\rangle\}]$
$\langle s$-list $\rangle::=\left\{\left\langle\right.\right.$ factor-name $\left.\left.{ }^{*}\right\rangle\right\}\left\{,\left\{\left\langle\right.\right.\right.$ factor-name $\left.\left.\left.{ }^{*}\right\rangle\right\}\right\}$
$\langle m$-list $\rangle::=\langle s$-list $\rangle\{.\langle s$-list $\rangle\}$
$\langle$ set $\rangle::=\langle$ set-a $\rangle|*|\left\{\left\langle\right.\right.$ factor-name $\left.\left.{ }^{*}\right\rangle\right\} . \mid\left\{\left\langle\right.\right.$ factor-name $\left.\left.{ }^{*}\right\rangle\right\}\langle$ EndOfCommand $\rangle$
$\{\langle g c\rangle\langle$ edges $\rangle\langle$ model $\rangle\}::=\langle g c-a\rangle|*|\langle s$-list $\rangle . \mid\langle s$-list $\rangle\langle$ EndOfCommand $\rangle$
$\langle$ models $\rangle::=\langle$ models- $a\rangle \mid\langle$ m-list $\rangle\langle$ EndOfCommand $\rangle$
$\langle$ table-value-name〉 ::= Observed (0) | Probabilities (1) | Expected (2)|
Unadjusted (3) | F-res (4) | R-f (5) | G-res (6) |R-g (7) | Adjusted (8) |
Standardized (9)|-2log(q) (10) | Freeman-Tukey (11)| $2(/ \mathrm{n}-/ \mathrm{m}$ ) (12) |
Power (13) | Index (14) | Zero (15) | Error (31)
$\{\langle$ value-name $\rangle\langle x$-value-name $\rangle\langle y$-value-name $\rangle\}::=\{$ Current $\}\{$ Base $\}$
\{ Complete $\}$ \{Log $\}$ \{Random $\}\langle$ table-value-name $\rangle$
$\langle$ list of value-names; $\rangle::=\langle$ value-name $\rangle\{\langle$ value-name $\rangle \mid \&$ EndOfLine $\}$
$\langle$ EndOfCommand $\rangle$

```
```

\langlefactor-specification\rangle ::= \langle{actor-name\rangle\langleunsigned integer\rangle\langleunsigned integer\rangle / |
\langlefactor-name\rangle <unsigned integer> /
\langlefactor-list\rangle::= \langlefactor-specification\rangle { \langlefactor-specification\rangle } /
\langlefactor-names\rangle::= \langlefactor-name\rangle { \langlefactor-name\rangle}
\name-list\rangle::= \langlefactor-names\rangle / \langleunsigned integer-list\rangle / \langleunsigned integer-list\rangle // |
\langlefactor-names\rangle / \langleunsigned integer-list\rangle //
\langlespecification\rangle::= Factors \langlefactor-list\rangle| Names \langlename-list\rangle
{\langlelist of cases\rangle\langlemarginal cell\rangle\langlecutpoints\rangle} ::=\langleunsigned integer-list\rangle;
\langleable\rangle::= { -1 | \langleunsigned integer\rangle};
<observations\rangle ::= List \langlelist of cases\rangle | Accumulated-list \langlelist of cases\rangle|
Table <table\rangle
<specification-file\rangle ::= \langlespecification\rangle
<observation-file\rangle ::= { Cutpoints \langlefactor-name\rangle\langlecutpoints\rangle} { Q-table <table\rangle}
{ Q-list <list of cases\rangle} \langleobservations\rangle
\langleata-file\rangle::= <specification-file\rangle\langleobservation-file\rangle

```

\section*{B.1.46 Codes of Table-values for S-Plus}

The codes used in S-Plus for table-values are noted in the parentheses in the above description of \(\langle\) table-value-name \(\rangle\).
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\section*{Index}
", 39, 162
\(<, 39\)
\(>, 3,39\)
\(\gamma\) : Gamma, 79
\(\kappa\) : Kappa, 79
\(\lambda\) : Symmetric optimal prediction lambda, 79
\(\lambda^{\star}\) : Modified asymmetric optimal
prediction lambda, 79
\(\lambda_{\text {asym }}\) : Optimal prediction lambda, 79
\\, 39, 162
\(\sim, 39,162\)
\(\tau_{b}\) : Kendall's Tau b, 79
\(\tau_{c}\) : Stuart's Tau c, 79
\(\wedge, 39,162\)
\(n\)-th order effects, 109
\(n\)-th order partial associations, 109
।, 33, 39, 162
', 39, 162
'( ... ), 141
(, 39, 162
), 39, 162
*, 5, 35, 39-41, 49, 59, 62, 115, 162
\(+, 39,86,162\)
,, 35, 39, 40, 162
-, \(39,42,43,55,162\)
-1, 163
-2log(q), keyword, 162
., 35, 39-41, 49, 162
.EXE, file, 148
.cocorc, file, 35, 126
/, 4, 39, 162, 163
//, 39, 40, 163
/tmp/CoCo.diary.no.pid-number, file, 127
/tmp/CoCo.dump.no.pid-number, file, 64, 128
/tmp/CoCo.log.no.pid-number, file, 127
/tmp/CoCo.report.no.pid-number, file, 128
:, 36, 39, 162
;, \(3,33-36,39,64,125,162,163\)
\(=, 39,86,162\)
?, 39, 162
[, 33, 39, 162
[[]], 35
\#, 13, 34, 39, 162
\#0, 75
\$, 39, 162
\$BINDIR, environment, 149
\$COCOHOME, environment, 149
\$SCOCOHOME, environment, 149
\$XCOCOHOME, environment, 149
\%, 39, 162
\&, 33, 35, 162
-, 39, 162
\{, 33, 39
\}, 33, 39
], 33, 39, 162
', 39, 162
".Functions", file, 135
"Dynamic Spin-plot", 143
"Recursive backward", 143
"Return", 33, 35, 36, 64, 125
"liver", 45,46
"probit", 59
fep, program, 150
0 .. 9, 39, 162
2(/n-/m), keyword, 162
2-section graph, 65, 94
0, 42
A .. Z, 39, 162
a .. z, 39, 162
A dual, 114, 119
ACCEPT, command, 119, 120, 122, 123, 161
accepted models, 114, 119
accepted region, 119
Accumulated-list, keyword, 41, 59, 163
ADD EDGES, command, 50, 65, 66, 93, \(94,125,133,160\)
ADD FIX, command, 115, 117, 160
ADD INTERACTIONS, command, 50, 65, \(93,95,125,160\)
adjacency matrix, 66
adjacent, 65, 138
adjusted degrees of freedom, See degrees of freedom
adjusted residuals, See residuals
Adjusted, keyword, 162
AdjustedDF, mode, 99, 137
AIC, See Information Criteria
Akaike's information criteria, See Information Criteria
All marginal tables, keyword, 130
All, keyword, 108
Alternating, keyword, 122
AND FIX, command, 105, 160
arrow, 139
association
marginal, 104, 111
measures of, 79
partial, 109
association diagram, 138
atoms, 67
BACKWARD, command, 13, 37, 50, 73, 83, 97-99, 101, 105-112, 122, \(125,133,160\)
backward elimination, See model selection
BASE, command, 12, 66, 94, 158
Base, keyword, 56, 61, 162
Bayesian information criteria, See
Information Criteria
BIC, See Information Criteria
Bilirubin, 45, 46
BINDIR, environment, 149, 150
Block recursive models, 139
button, 97
CASE LIST, command, 41, 59, 61, 62, 158
cell counts, 56
cell values, 55
Chain graph models, 139
CLEAN DATA, command, 42, 43, 158
cliques, 65
closed form expression for maximum likelihood estimates, 67
cmdtool, program, 36
Cochran-Armitage Trend Test, 79
coco < log, file, 29
coco -N65536 -P65535 -Q1024, command, 131
coco -096000 -R32000 -T,
command, 131
CoCo, file, 3, 133
coco, file, 149
COCO, program, 3, 148
CoCo, program, 3
COCO.DAT, file, 39, 148, 149
COCO.EXE, file, 148
COCO.HLP, file, 148, 149
COCO.OVR, file, 148
coco.sparc, file, 149
COCO.SRC, file, 35,126
coco.sun3, file, 149
COCO.TAB, file, 148, 149
COCO???.DIA, file, 127
COCO???.DMP, file, 64,128
COCO???.LOG, file, 127
COCO???.RPT, file, 128
cocoapi.S, file, 134, 149
cocoapix.lsp, file, 150
cocograph.lsp, file, 150
COCOHOME, environment, 149, 150
cocometh.lsp, file, 134, 150
coherence, 101, 107, 108
principle of, 113
coherent, See model selection
Coherent, keyword, 107, 110
COLLAPSE MODEL, command, 65, 66, 158
collapsible, 54, 66, 83, 86
Column percents, 58
complete, 65
Complete, keyword, 55, 61, 162
conditional independence, 108, 111, 112
quasi-independence, 42
conditional independence graph, 65
conditional quasi-independence, 42
conditionally independent, 66
connected tables, 74
Contingency Coefficient C, 79
Continuity-adjusted \(\chi^{2}, 79\)
continuous variables, See cutpoints
Control-\\, 37, 133
Control-C, 37, 133
Control-Q, 36
Control-S, 36
copy \(/ \mathrm{b}\) coco.exe + coco.ovr, program, 148
Cramer's V, 79
criteria, See model selection
Cross-product ratio alpha, 79
crude standardized residuals, See standardized residuals
CURRENT, command, 66, 94, 158
CURRENT MENU, command, 33, 34, 154
Current, keyword, 61, 162
CUTPOINTS, command, 40, 44, 45, 48, 157
cutpoints, 45
Cutpoints, keyword, 163
data, 4, 34
DATA->, file, 4
DataFile, keyword, 126, 127, 148
DATASETS, file, 148
Datasets, keyword, 152
decomposable, 67, 71
Decomposable, keyword, 120, 121
DecomposableMode, mode, 99, 118
DECOMPOSE MODELS, command, 71, 80, \(83,84,159\)
decomposed, 67
decomposition, 67
def, function, 140
default file-names, 36
degrees of freedom
adjusted, 73

DELETE ALIAS, command, 34, 154
Deming-Staphan algorithm, See IPS-algorithm
DESCRIBE, command, 67
DESCRIBE CURRENT, command, 66, 70
DESCRIBE MODEL, command, 66, 159
DESCRIBE OBSERVED, command, 6, 60
DESCRIBE TABLE, command, 10, 43 , \(55,57,59,60,125,158\)
deviance, 51, 72, 77, 129, 132
diary, 127
Diary, keyword, 93
DiaryFile, keyword, 127
different numbers of cutpoints, 45
direct estimates, 67
DISPOSE OF, command, 67
DISPOSE OF ALL Q-TABLES, command, 44, 132, 160
DISPOSE OF EH, command, 114, 115, 119, 161
DISPOSE OF FORMULA, command, 67, 159
DISPOSE OF MODEL, command, 67, 94, 132, 159
DISPOSE OF PROBABILITIES, command, 132, 160
DISPOSE OF Q-TABLE, command, 44, 132, 160
DISPOSE OF TABLES, command, 132, 160
DISPOSE OF TESTS, command, 92, 99, 159
DROP, command, 75
DROP EDGES, command, 50, 65, 66, 70, \(75,93,94,125,133,160\)
DROP FACTOR, command, 50, 97, 125, 160
DROP INTERACTIONS, command, 50, \(65,66,70,93-97,125,160\)
dual representation, 66
DUAL TO NORMAL, command, 65,66 , 158
Dump, keyword, 64, 107, 128
DumpFile, keyword, 64, 107, 128
dyn.load2(), program, 134
e, 162
edges, 65
EH, command, 114, 117-119, 121, 122, 161
EH-procedure, See model selection, 113
EM algorithm, 49
EM ON, command, 50, 51, 124, 129, 158
EM, keyword, 62
EM-algorithm, 37, 51, 133
emacs, program, 36
END, command, 35, 136, 154
EndOfFile, 126
EndOfLine, 33, 35, 36, 64, 125
endogenous, 139
: enter-names, message, 140, 141
Error, keyword, 162
estimated expected cell counts, 56
EXACT TEST, command, \(13,50,76,98\), 159
exact test, 37, 133
conditional, 76, 102
ExactTest, See model selection
ExactTest, mode, 51, 77, 83, 93, 118, 137
Examples, 152
EXAMPLES, file, 148
EXCLUDE MISSING, command, 49-51, \(58,83,124,158\)
ExcludeMissing, 58
ExcludeMissing, mode, 50, 51, 100, 103
exogenous, 139
Expected, keyword, 162
explanatory, 139
expression, 66
EXTRACT, command, 120, 161
extracting table values, 64
F-res, keyword, 162
factorization of a test, 37,133
FACTORIZE, command, 73
FACTORIZE ONE EDGE, command, 50, \(76,78,83,87,125,133,159\)
FACTORIZE ONE INTERACTION, command, 50, 83, 90, 125, 133, 159
Factors, keyword, 39, 40, 45, 163
false, 74
Fast, mode, 77
fep CoCo, program, 3
fep, program, 36
File, keyword, 51, 130
FILL-IN, 94
FIND, command, 120, 121
FIND DEVIANCE, command, 50, 76, 80, 137, 159
FIND DUAL, command, 120, 121, 161
FIND LOG(L), command, 50, 80, 125, 159
First, keyword, 51
Fisher's exact test, 79
FIT, command, 118-122, 161
FIX, command, 105, 115-117, 160
fix edges, See model selection
fixed zeros, See \(q\)-tables
FixEdgeList, mode, 105
FixIn, mode, 105, 114, 116, 117
FixOut, mode, 105, 114, 116, 117
follow, See model selection
Follow, keyword, 108, 112
FOREIGN_FLAG \(=\) -DFOREIGNCALL, 150
FOREIGN_FLAG \(=\) -DFOREIGNCALL, file, 140
FORWARD, command, 13, 37, 50, 83, 97-99, 101, 109-112, 125, 133, 160
forward selection, See model selection
Freeman-Tukey residuals, See residuals
Freeman-Tukey, keyword, 162
ftp, 148
ftp.iesd.auc.dk, iv, 147, 148

G-res, keyword, 162
Gamma, 40, 73
Gamma: \(\gamma, 79\)
GENERATE DECOMPOSABLE MODEL, command, 50, 93, 125, 160
GENERATE GRAPHICAL MODEL, command, 50, 93, 94, 125, 160
generating class, 65
global model selection, See model selection
Goodman and Kruskal's \(\tau, 79\)
Goodman and Kruskal's Gamma, 40, 73
Goodness of fit: linear trend, 79
Gopher, 148
graphical, 65, 71
Graphical, keyword, 120, 121
GraphMode, mode, 133
headlong, See model selection
Headlong, keyword, 110
HELP, command, 33, 34, 154
help-file, 33
HelpFile, keyword, 148
Hierarchical, keyword, 120, 121
home directory, 39, 148, 149
http://www.iesd.auc.dk/pub /packages/CoCo, iv, 147, 148

IC, keyword, 106, 110
incomplete data or information, See missing values
incomplete tables, See \(q\)-tables
incremental search, See model selection
independence graph, 65, 138
Index, keyword, 61, 162
Information Criteria
AIC, Akaike's, 100
BIC, Bayesian, 100
INIT PARSER, command, 35, 154
INIT.TAB, file, 35
initial models
all \(n\) factor interactions, 109
EH-procedure, 113, 118, 119
stepwise model selection, 104
initial values for the IPS-algorithm, See \(q\)-tables
Input, keyword, 51
interaction terms, 65
IPS-algorithm, 37, 133
irreducible components, 67
IS DECOMPOSABLE, command, 71, 159
IS GRAPHICAL, command, 71, 159

IS IN ONE CLIQUE, command, 71, 159
IS SUBMODEL OF, command, 71, 159
iterative proportional fitting, See IPS-algorithm
iterative proportional scaling, See IPS-algorithm

Jaundice, 46
JOIN OF MODELS, command, 50, 93, \(96,125,160\)

Kappa: к, 79
Kendall's Tau b: \(\tau_{b}, 79\)
Large, keyword, 130
Last, keyword, 51
latent variables, 49
less, program, 36
level of significance, 99, 101
libscoco.so, file, 150
likelihood ratio test-statistic \(-2 \log (\mathrm{Q})\), 51, 72, 77, 79, 132
limits, 34, 151
linear trend, 79
LIST, command, \(10,39,55,57,61\), 158
list, function, 141
List, keyword, 39, 41, 45, 49, 163
loadcoco.lsp, file, 150
\(\log , 127\)
Log, keyword, 55, 61, 93, 162
LogFile, keyword, 36, 127, 147, 152
LogFileName, keyword, 36
main effects, 65, 111
MAKE ALIAS, command, 34, 154
MAKE BASE, command, 13, 66, 158
MAKE CURRENT, command, 13, 66, 158
make-coco, function, 140
:make-graph-base-model, message, 143
:make-graph-current-model, message, 143
Mantel-Haenszel \(\chi^{2}, 79\)
marginal association, 111
McNemar's test of symmetry, 79
Mean, keyword, 51
measures of association, 79
MEET OF MODELS, command, 50, 66, 93, 95, 96, 125, 160
MENU NUMBER, command, \(33,34,154\)
missing values, 49, 51
model class, See model selection
model control, See model selection
model dynamic spinning plot, 139
model editing commands, 93
model formulae, 67
model manager, 140
model number, 12, 66
model search, See model selection
model selection
backward elimination, 105
coherence, 107, 110
criteria, 99, 105, 115
decomposable mode, 98, 118
decomposable search
EH-procedure, 118
stepwise model selection, 98
EH base model, 116
EH-procedure, 113
decomposable search, 118
graphical search, 117
hierarchical search, 118
initial models, 113, 118, 119
exact tests, 102
fix, 105, 115
follow, 108
forward selection, 109
graphical search
EH-procedure, 117
stepwise model selection, 108, 111
headlong, 107, 110
hierarchical search
EH-procedure, 118
stepwise model selection, 109, 111
incremental search, 104
Information Criteria, 100
initial models
all \(n\) factor interactions, 109
EH-procedure, 113, 118, 119
stepwise model selection, 104
level of significance, 99, 101
model class, 108, 111, 117
model control, 102, 109, 112
ordinal variables, 99
output, 106
stepwise model selection
decomposable search, 98
graphical search, 108, 111
hierarchical search, 109, 111
initial models, 104
strategy, 107, 110, 117, 122
alternating, 122
headlong, 107, 110
rough, 122
smallest, 122
test statistic, 99
Wermuth's method, 99
Modified asymmetric optimal
prediction lambda: \(\lambda^{\star}, 79\)
Monte Carlo approximation, 76
more, program, 36
mv coco.sparc coco, program, 149
mv scoco.sparc scoco.o, program, 149
my.alias, file, 34
N, keyword, 76, 131, 152
Names, keyword, 39, 40, 45, 163
Necessary tables, keyword, 130
Necessary, keyword, 51
New S, program, 150
NewPage, 36, 125
NEXT MENU, command, 33, 34, 154
NO ACTION, command, 35, 154
NORMAL TO DUAL, command, 65, 66, 96, 158
Normal, keyword, 59
number of cells, 67
number of cliques the edge is in, 67
O, keyword, 131
observations, 38,126
Observed, keyword, 58, 60, 162
Off, keyword, 124
On, keyword, 58, 99, 100, 103, 107, 109, 112, 124
Only, keyword, 65, 93, 94, 97, 106, 110
Optimal prediction lambda: \(\lambda_{\text {asym }}, 79\)
OR REJECT CASES, command, 48, 157

OR SELECT CASES, command, 48, 157
ordering, 44
overlays, 34
overview graph, 140
P, keyword, 76, 131, 152
PageFormats, mode, 93
pager, program, 36
partial association, 109
PARTITIONING, command, 133
PARTITIONING TEST, command, 50 , \(80,83,86,125,159\)
Partitioning, mode, 77, 83, 84, 109, 112
Patefield's method for exact tests, 76, 102
PATH, file, 3
Pausing, mode, 36, 125
Pearson (product-moment) correlation coefficient, 79
Pearson residuals, See standardized residuals
Pearson's chi-square \(\chi^{2}, 72\)
Pearson's chi-square \(\chi^{2}, 79\)
Percents, Row-, Column- and Total-, 58
Phi and maximum of Phi, 79
PLOT, command, 10, 55, 57, 60, 61, 158
power divergence \(2 n I^{\lambda}, 72\)
Power, keyword, 162
PREVIOUS MENU, command, 33, 34, 154
PRINT, command, 67
PRINT ALIAS, command, 34, 154
PRINT COMMON DECOMPOSITIONS, command, 71, 80, 82-84, 86, 159
PRINT FORMULA, command, 67, 133, 159
PRINT MODEL, command, 66, 80, 83, 159
PRINT OBSERVED, command, 5, 57
PRINT STANDARD TABLE, command, 58, 158
PRINT TABLE, command, 10, 43, 55, \(57,58,64,125,158\)

PRINT VERTEX ORDERING, command, 67, 70, 133, 159
Probabilities, keyword, 162
Q-list, command, 44
Q-list, keyword, 163
Q-table, keyword, 163
q-tables, 42
quasi-independence, conditional, 42
quit, 3
r, 92
R dual, 114, 119
R, keyword, 131
R-f, keyword, 162
R-g, keyword, 162
random edge order, See model selection
random table, 42
random vector, 56
Random, keyword, 51, 56, 162
rankit plot, 59
Rankit, keyword, 59
READ BASE MODEL, command, 113, 115-117, 160
READ DATA, command, \(4,5,38,39,44\), \(45,130,157\)
READ FACTORS, command, 38, 39, 126, 157
READ LIST, command, 38, 39, 126, 157
READ LOCAL PARSER, command, 35, 154
READ MODEL, command, \(10,35,36,43\), \(57,65,111,125,158\)
READ N-INTERACTIONS, command, 65, 109, 158
READ NAMES, command, 38, 39, 126, 157
READ OBSERVATIONS, command, 38, \(39,44,45,48,126,130,157\)
READ Q-LIST, command, 42-44, 158
READ Q-TABLE, command, 42, 43, 158
READ SPECIFICATION, command, 38, \(39,48,126,130,157\)
READ TABLE, command, 38, 39, 126, 157
READ.ME, file, 148
reading
data, 40
specification, 39
README.DOS, file, 148
README.Unix, file, 148
recursive, 139
Recursive Separators, command, 112
Recursive, keyword, 106, 107, 110
REDEFINE FACTOR, command, 44, 45, 48, 157
REDO FIX, command, 115-117, 160
REDUCE GENERATOR, command, 50, 97, \(125,133,160\)
Reinis, 4
reinis81.dat, 5
REJECT, command, 119, 120, 122, 123, 161
REJECT CASES, command, 48, 157
rejected models, 114, 119
rejected region, 119
REMOVE GENERATOR, command, 50, 97, 125, 160
REMOVE TOTAL INTERACTION, command, 50, 97, 125, 160
Report, keyword, 51, 93, 129, 132
ReportFile, keyword, 122, 128, 129, 132
ReportOn, keyword, 52
residuals
adjusted, 56
crude standardized, 56
Freeman-Tukey, 56
index plots, 56
Pearson, 56
standardized, 56
response, 139
RESTART, command, 124, 132, 154
restricted incremental search, 105
RETURN MATRIX, command, 55, 57, 64, 128, 158
RETURN STANDARD VECTOR, command, 64, 158
RETURN VECTOR, command, 55, 57, 64, 128, 158
Reuse, keyword, 92, 93
Reversed, keyword, 106, 110

Rough, keyword, 122
Row percents, 58
Run-Time Errors, 148
runall, program, 148
runsome, program, 148

S+coco, program, 135, 149
S, environment, 149
S-functions, 149
S-Plus, program, 149, 150
saturated model, 65,113
scaling, 44
scoco.o, file, 149, 150
scoco.sparc, file, 149
scoco.sun3, file, 149
SCOCOHOME, environment, 149
SCOCOHOME/.Functions, file, 149, 150
SELECT CASES, command, 48, 157
send index from xlispstat, program, 150
separable tables, 74
Separators, command, 112
separators, 109
Separators, keyword, 102
SET, command, 99-101, 124, 156
SET \{ Graphical | Hierarchical \} SEARCH, command, 117, 120, 121, 160
SET \{ Smallest | Alternating | Rough \} SEARCH, command, 117, 122, 160
SET ACCEPTANCE, command, 99-101, 107, 110, 156
SET ADJUSTED DF, command, 76, 93, 99, 100, 131, 156
SET ALGORITHM, command, 87, 103, 128, 156
SET ASYMPTOTIC, command, 76, 77, 93, 102, 156
SET COMPONENTS, command, 100, 102, 109, 112, 156
SET DATA LOG, command, 127, 155
SET DATASTRUCTURE, command, 39, 51, 129, 130, 157
SET DEBUG, command, 132, 155

SET DECOMPOSABLE MODE, command, \(98,118,120,121,156\)
SET DIARY, command, 33, 124, 127, 155
SET DUMP, command, 64, 128, 155
SET ECHO, command, 132,155
SET EM EPSILON, command, 51, 52, 129, 156
SET EM INITIAL, command, 51, 129, 156
SET EM ITERATIONS, command, 51, 52, 129, 156
SET EXACT EPSILON, command, 77, \(78,93,102,103,157\)
SET EXACT TEST, command, 13, \(76-78,93,100,102,103,156\)
SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS, command, 103
SET EXACT TEST FOR PARTS OF TEST, command, 77, 83, 93, 102, 156
SET EXACT TEST FOR TOTAL TEST, command, 77, 84, 93, 102, 103, 156
SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED, command, 103
SET EXACT TEST FOR UNPARTED TEST, command, 77, 84, 93, 102, 156
SET FAST, command, 77, 78, 93, 102, 103, 157
SET GRAPH MODE, command, 133, 156
SET Graphical SEARCH, command, 118
SET Hierarchical SEARCH, command, 119
SET HUGE, command, 129, 131
SET IC, command, 100, 101
SET INPUTFILE COMMANDS, command, 126, 155
SET INPUTFILE DATA, command, 5, 38, 126, 155
SET INPUTFILE OBSERVATIONS, command, 38, 126, 155
SET INPUTFILE SPECIFICATION, command, 38, 126, 155
SET INTERRUPT, command, 133, 155

SET IPS, command, 129, 156
SET IPS EPSILON, command, 129, 156
SET IPS ITERATIONS, command, 129, 156
SET KEEP DIARY, command, 127, 155
SET KEEP DUMP, command, 64, 128, 155
SET KEEP LOG, command, 36, 127, 155
SET KEEP REPORT, command, 128, 155
SET KEYBOARD, command, 38, 126, 154
SET KEYBOARD On, command, 4
SET LARGE, command, 39, 82, 129, 130, 157
SET LIST OF NUMBER OF TABLES, command, 102
SET LIST OF NUMBERS OF TABLES, command, 77, 93, 102, 156
SET LOG, command, 127, 155
SET MAIN EFFECTS, command, 115, 116, 160
SET NOTE, command, 132, 155
SET NUMBER OF TABLES, command, \(76,77,92,93,102,156\)
SET OPTION, command, 132, 155
SET ORDINAL, command, 40, 73, 93, 99, 157
SET OUTPUTFILE COMMANDS, command, 127, 155
SET OUTPUTFILE DIARY, command, 127, 155
SET OUTPUTFILE DIARY : CON, command, 127
SET OUTPUTFILE DUMP, command, 64, 128, 155
SET OUTPUTFILE LOG, command, 127, 155
SET OUTPUTFILE REPORT, command, 128, 155
SET OUTPUTFILE RESULTS, command, 127, 155
SET PAGE FORMATS, command, 6,57 , \(59,61,125,155\)
SET PAGING LENGTH, command, 36, 125,155
SET PARTITIONING, command, 83, 93, \(102,103,156\)
SET PAUSE, command, 36, 125, 155

SET POWER LAMBDA, command, 57, 73, 93, 99, 156
SET PRINT FORMATS, command, 59, \(64,125,155\)
SET READ, command, 49, 50, 115, 130, 136, 157
SET READ All, command, 49
SET READ Subset, command, 49
SET REJECTION, command, 99-101, \(108,110,156\)
SET REPORT, command, 132, 155
SET REUSE OF TESTS, command, 44, 92, \(93,99,156\)
SET Rough SEARCH, command, 114
SET SEED, command, 51, 77, 78, 93, \(102,103,108,156\)
SET SEPARATORS, command, 101, 102, 109, 112, 156
SET SHORT, command, 124, 125, 155
SET SORTED, command, 39, 129, 157
SET TABLE FORMATS, command, 57, \(62,124,125,155\)
SET TEST, command, 99, 102, 156
SET TEST FORMATS, command, 124, 125, 155
SET TIMER, command, 128,155
SET TRACE, command, 132, 155
setf, function, 140
Short, keyword, 107, 110
ShortTestOutput, mode, 75, 92, 93
SHOW TESTS, command, 92, 99, 159
significance level, 99, 101
simple, 80
SINK, command, 127
SKIP MISSING, command, 49, 50, 157
SLICE, command, 79, 159
Smallest, keyword, 122
Somers' D, 79
Sorted, keyword, 106, 110
SOURCE, command, 29, 34, 126
SourceFile, keyword, 126, 127, 152
Spearman rank correlation coefficient, 79
specification, 38,126
Splus functions attach(paste(getenv(

SCOCOHOME" ),
\(" /\). Functions", sep \(=" ")\) ), 150
attach(paste(unix("echo
\$SCOCOHOME"),
"/.Functions", sep = "")), 135
base(), 137
coco.end(), 136
coco.init(), 136
coco.load(), 135, 136
coco.resume(), 136
coco.start(), 136
compute.deviance(), 137
compute.test(), 137
current(), 137
dyn.load2(), 136
enter.names \((\langle\) names \(\rangle,\langle\) levels \(\rangle\), \(\langle m i s s i n g=N U L L\rangle), 136\)
enter.table \((\langle\) table \(\rangle), 136\)
ls(pos=2), 135
make.base \((\langle a\rangle), 137\)
make.current \((\langle a\rangle), 137\)
make.current.coco( \(\langle a\rangle), 136\)
print.all.models( \(\langle\) print \(=\) TRUE \(\rangle\) ), 137
read.model \((\langle a\rangle), 136\)
return.base(), 137
return.base.number(), 137
return.current(), 137
return.current.number(), 137
return.vector \((\langle\) type \(=0\rangle,\langle\) set \(=\) \(" * "\rangle,\langle\) number \(=0\rangle,\langle\) base \(=\) \(F A L S E\rangle), 137\)
Splus values
-1, 136
0, 137
coco.identifications, 136
coco.identifications[a], 136
current.coco, 136
FALSE, 136, 137
NULL, 137
TRUE, 136, 137
standardized residuals, See residuals
Standardized, keyword, 162
statlib, 150
templer.stat.cmu.edu, 150

STATUS, command, 36, 40, 114, 120, \(124-126,129,130,151,154\)
STATUS; , command, 36, 125
stepwise model selection, See model selection
strategy, See model selection
structural zero, fixed zeros, See \(q\)-tables
Stuart's Tau c: \(\tau_{c}, 79\)
SUBSTITUTE DATA WITH GENERATED TABLE, command, 42, 157
Symmetric optimal prediction lambda: \(\lambda, 79\)
Symmetric uncertainty coefficient \(U\), 79

T, keyword, 131
Table, keyword, 4, 39, 41, 45, 163
table-value, 34
TEST, command, 12, 50, 72, 73, 75, 76, 80, 98, 103, 125, 159
TEST ONE EDGE, command, 50, 83, 86, 159
TestFormats, mode, 93
the observed count, 43
Timer, keyword, 93
Total percents, 58
Trace, keyword, 51, 76, 93, 129, 132
Trend Test, 79
TRUE, keyword, 70
umnstat.stat.umn.edu, 150
Unadjusted, keyword, 162
Uncertainty coefficient \(U_{\text {asym }}, 79\)
Uniform, keyword, 51, 59
unobserved variables, See missing values
values, 55
variables, function, 140
vertex, 138
vertices, 65
weak acceptance and rejection, See coherence, principle of
Wermuth's method, 99
WWW, 148

XCOCO, environment, 150
XCOCOHOME, environment, 150
xlisp+coco, program, 140, 150
XLISP-STAT, program, 149, 150
XLISPSTAT, environment, 150
Yates corrected \(\chi^{2}, 79\)
Yule's Q, 79
Yule's Y, 79
zero partial association, 65, 76
Zero, keyword, 56, 162
zeros
by structure, fixed, See \(q\)-tables```


[^0]:    Institute of Electronic Systems Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Fredrik Bajers Vej 7 - DK 9220 Aalborg - Denmark Phone: +45 98158522 - Telefax +4598158129

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ At the time of writing this guide，the table entered by READ Q－TABLE $\langle$ set $\rangle\langle$ table〉；should be a table of integers．This should not be much of a restriction，since the table can just be multiplied with a factor．

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ One could consider removing the command FIX Edges 〈edges〉；and then also set the fix for stepwise backward elimination and forward selection with the commands FIX In 〈edges $\rangle$ ；and FIX Out $\langle e d g e s\rangle$ ；of the EH－procedure．This would also allow for different fixing for respectively backward elimination and forward selection．But for comparability with former versions of CoCo，separate fixes for the stepwise model selection and the global EH－procedure respectively have been kept．

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Make sure that you or your system administrator has done step 4) and 5) of the installation of CoCo , see appendix A, and that XLISP-STAT is available on your system. XLISP-STAT must be compiled with FOREIGN_FLAG = -DFOREIGNCALL to make the dynamic loading working. See the 'Makefile' for XLISP-STAT.

